

New Secondary School Places in Ascot

Your views needed on proposals to provide more secondary school places in Ascot

Introduction

The number of children and young people needing secondary school education in the Royal Borough and in Ascot is going to rise over the next decade, and we need to make sure that there are enough school places for them. As the rising demand will happen over an extended period, we are proposing to add new school places in phases. This consultation deals mainly with the first phase.

The Royal Borough is asking for your views on proposals to provide more places for local children and young people in secondary sector education.


The detailed proposals are shown on the next page.

Similar proposals are being suggested for Maidenhead and Windsor, where demand for secondary education is also set to rise. No new secondary places are currently sought in Datchet and Wraysbury, although an increasing population in Slough may make this necessary in the future.

The Ascot System

Ascot has a two-tier system of primary and secondary schools. The secondary school, Charters School, provides co-educational (for boys and girls), non-denominational (non-church) education. Charters has seven feeder schools (six primary and one junior). Five of these are in the Royal Borough, the other two are outside the borough, in the villages of North Ascot and Cranbourne.

There is no further education college in Ascot, although some 16-19 year olds do access courses run by East Berkshire College or BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture), as well as at Bracknell and Wokingham College.




More details, and a location map, are given at www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm

Why do we need more places?

The birth rate nationally has increased significantly since 2001. Locally, the population has also been increasing, and we have had to provide some additional primary school places in Ascot. The seven feeder schools for Charters School are now generally full.

Chart and table showing the projected demand for Year 7 places in secondary schools



The chart and table show that there are 240 Year 7 places in Charters School. The demand for those places from local residents has been rising since 2009 and is set to peak in September 2015, and again in September 2019. The forecasts above include demand from outside the Ascot area.

Chart and table showing the projected numbers in Year 6 at the feeder schools



Children transfer from primary school to secondary school at the end of Year 6. This second chart and table shows, by year, the number of children expected to be in Year 6 and attending one of the seven feeder schools for Charters. The number of children in Year 6 is close to the 240 places available for the foreseeable future, and exceeds it in 2019. This will mean a shortage of places for Ascot children in September 2019. Other years may be tight. **It is proposed, therefore, that 30 places be added per year group to secondary provision in Ascot, starting with Year 7 in September 2019.**

New housing in Ascot

The Royal Borough is currently developing a Borough Local Plan, which will set the long-term strategy for new housing and infrastructure locally. This plan, which is expected to be finalised in early 2016, could result in an increase in the number of new homes built in Ascot. The borough will be carrying out further consultation on the local plan later this year. The final shape of the plan will, of course, affect the demand for secondary school places in the area, and later phases of this programme will need to reflect that.

Ascot already has a Local Neighbourhood Plan, approved in a local referendum, that identifies a number of potential sites for new housing.

Surplus places

Creating more secondary places will also help us keep some surplus – spare – school places in Ascot. This makes it easier to offer more families their preferred school and have places for families moving into the area. Ideally, the surplus should be 5-10%.

Funding new school places

Work on finding out the likely cost of the proposals outlined in this document is underway. Most of the funding for the proposals will come from a government grant called 'Basic Need', which is given to us for the purpose of creating new school places. There is also some money available to us from housing developers, who are required to contribute towards the cost of providing new infrastructure.

As might be expected, some of the proposals will be more expensive than others. The Royal Borough will need to consider cost, as well as a range of other factors, when deciding which proposals to take forward. It is important that the proposals are affordable, using the limited funding outlined above.

What happens if no new school places are provided?

If none of the proposals go ahead then some children and young people will be left without school places. Others would find themselves taught in schools that are overcrowded or farther away from their home than their families would like.

Looking for new sites and buildings

We have only a limited number of school sites that are large enough for any further expansion. This means that we may have to acquire new land and/or buildings to allow us to extend existing schools or open new ones. It may be possible to convert buildings that have been used for other purposes – large houses, warehouses, shops – into classrooms, sports halls and other school facilities and we are investigating this further.

What you say matters

Parents, staff, governors, dioceses and community organisations are all being consulted. Your views are vital so please take time to be part of this consultation. You can tell us what you think by doing one of the following:

- completing the online response form: www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm
- completing the paper response form (provided with the hard copy of this document) and:
 - returning it to your school, who will forward it on to us; or
 - posting it to:
 - Ascot Places Consultation, Zone E, Town Hall, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF
- writing to the address above
- emailing ascot.places@rbwm.gov.uk

The closing date for your comments is Monday 3rd November 2014

What happens after the consultation finishes?

The Royal Borough's Cabinet will consider the outcome of the consultation on 11th December 2014. At this meeting councillors will take your views into account before making a decision on which proposals should be given the go-ahead.

If, in partnership with the governing bodies, Cabinet decides to go ahead with any options, we will publish formal proposals in January 2015. There will then be a four-week representation period to allow residents to submit objections to/comments on the formal proposals. The Royal Borough will make its final decision in February 2015. Any changes involving academies will need to be approved by the Secretary of State for Education.

Produced by the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead
In association with secondary, middle and upper schools and the FE Colleges

September 2014

If you have any queries about this document, please contact Ben Wright on 01628 796572 or at ascot.places@rbwm.gov.uk



Proposals for more school places - Ascot

Our ambition is to ensure that all our children and young people can get a high-quality education to help them achieve their potential. We are putting forward proposals not just to provide extra places, but to ensure that high educational standards are maintained locally. Any expansion of existing provision will only occur at schools that:

- are consistently rated as 'Good' or 'Outstanding' by Ofsted
- have pupil attainment that is at or better than national averages
- are consistently oversubscribed

Phase 1 – up to September 2017

Proposal 1 – None

No expansion of secondary provision is currently planned for the first phase.

?

Not applicable

(There are, however, proposals for this phase in other parts of the borough).

Proposal 2 – Improving post-16 provision

Further develop and expand provision for young people aged 16 to 19 years old

It is proposed that the range and availability of courses for 16-19 year olds be expanded, so that more young people can access appropriate qualifications locally. This may involve pupils at schools in Ascot accessing courses run by further education colleges, whilst still being taught by their school. The focus here will be on technical subjects in particular – e.g. engineering, science, technology and ICT based subjects – to provide a greater range of courses.

It is also proposed to provide more apprenticeships, so that more young people can 'learn on the job' with a local employer whilst being supported by a further education college. These apprenticeships can offer a route through to degree level education.

In addition, schools and colleges will work together to offer courses for 14-16 year olds that prepare them for technical courses at 16.

These proposals should help provide a 'pathway' for young people from education into employment in skill areas that are in demand from local employers.

?

What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

Phase 2 – September 2018 to September 2019

Proposal 3 – New Year 7 places in Ascot

Providing more Year 7 places in Ascot

More Year 7 places will be needed in Ascot from September 2019, and these could be provided by:

Proposal 3a - Expanding an existing school

Charters School would expand, taking an extra 30 children per year group, starting in September 2019. If Charters were to be expanded to 1,870 pupils it would probably need six new classrooms, including some for specialist subjects such as science, design-technology, art and food technology. The school may also need extra seminar rooms for the sixth form, as well as more toilets and circulation space. The school already has sufficient outdoor space to provide for the proposed higher number of children.

	Proposed increase per year	Published Admission No.		School Size	
		Now	Proposed	Now	Proposed
Charters	+30	240	270	1,700	1,870

Charters serves the whole of the Ascot area, and also the Ascot Heath and Cranbourne areas. It is not proposed that the school designated area (catchment) be changed.

As an academy, Charters School will need to approve any expansion before it can proceed.

?

Should we expand Charters School by an extra 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019?

Proposal 3b - New schools

The Royal Borough is examining the possibility of opening new secondary sector schools in the borough to increase diversity and choice in the system. A new free school, Holyport College, has already opened, providing new Year 7 places in 2014.

Proposal 3c - Satellite grammar schools

The Royal Borough is investigating whether grammar school places can be provided. This can only be done by opening a 'satellite' of an existing grammar school. It is not proposed that a satellite grammar be opened in the Ascot area, as there are no nearby grammar schools.

The Royal Borough will be examining where a new school could be located in Ascot.

If, following consultation, these proposals are developed further, then specific proposals will be developed in 2015 for consultation with residents.

?

Should the borough investigate these options for providing more secondary sector places?

Some details about Charters School

	Ofsted rating (year)	Pupil attainment over past 5 years	Demand for places
Charters	Outstanding (2009)	Consistently well above national averages at GCSE. Post-16 results are well above national averages and in the top quartile for student progress at AS and A2.	More applications than places

Other supporting proposals

These additional proposals will not add any significant number of new places into the secondary sector, but will increase choice.

Ongoing

Proposal 4 – All-through schools

'All-through' schools are schools that teach children from the ages of 4 to 18. With one headteacher, governing body and budget, they offer a way to provide consistency of education for pupils across all key stages. They also remove the transition from primary to secondary education that some children find challenging.

One way to create all-through schools in the Royal Borough would be to combine primary schools and secondary schools that are located on adjacent sites. There are no clear candidates for this in Ascot, however.

?

Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

Proposal 5 – Multi-academy trusts

By mid-2015 most secondary sector schools in the Royal Borough will be academies. A number of academies are now exploring ways of working together to allow them to pool resources and expertise, and so improve teaching and learning. Schools in a 'multi-academy trust' (MAT) are run by one overarching academy trust. This trust:

- is accountable and responsible for management /performance of all its schools.
- employs all school staff, who can be moved between schools as needed.
- retains part of each school's budget so it can buy shared services for them.

An alternative model is the 'Umbrella Trust', where member schools set up a trust that can act on their behalf (to purchase services, for example). Schools retain their own local control, however, and schools do not have to be academies to join.

?

Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy and umbrella trusts in Ascot?

Proposal 6 – More collaboration

There are opportunities to provide students with access to a broader range of academic and vocational subjects by improving collaboration between schools and with local Further Education colleges eg. BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) and East Berkshire College. Demand for some specialist courses such as music, modern languages and textiles is too low to make courses at individual schools viable. This can be overcome by schools and colleges working together to provide a broader curriculum.

?

What are your thoughts on increased collaboration between schools and colleges to improve provision for 14-19 year olds?

Views from the school and colleges

Richard Pilgrim and Martyn Parker, Headteachers, Charters School:

"The school would look favourably on the idea of expanding to meet additional demand for places in 2019. This is, of course, dependent on securing sufficient funding to provide additional facilities to enable the current high standard of education to be maintained."

Gillian May, Principal, BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) and Kate Webb, Principal, East Berkshire College:

"BCA and East Berkshire College are delighted to work in partnership with local schools to offer choice and aspirational pathways to work and higher education for local young people."

New Secondary School Places in Maidenhead

Your views needed on proposals to provide more secondary school places in Maidenhead

Introduction

The number of children and young people needing secondary school education in the Royal Borough and in Maidenhead is going to rise over the next decade, and we need to make sure that there are enough school places for them. As this rising demand will happen over an extended period, we are proposing to add new school places in phases. This consultation deals mainly with the first phase.

The Royal Borough is asking for your views on proposals to provide more places for local children and young people in secondary sector education.

The detailed proposals are shown on the next page.

Similar proposals are being suggested for Ascot and Windsor, where demand for secondary education is also set to rise. No new secondary places are currently sought in Datchet and Wraysbury, although an increasing population in Slough may make this necessary in the future.

The Maidenhead System

Maidenhead has a two-tier system of primary and secondary schools. The secondary schools provide a variety of co-educational (for boys and girls), single-sex, denominational (church) and non-denominational education.

Maidenhead has a further education college – BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) – which provides courses for 16-19 year olds.



Why do we need more places?

The birth rate nationally has increased significantly since 2001. Locally, the population has also been increasing, and more than half of the primary schools in Maidenhead have been expanded in the last five years. The children in these schools will soon be old enough to move onto secondary school. We need to make sure that there are enough places for them.

Chart and table showing the projected demand for Year 7 places in secondary schools



The chart and table show that there are 934 Year 7 places in the Maidenhead secondary schools. The demand for those places is set to rise from 808 in September 2014 to 1,039 by September 2020. This is a rise of more than 130 for Year 7, and will mean a shortage of 105 places by September 2020. **It is proposed, therefore, that 60 places be added per year group to the secondary schools, starting with Year 7 in September 2017.** A further 30 Year 7 places will need to be added in September 2018 and again in September 2019.

New housing in Maidenhead

The Royal Borough is currently developing a Borough Local Plan, which will set the long-term strategy for new housing and infrastructure locally. This plan, which is expected to be finalised in early 2016, *could* result in an increase in the number of new homes built in Maidenhead. The borough will be carrying out further consultation on the local plan later this year. The final shape of the plan will, of course, affect the demand for secondary school places in the town, and later phases of this programme will need to reflect that.

Surplus places

Creating more secondary places will also help us keep some surplus – spare – school places in Maidenhead. This makes it easier to offer more families their preferred school and have places for families moving into the area. Ideally, the surplus should be 5-10%.

Funding new school places

Work on finding out the likely cost of the proposals outlined in this document is underway. Most of the funding for the proposals will come from a government grant called 'Basic Need', which is given to us for the purpose of creating new school places. There is also some money available to us from housing developers, who are required to contribute towards the cost of providing new infrastructure.

As might be expected, some of the proposals will be more expensive than others. The Royal Borough will need to consider cost, as well as a range of other factors, when deciding which proposals to take forward. It is important that the proposals are affordable, using the limited funding outlined above.

What happens if no new school places are provided?

If none of the proposals go ahead then some children and young people will be left without school places. Others would find themselves taught in schools that are overcrowded or farther away from their home than their families would like.

Looking for new sites and buildings

We have only a limited number of school sites that are large enough for any further expansion. This means that we may have to acquire new land and/or buildings to allow us to extend existing schools or open new ones. It may be possible to convert buildings that have been used for other purposes – large houses, warehouses, shops – into classrooms, sports halls and other school facilities and we are investigating this further.

What you say matters

Parents, staff, governors, dioceses and community organisations are all being consulted. Your views are vital so please take time to be part of this consultation. You can tell us what you think by doing one of the following:

- completing the online response form: www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm
- completing the paper response form (provided with the hard copy of this document) and:
 - returning it to your school, who will forward it on to us; or
 - posting it to: Maidenhead Places Consultation, Zone E, Town Hall, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF
- writing to the address above
- emailing maidenhead.places@rbwm.gov.uk

The closing date for your comments is Monday 3rd November 2014

What happens after the consultation finishes?

The Royal Borough's Cabinet will consider the outcome of the consultation on 11th December 2014. At this meeting councillors will take your views into account before making a decision on which proposals should be given the go-ahead.

If, in partnership with the governing bodies, Cabinet decides to go ahead with any options, we will publish formal proposals in January 2015. There will then be a four-week representation period to allow residents to submit objections to/comments on the formal proposals. The Royal Borough will make its final decision in February 2015. Any changes involving academies will need to be approved by the Secretary of State for Education.

Produced by the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead
In association with secondary, middle and upper schools and the FE Colleges

September 2014

If you have any queries about this document, please contact Ben Wright on 01628 796572 or at maidenhead.places@rbwm.gov.uk



Proposals for more school places - Maidenhead

Our ambition is to ensure that all our children and young people can get a high-quality education to help them achieve their potential. We are putting forward proposals not just to provide extra places, but to ensure that high educational standards are maintained locally. Any expansion of existing provision will only happen at schools that:

- are consistently rated as 'Good' or 'Outstanding' by Ofsted
- have pupil attainment that is at or better than national averages
- are consistently oversubscribed

Phase 1 – up to September 2017

Proposal 1 – More Year 7 places in Maidenhead

Expand Furze Platt Senior School by 60 places in September 2017

Furze Platt Senior School would expand, taking an extra 60 children per year group, starting in September 2017. The school would probably need 12 new classrooms, including some for specialist subjects like science, art and design technology. The school would also need more dining room or hall space, more seminar rooms for the 6th form, as well as additional toilets, circulation space and parking.

New community leisure facilities on site mean that the school will have access to a new all-weather pitch from late 2014. The school will, therefore, have enough team games pitches for the higher numbers of children and young people on roll.

	Proposed increase per year	Published Admission No.		School Size	
		Now	Proposed	Now	Proposed
Furze Platt Senior School	+60	193	253	1,250	1,600

Furze Platt Senior School serves the whole of the Maidenhead area, and it is not proposed that the school's designated area (catchment) be changed.



Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?

Proposal 2 – Improving post-16 provision

Further develop and expand provision for young people aged 16 to 19 years old

It is proposed that the range and availability of courses for 16-19 year olds be expanded, so that more young people can access appropriate qualifications locally. This will involve pupils at schools in Maidenhead accessing courses run by BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture), whilst still being taught by their school. The focus here will be on technical subjects in particular – e.g. engineering, science, technology and ICT based subjects – to provide a greater range of courses.

It is also proposed to provide more apprenticeships, so that more young people can 'learn on the job' with a local employer whilst being supported by a further education college. These apprenticeships can offer a route through to degree level education.

In addition, schools and colleges will work together to offer courses for 14-16 year olds that prepare them for technical courses at 16.

These proposals should help provide a 'pathway' for young people from education into employment in skill areas that are in demand from local employers.



What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

Phase 2 – September 2018 to September 2019

Proposal 3 – Providing more places in Maidenhead

Providing further Year 7 places in Maidenhead

Further Year 7 places will be needed in Maidenhead from September 2018, and these could be provided by:

Proposal 3a - Expanding existing schools

Five of the six secondary schools in Maidenhead can potentially be expanded by at least 30 places per year group. This means that it will be possible to provide enough places to meet the expected demand for secondary provision up until at least September 2019 by using existing school sites.

The schools that could be expanded, in addition to Furze Platt Senior School, are:

School	Potential increase per year	Published Admission No.		School Size	
		Now	Potential	Now	Potential
Altwood	60	150	210	818	1,250
Cox Green	60	176	236	899	1,400
Desborough	60	189	249	600	1,500
Newlands Girls'	30	186	216	1,140	1,350



Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?

Proposal 3b - New schools

The Royal Borough is examining the possibility of opening new secondary sector schools in Maidenhead to increase diversity and choice in the system. A new free school, Holyport College, has already opened, providing new Year 7 places in 2014.

Proposal 3c - Satellite grammar schools

The Royal Borough is investigating whether grammar school places can be provided. This can only be done by opening a 'satellite' of an existing grammar school.

The Royal Borough will be examining where a new school or satellite grammar school could be located in Maidenhead.

If, following consultation, these options are taken forward, then specific proposals will be developed in 2015 for consultation with residents.



Should the borough investigate these options for providing more secondary sector places?

Some details about the schools and colleges

	Ofsted rating (year)	Pupil attainment over past 5 years	Demand for places
Furze Platt Senior	Good (2010)	Consistently well above national averages at GCSE. Students make strong progress (above national averages) at A Level.	More applications than places
BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture)	Requires Improvement (2014)	Success rates are high, with 96% of students progressing to further education, higher education, employment or apprenticeships.	More applications than places

Other supporting proposals

These additional proposals will not add any significant number of new places into the secondary sector, but will increase choice.

Ongoing

Proposal 4 – All-through schools

'All-through' schools are schools that teach children from the ages of 4 to 18. With one headteacher, governing body and budget, they offer a way to provide consistency of education for pupils across all key stages. They also remove the transition from primary to secondary education that some children find challenging.

One way to create all-through schools in the Royal Borough would be to combine primary schools and secondary schools that are located on adjacent sites, such as Furze Platt infant, junior and senior schools.



Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?

Proposal 5 – Multi-academy trusts

By mid-2015 most secondary sector schools in the Royal Borough will be academies. A number of academies are now exploring ways of working together to allow them to pool resources and expertise, and so improve teaching and learning. Schools in a 'multi-academy trust' (MAT) are run by one overarching academy trust. This trust:

- is accountable and responsible for management /performance of all its schools.
- employs all school staff, who can be moved between schools as needed.
- retains part of each school's budget so it can buy shared services for them.

An alternative model is the 'Umbrella Trust', where member schools set up a trust that can act on their behalf (to purchase services, for example). Schools retain their own local control, however, and schools do not have to be academies to join.



Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy and umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?

Proposal 6 – More collaboration

There are opportunities to provide students with access to a broader range of academic and vocational subjects by improving collaboration between schools and with local Further Education colleges eg. BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) and East Berkshire College. Demand for some specialist courses such as music, modern languages and textiles is too low to make courses at individual schools viable. This can be overcome by schools and colleges working together to provide a broader curriculum. The model is ideally suited to Maidenhead due to the close proximity of the schools and colleges, limiting travel time for both staff and students.



What are your thoughts on increased collaboration between schools and colleges to improve provision for 14-19 year olds?

Views from the schools and colleges

Tanya White, Headteacher, Furze Platt Senior School:

"The Headteacher and Governing Body have been consulted, understand the need to provide more places locally and fully support the proposal. They are confident that the proposed expansion can be managed effectively and that the ethos and high standards of the school will be maintained. They firmly believe that the proposed expansion is an exciting development that will bring even more opportunities for students."

Gillian May, Principal, BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) and Kate Webb, Principal, East Berkshire College:

"BCA and East Berkshire College are delighted to work in partnership with local schools to offer choice and aspirational pathways to work and higher education for local young people."

New Middle and Upper School Places in Windsor

Your views needed on proposals to provide more secondary, middle and upper school places in Windsor

Introduction

The number of children and young people needing middle and upper school education in the Royal Borough and in Windsor is going to rise over the next decade, and we need to make sure that there are enough school places for them. As this rising demand will happen over an extended period, we are proposing to add new school places in phases. This consultation deals mainly with the first phase.

The Royal Borough is asking for your views on proposals to provide more places for local children and young people in secondary sector education.

The detailed proposals are shown on the next page.

Similar proposals are being suggested for Ascot and Maidenhead, where demand for secondary education is also set to rise. No new secondary places are currently sought in Datchet and Wraysbury, although an increasing population in Slough may make this necessary in the future.

The Windsor System

Windsor has a three-tier system of first, middle and upper schools. The four middle schools are co-educational (for girls and boys), and offer denominational (church) and non-denominational education. The two upper schools are both single sex (one for girls and one for boys). Places at Year 9 are also available in the newly opened Holyport College, which is a co-educational secondary school with an intake at Year 9.

Windsor has a further education college – East Berkshire College – which provides courses for 16-19 year olds.

14 First Schools

Ages 4 to 8
NCY Reception to 4

4 Middle Schools

Ages 9 to 12
NCY 5 to 8

- Dedworth Middle
- St Edward's RFE Middle
- St Peters CE Middle
- Trevelyan Middle

2 Upper Schools

Ages 13 to 18
NCY 9 to 13

- The Windsor Boys' School
- Windsor Girls' School

NCY = National Curriculum Years
FE = Further Education

2 Secondary Schools

Ages 11 to 18
NCY 7 to 13

- Churchmead CE Secondary School
- Holyport College

1 FE College

Ages 16 to 19+
NCY 12 to 13+


- East Berkshire College

More details, and a location map, are given at www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm

Why do we need more places?

The birth rate nationally has increased significantly since 2001. Locally, the population has also been increasing, and half of the first schools in Windsor have been expanded in the last five years. The children in these schools have started moving onto middle schools, and will soon be old enough to start in the upper schools. We need to make sure that there are enough places in both the middle and the upper schools. St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School has already been expanded, in September 2013.

Chart and table showing the projected demand for Year 5 places in middle schools



The chart and table show that there are 450 Year 5 places in the Windsor middle schools. The demand for places is set to rise from 400 in September 2014 to 501 by September 2020. This is a rise of 101, and will mean a shortage of more than 30 places by September 2018. **It is proposed, therefore, that 60 places per year group be added to the middle schools, starting with Year 5 in September 2018.**

Chart and table showing the projected demand for Year 9 places in upper schools



The chart and table show that there are currently 488 Year 9 places in Windsor.

Note: The newly opened Holyport College is taking double intakes into Year 9 in 2014 and 2015 so that it fills up with pupils as quickly as possible. The school will have a normal Year 9 intake in September 2016, which is why the number of Year 9 places available in Windsor falls to 448 in 2016.

Demand for places is set to rise from 462 in September 2014 to 544 by September 2022. This is a rise of over 80, and will mean a shortage of 60 places by September 2017. **It is proposed, therefore, that 60 places per year group be added to the upper schools, starting with Year 9 in September 2017.**

New housing in Windsor

The Royal Borough is currently developing a Borough Local Plan, which will set the long-term strategy for new housing and infrastructure locally. This plan, which is expected to be finalised in early 2016, could result in an increase in the number of new homes built in Windsor. The borough will be carrying out further consultation on the local plan later this year. The final shape of the plan will, of course, affect the demand for middle and upper school places in the town, and later phases of this programme will need to reflect that.

Surplus places

Creating more secondary places will also help us keep some surplus – spare – school places in Windsor. This makes it easier to offer more families their preferred school and have places for families moving into the area. Ideally, the surplus should be 5-10%.

Funding new school places

Work on finding out the likely cost of the proposals outlined in this document is underway. Most of the funding for the proposals will come from a government grant called 'Basic Need', which is given to us for the purpose of creating new school places. There is also some money available to us from housing developers, who are required to contribute towards the cost of providing new infrastructure.

As might be expected, some of the proposals will be more expensive than others. The Royal Borough will need to consider cost, as well as a range of other factors, when deciding which proposals to take forward. It is important that the proposals are affordable, using the limited funding outlined above.

What happens if no new school places are provided?

If none of the proposals go ahead then some children and young people will be left without school places. Others would find themselves taught in schools that are overcrowded or farther away from their home than their families would like.

Looking for new sites and buildings

We have only a limited number of school sites that are large enough for any further expansion. This means that we may have to acquire new land and/or buildings to allow us to extend existing schools or open new ones. It may be possible to convert buildings that have been used for other purposes – large houses, warehouses, shops – into classrooms, sports halls and other school facilities and we are investigating this further.

What you say matters

Parents, staff, governors, dioceses and community organisations are all being consulted. Your views are vital so please take time to be part of this consultation. You can tell us what you think by doing one of the following::

- completing the online response form: www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm
- completing the paper response form (provided with the hard copy of this document) and:
 - returning it to your school, who will forward it on to us; or
 - posting it to Windsor Places Consultation, Zone E, Town Hall, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF
- writing to the address above
- emailing windsor.places@rbwm.gov.uk

The closing date for your comments is Monday 3rd November 2014

What happens after the consultation finishes?

The Royal Borough's Cabinet will consider the outcome of the consultation on 11th December 2014. At this meeting councillors will take your views into account before making a decision on which proposals should be given the go-ahead.

If, in partnership with the governing bodies, Cabinet decides to go ahead with any options, we will publish formal proposals in January 2015. There will then be a four-week representation period to allow residents to submit objections to/comments on the formal proposals. The Royal Borough will make its final decision in February 2015. Any changes involving academies will need to be approved by the Secretary of State for Education.

Produced by the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead
In association with secondary, middle and upper schools and the FE Colleges

September 2014

If you have any queries about this document, please contact Ben Wright on 01628 796572 or at windsor.places@rbwm.gov.uk



Proposals for more school places - Windsor

Our ambition is to ensure that all our children and young people can get a high-quality education to help them achieve their potential. We are putting forward proposals not just to provide extra places, but to ensure that high educational standards are maintained locally. Any expansion of existing provision will only occur at schools that:

- are consistently rated as 'Good' or 'Outstanding' by Ofsted
- have pupil attainment that is at or better than national averages
- are consistently oversubscribed

Phase 1 – up to September 2017

Proposal 1 – More Year 9 places in Windsor

Provide 60 more Year 9 places in September 2017 by doing one of the following:

Proposal 1a – Expand The Windsor Boys' School by 60 places per year group

The Windsor Boys' School would expand, taking an extra 60 children per year group, starting in September 2017. The school would probably need six new classrooms, more seminar rooms for the 6th form, as well as additional toilets, circulation space and parking. A new all-weather pitch may also be needed.

Proposal 1b – Expand Windsor Girls' School by 60 places per year group

Windsor Girls' School would expand, taking an extra 60 children per year group, starting in September 2017. The school would probably need six new classrooms, extra dining space, more seminar rooms for the 6th form, as well as additional toilets, circulation space and parking. A new all-weather pitch may also be needed.

Proposal 1c – Expand both schools

Both Windsor upper schools would expand, taking the extra 60 children between them, starting in September 2017. Both schools would probably need four new classrooms, seminar rooms, toilets, circulation space, parking & all-weather pitches.

	Proposed increase per year	Published Admission No.		School Size	
		Now	Proposed	Now	Proposed
Windsor Girls'	+30	178	208	750	850
Windsor Boys'	+30	230	260	950	1,120
Windsor Girls'	+60	178	238	750	950
Windsor Boys'	+60	230	290	950	1,250

Both schools serve the whole of the Windsor area, and it is not proposed that the school designated areas (catchments) be changed.

A second round of expansions at the upper schools is likely to be needed for September 2020 and beyond, adding another 60 Year 9 places.

? **Should an extra 60 Year 9 places be provided at The Windsor Boys', Windsor Girls' or across both schools?**

Proposal 2 – Improving post-16 provision

Further develop and expand provision for young people aged 16 to 19 years old

East Berkshire College has been working with the schools in Windsor to establish new provision for 14-19 year olds. This provision, called the Alliance Technical Academy, will allow more young people (at 14-16 and post 16) to access courses run by East Berkshire College at the Windsor College site, whilst still being taught at their school. The Alliance Technical Academy will focus on technical subjects (e.g. engineering, science and technology) and provide access to more specialised A Levels. This will provide a greater range of courses.

It is also proposed that there should be more apprenticeships, so that more young people can 'learn on the job' with a local employer whilst being supported by a local further education college. These apprenticeships can offer a route through to degree level education.

These proposals should help provide a 'pathway' for young people from education into employment in skill areas that are in demand from local employers.

? **What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?**

Phase 2 – September 2018 to September 2019

Proposal 3 – Providing more places in Windsor

Providing more Year 5 and Year 9 places in Windsor

More places in the town's middle schools will be needed by September 2018.

Proposal 3a - Expanding middle schools

St Edward's, St Peter's & Trevelyan could all be expanded by 30 places per year group, whilst Dedworth could be expanded by 60 places per year group. One proposal is that Dedworth Middle School would expand, taking an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018. The school would probably need eight new classrooms, extra dining room or hall space, as well as additional toilets, circulation space and parking. A new all-weather pitch could also be needed.

	Proposed increase per year	Published Admission No.		School Size	
		Now	Proposed	Now	Proposed
Dedworth Middle	+60	120	180	450	720

Dedworth Middle serves the whole of the Windsor area, and it is not proposed that the school designated area (catchment) be changed.

? **Should we expand Dedworth Middle School by 60 places per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?**

New middle – and new upper – school places could also be provided by:

Proposal 3b - New schools

The Royal Borough is examining the possibility of opening new secondary sector schools in Windsor to increase diversity and choice in the system. A new free school, Holyport College, has already opened, providing new Year 9 places for 2014.

Proposal 3c - Satellite grammar schools

The Royal Borough is investigating whether grammar school places can be provided. This can only be done by opening a 'satellite' of an existing grammar school.

If, following consultation, these options are taken forward then specific proposals will be developed in 2015 for consultation with residents.

? **Should the borough investigate these options for providing more secondary sector places?**

Some details about the schools and colleges

	Ofsted rating (year)	Pupil attainment over past 5 years	Demand for places
Windsor Boys'	Requires Improvement (2013)	Consistently at or above national averages at GCSE. A Level results have improved over the past two years, and the progress of pupils is above national averages.	More applications than places
Monitoring visits indicate that the school should return to 'Good' shortly.			
Windsor Girls'	Outstanding (2013)	Consistently well above national averages at GCSE. A Level results have significantly improved over the last few years and the progress of students is above national averages.	More applications than places
Dedworth Middle	Good (2013)	Attainment at Key Stage 2 has been steadily improving and is now equal to national averages. Attainment at Year 8 was identified as 'Good' by Ofsted.	More applications than places
East Berks College (Windsor College site)	Good (2013)	Success rates are high and the majority of students make good progress, better than national averages.	More applications than places

Other supporting proposals

These additional proposals will not add any significant number of new places into the secondary sector, but will increase choice.

Ongoing

Proposal 4 – All-through schools

'All-through' schools are schools that teach children from the ages of 4 to 18. With one headteacher, governing body and budget, they offer a way to provide consistency of education for pupils across all key stages. They also remove the transition from primary to secondary education that some children find challenging.

One way to create all-through schools in the Royal Borough would be to combine primary schools and secondary schools that are located on adjacent sites, such as Dedworth Green First and Dedworth Middle Schools.

? **Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?**

Proposal 5 – Multi-academy trusts

By mid-2015 most secondary sector schools in the Royal Borough will be academies. A number of academies are now exploring ways of working together to allow them to pool resources and expertise, and so improve teaching and learning. Schools in a 'multi-academy trust' (MAT) are run by one overarching academy trust. This trust:

- is accountable and responsible for management /performance of all its schools.
- employs all school staff, who can be moved between schools as needed.
- retains part of each school's budget so it can buy shared services for them.

An alternative model is the 'Umbrella Trust', where member schools set up a trust that can act on their behalf (to purchase services, for example). Schools retain their own local control, however, and schools do not have to be academies to join.

? **Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy and umbrella trusts in Windsor?**

Proposal 6 – More collaboration

There are opportunities to provide students with access to a broader range of academic and vocational subjects by improving collaboration between schools and with local Further Education colleges eg. BCA (Berkshire College of Agriculture) and East Berkshire College. Demand for some specialist courses (music, modern languages, textiles) is too low to make courses at individual schools viable. This can be overcome by schools and colleges working together. The model is ideally suited to Windsor due to the close proximity of the schools and colleges.

? **What are your thoughts on increased collaboration between schools and colleges to improve provision for 14-19 year olds?**

Views from the schools and colleges

Stuart Muir, Headteacher, Dedworth Middle School:

"Expansion at Dedworth will help our popular - and full to capacity - school's growth and development as we strive towards 'Outstanding' status. Our facilities and resources would be improved and modernised, so that our state of the art educational, sporting and cultural facilities can enrich the lives of the whole community".

Gill Labrum (Windsor Girls') and Gavin Henderson (Windsor Boys'), Headteachers:

"We believe that increasing formal collaboration and supporting a balanced expansion across The Windsor Boys School and Windsor Girls' School will secure the long term success of both schools and ensure high quality education for boys & girls in Windsor."

Gillian May, Principal, BCA and Kate Webb, Principal, East Berkshire College

"BCA and East Berkshire College are delighted to work in partnership with local schools to offer young people choice and aspirational pathways to work and higher education."


Expansion of Charters School

Should we, from September 2019, expand the Year 7 intake at:


Charters School?

(by 30 places per year group)

All respondents




Charters School respondents



Proposal 3a (Ascot)

Responses received from:



Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Must provide places to meet demand	(Charters)	20
Expansion will affect standards at school	(Charters)	13
Don't want a larger school	(Charters)	12
Will need new facilities to enable expand	(Charters)	10
Concern about amount of new housing	(Charters)	9
Better to have a wider choice of schools	(Charters)	8
Note the expansion of Ascot Heath	(Charters)	8
Ascot Heath Junior School feeder status in doubt?	(Charters)	6
Take fewer out-borough children	(Charters)	5
Earlier expansion is needed	(Charters)	5
Forecasts wrong/poor planning	(Charters)	4
Review primary provision in Ascot	(Charters)	4
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead	(Charters)	4
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues	(Charters)	3
Forecasts wrong/poor planning	(Charters)	3
Expand here: is successful and popular	(Charters)	3
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools	(Charters)	3
Expand here, most cost effective solution	(Charters)	2
Buildings already in poor condition/too small	(Charters)	2
Reduce designated area	(Charters)	2
Don't reduce designated area	(Charters)	2
Split into several sites to enable expansion	(Charters)	2
Will another round of expansion be needed?	(Charters)	2
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(Charters)	1
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead	(Charters)	1
Fraudulent applications	(Charters)	1
Consider lottery	(Charters)	1
Automatic transfer from feeder school	(Charters)	1
Cost of living, difficult to attract staff	(Charters)	1
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools	(Charters)	1
Is the expansion programme properly funded?	(Charters)	1
Liaise with other LAs to provide places	(Charters)	1
Need to retain playing fields	(Charters)	1
Not enough space on site for expansion	(Charters)	1
Reduce immigration	(Charters)	1
School has the leadership capacity to expand	(Charters)	1
School is an academy so can say no	(Charters)	1
School places should be local to demand	(Charters)	1
School places should be local to demand	(Charters)	1
Timetabling will be difficult if school expands	(Charters)	1
Free schools not always successful	(Charters)	1


Expansion of Furze Platt Senior School

Should we, from September 2017, expand the Year 7 intake at:


Furze Platt Senior School?

(by 60 places per year group)

All respondents




Furze Platt Senior School respondents



Proposal 1 (Maidenhead)

Responses received from:



Issues raised in comments...


Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Don't want a larger school	(FPSS)	24
Spread expansion across several schools		19
Expansion will affect standards at school	(FPSS)	12
Expand here: is successful and popular	(FPSS)	11
Will need new facilities to enable expand	(FPSS)	9
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues	(FPSS)	8
Better to have a wider choice of schools		8
Must provide places to meet demand		8
Don't want a larger school		7
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools		7
Concern about amount of new housing		7
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools		6
Expand here: is successful and popular		5
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead	(FPSS)	5
Cookham should have FPSS priority	(FPSS)	4
Not enough space on site for expansion	(FPSS)	4
School is in poor location to meet expected demand	(FPSS)	3
Must provide places to meet demand	(FPSS)	3
Will need new facilities to enable expand		3
Automatic transfer from feeder school		2
Buildings already in poor condition/too small	(FPSS)	2
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues		2
Expansion will affect focus on individual	(FPSS)	2
School places should be local to demand	(FPSS)	2
Take fewer out-borough children		2
School has enough space for expansion	(FPSS)	2
School places should be local to demand		2
Expansion will affect standards at school		2
Don't use temporary accommodation for expansion		1
School has the leadership capacity to expand	(FPSS)	1
Cookham shouldn't have FPSS priority		1
Criteria unfair for village children		1
Reduce immigration		1
Buildings already in poor condition/too small		1
Need to ensure sufficient teachers		1
Expansion will affect focus on individual		1
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead		1
Forecasts wrong/poor planning		1
End single-sex education		1
Expand here, most cost effective solution	(FPSS)	1
Is the expansion programme properly funded?	(FPSS)	1

Expansion of Maidenhead Secondary Schools


Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?

The 'other' Maidenhead secondary schools are Altwood, Cox Green, Desborough, Holyport College and Newlands

All respondents




Other Maidenhead secondary school respondents




Proposal 3a (Maidenhead)

Responses received from:



Suggestions made for other Maidenhead secondary schools to expand



Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Spread expansion across several schools		19
Better to have a wider choice of schools		8
Must provide places to meet demand		8
Don't want a larger school		7
Concern about amount of new housing		7
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools		7
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools		6
Expand here: is successful and popular		5
Will need new facilities to enable expand		3
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues		2
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(Desborough)	2
Automatic transfer from feeder school		2
Expansion will affect standards at school		2
School places should be local to demand		2
Take fewer out-borough children	(Altwood)	2
Take fewer out-borough children		2
End single-sex education	(Desborough)	1
Cookham shouldn't have FPSS priority		1
Criteria unfair for village children		1
Buildings already in poor condition/too small		1
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(Altwood)	1
Forecasts wrong/poor planning		1
End single-sex education		1
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead		1
Don't use temporary accommodation for expansion		1
Don't want a larger school	(Newlands)	1
Don't want a larger school	(Cox Green)	1
Expansion will affect focus on individual		1
Don't want a larger school	(Desborough)	1
Reduce immigration		1
Expand here, most cost effective solution	(Desborough)	1
Expand here: is successful and popular	(Newlands)	1
Take fewer out-borough children	(Cox Green)	1
Maintain balance of co-ed & single-sex in M'head		1
School has the leadership capacity to expand	(Desborough)	1
Need high standards across all schools		1
Need to ensure sufficient teachers		1
Don't want a larger school	(Altwood)	1


Expansion of the Windsor Upper Schools

Should we, from September 2017, expand the Year 9 intake at:


Windsor Boys' School?

(by 60 places per year group)

All respondents



The Windsor Boys' School respondents




Proposal 1a (Windsor)


Windsor Girls' School?

(by 60 places per year group)

All respondents



Windsor Girls' School respondents




Proposal 1b (Windsor)


both schools?

(by 60 places per year group between them)

All respondents




Respondents from both upper schools



Proposal 1c (Windsor)

Responses received from:



Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Need to expand BOTH upper schools		23
Windsor needs co-ed upper school places		19
Abolish Windsor three tier system		10
Better to have a wider choice of schools		8
Take fewer out-borough children		8
Must provide places to meet demand		5
Will need new facilities to enable expand	(TWBS)	5
Not enough space on site for expansion	(TWBS)	5
Will need new facilities to enable expand	(WGS)	4
School places should be local to demand		3
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues		3
Consultation document awful		3
Don't want a larger school	(TWBS)	3
Concern about amount of new housing		3
Buildings already in poor condition/too small	(TWBS)	2
Don't want a larger school		2
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools		2
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead		2
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools		2
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues	(WGS)	2
Retain Windsor three tier system		2
School has enough space for expansion	(WGS)	2
Spread expansion across several schools		2
Wider access to offsite sports facilities		2
Forecasts wrong/poor planning		2
Not enough space on site for expansion	(WGS)	2
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues	(TWBS)	1
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(WGS)	1
Free schools not always successful		1
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead	(TWBS)	1
Proposals a smokescreen for other agenda		1
Don't want a larger school	(WGS)	1
Community Support Integration with Placements		1
School has enough space for expansion	(TWBS)	1
Expansion will affect standards at school	(WGS)	1
Windsor needs co-ed sixth form places		1
Need to ensure sufficient teachers		1
Need to retain playing fields		1
Expansion will affect standards at school	(TWBS)	1

(TWBS) - The Windsor Boys' School. WGS - Windsor Girls' School


Expansion of Dedworth Middle School

Should we, from September 2018, expand the Year 5 intake at:


Dedworth Middle School?

(by 60 places per year group)

All respondents




Dedworth Middle School respondents



Proposal 3a (Windsor)

Responses received from:



Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Abolish Windsor three tier system		10
Take fewer out-borough children		8
Better to have a wider choice of schools		8
Don't want a larger school	(Dedworth)	7
Must provide places to meet demand		5
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues		3
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(Dedworth)	3
Concern about amount of new housing		3
Spread expansion across several schools	(Dedworth)	3
School places should be local to demand		3
School has enough space for expansion	(Dedworth)	3
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools		2
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead		2
Don't want a larger school		2
Expand here: is successful and popular	(Dedworth)	2
Expansion will affect standards at school	(Dedworth)	2
Expansion will bring traffic/parking issues	(Dedworth)	2
Has already been expanded once	(St ERFs)	2
School places should be local to demand	(Dedworth)	2
Retain Windsor three tier system		2
Will need new facilities to enable expand	(Dedworth)	2
Wider access to offsite sports facilities		2
Forecasts wrong/poor planning		2
Spread expansion across several schools		2
Expansions more cost-effective than new schools		2
Reduce designated area		1
Unfair admissions system for Datchet/Wraysbury		1
Buildings already in poor condition/too small	(Dedworth)	1
Don't expand unsuccessful/unpopular schools	(St Peter's)	1
Don't increase class sizes. add staff instead	(Dedworth)	1
School has excellent shared resources	(Dedworth)	1
Expand here: is successful and popular	(St ERFs)	1
Not enough space on site for expansion	(Dedworth)	1
Need to retain playing fields		1
Need to ensure sufficient teachers		1
Larger schools better	(Dedworth)	1
Automatic transfer from feeder school		1
Free schools not always successful		1


(Dedworth) - Dedworth Middle; (St ERFs) - St Edward's RFE Middle; (St Peter's) - St Peter's Middle

Other options for secondary places


Should we investigate other options for providing more secondary places?

Including new schools and satellite grammar schools.


All respondents




balance of *comments* made regarding new schools




balance of *comments* made regarding satellite grammar




Ascot respondents




balance of *comments* made regarding:




Maidenhead respondents




balance of *comments* made regarding:



Windsor respondents



balance of *comments* made regarding:



Proposals 3b and 3c (all areas)


Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Build a new school(s)		83
Grammar - for grammar in RBWM		56
Grammar - against grammar schools in RBWM		47
Grammar - threat to current comprehensive system		20
Grammar - should be more local than at present		18
New school - need Catholic provision		14
Grammar - 'cream off' brightest		11
Don't build new school(s)		10
Grammar - tutoring for 11+ is inappropriate		6
New school - site?		6
Grammar - allows for challenge of brighter pupils		5
Grammar - provides fewer new places than other opt		5
Grammar - doesn't benefit the wider community		4
Grammar - legal barriers to setting one up		4
New school - already excellent. Why change?		3
Grammar - labels children 'failures' early		2
Grammar - need more information		2
Grammar - expensive option to implement		2
New school - unproven		2
Grammar - already available in neighbouring areas		2
Where will a new school be located?		2
Grammar - creates home to school transport issue		1
Grammar - doesn't benefit the wider community		1
Grammar - artificial barriers		1
Grammar - good for all abilities		1
Grammar - RBWM will need to go entirely grammar		1
More provision needed for more able pupils		1
New school - already excellent. Why change?		1
New school - could concentrate on vocational		1
No new schools on green belt		1
Use Claires Court site for new school		1
Grammar - needs to be for local children		1


All-through schools

Should we, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools?


All respondents




Ascot respondents



Maidenhead respondents




Windsor respondents



Proposal 4 (all areas)

Issues raised in comments...




(Dedworth) - Dedworth Middle; (FPSS) - Furze Platt Senior School; (St ERFs) - St Edward's RFE Middle; (Trevelyan) - Trevelyan Middle

Multi-academy trusts


Should we, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy trusts?

Multi-academy trusts and umbrella trusts


All respondents




Ascot respondents



Maidenhead respondents



Windsor respondents



Proposal 5 (all areas)

Issues raised in comments...

Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Can provide cost savings		26
Need more information		14
Allows sharing of best practice		11
Need to retain local control		11
Too big and bureaucratic		10
LAs have an important role		9
Requires good leadership		9
Reduce choice and diversity		6
Unnecessary, waste of time/money		6
Allows more subjects/specialisation		4
"Yes" Umbrella Trusts; "No" MATs		3
Don't support moving staff between schools		3
Reinvention of LA functions		3
"Yes" MATs; "No" Umbrella Trusts		2
Not feasible locally		2
Not all academies prioritise teaching		2
Examples of poor control and finances		2
Will improve teaching/learning		2
Against unified teaching force		1
Good idea for TWBS and WGS	(WGS)	1
Against academy status	(WGS)	1
Doesn't provide any additional spaces	(WGS)	1
Good idea for TWBS and WGS	(TWBS)	1
Good idea for TWBS and WGS	(TWBS)	1
Have a Catholic MAT		1
Against academy status	(TWBS)	1
Must not result in transfer of resources	(TWBS)	1
Should be more LA involvement		1
Recipe for ongoing change		1
Requires commonality between schools		1
Requires support from LA		1
Road to privatisation of schools		1
Schools must be able to choose to join		1
Structure irrelevant if standards retained		1
Travel time for staff between sites		1
Loss of accountability		1


More collaboration

What are your thoughts on increased collaboration?

Between schools and with colleges to improve provision for 14-19 year olds


All respondents

Balance of comments made for and against more collaboration between schools and with the colleges




Ascot respondents

Balance of comments for and against




Maidenhead respondents

Balance of comments for and against



Windsor respondents

Balance of comments for and against



Proposal 6 (all areas)

Issues raised in comments...


Issue raised	School	Count of comments
Collaboration - in favour of more collaboration		179
Allows more subjects/specialisation		37
Collaboration - can provide cost savings		13
Collaboration - more scope for student specialisms		11
Collaboration - will need effective transport		9
Collaboration - against of more collaboration		7
Collaboration - include the private sector		6
Collaboration - will improve teaching/learning		6
At odds with competition agenda		4
Allows sharing of good practice		4
Collaboration - schools already collaborate enough		3
Collaboration - curently ineffective		2
Collaboration - may encourage more to access FE		1
Allows better professional development of staff		1
Collaboration - schools must control process		1
Collaboration - way to provide co-ed teaching?		1
Collaboration - difficult to co-ordinate?		1

Improving post-16 provision


What new courses, both academic & vocational, do you think should be offered?

For 16 to 19 year olds locally

Suggested courses that should be available



Issues raised in comments...





Maidenhead Places Consultation
Zone E
Town Hall
St Ives Road
Maidenhead
Berkshire SL6 1RF

27th October 2014

Dear Sir/Madam,

New secondary school places in Maidenhead

I am writing in response to the above consultation. As the Member of Parliament for Maidenhead, I welcome this consultation and the Royal Borough's focus on meeting rising demand for school places in the local area.

The consultation document makes clear the need for increased secondary school capacity in Maidenhead, with an expected shortfall of over 100 places by 2020. I welcome the Royal Borough's overall approach to meeting this demand and the wide-ranging nature of the options being proposed.

The development of the Borough Local Plan is clearly of central importance. It is essential that the development of the Local Plan and the proposals for increased school capacity proceed in tandem with each other, and that the need for more school places is integral to consideration of more housing.

I wish to comment on three particular proposals:

More Year 7 places (Proposal 1)

I broadly welcome the proposal to expand Furze Platt Senior School by 60 places, which is fully supported by the school.

Desborough College has informed me that it too has the potential to provide additional places and, indeed, has places available now. What consideration has been given to places at Desborough?

New schools (Proposal 3b)

Given the number of new dwellings anticipated in Maidenhead, an entirely new school site is quite likely to be necessary. As the 'Expansion of Secondary Sector Provision' Cabinet paper notes, 55% of currently identified locations for new dwellings on non-restricted sites are in the Maidenhead area.



The paper therefore concludes that, if there is need for a new school, this is most likely to arise in Maidenhead rather than any other part of the Borough. I welcome this recognition and would encourage the council to pursue this proposal, with a clear focus on Maidenhead as the priority within the Borough.

Satellite grammar schools (Proposal 3c)

Grammar schools attract considerable support from Maidenhead residents, despite the fact that all of our local grammar schools are located outside of the Royal Borough. On average, a quarter of families in the Borough apply to a grammar school as their first preference, and the vast majority of these are from Maidenhead. Around a third of these applications are not successful. As the Cabinet paper notes, this suggests a “significant unmet demand”.

The paper also notes that there are likely to be families who do not currently apply for a grammar school place because the existing schools are too far away. I strongly suspect that this is the case. A satellite site in Maidenhead could help meet demand from families that are not currently able to travel to one of the existing school sites.

I note with interest that two local grammar schools have expressed an interest in pursuing the satellite option. This is to be welcomed, and I would encourage the council to further explore whether a satellite site could be established in Maidenhead to help meet the demand of local families. Of course, care must be taken to ensure that any satellite school is compatible with legislation preventing the introduction of new selective schools. However, if a good school wishes to expand in line with existing legislation then this must be seriously considered.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. May'.

The Rt Hon Theresa May MP

Ben Wright

From: Kate Webb <Kate.Webb@eastberks.ac.uk>
Sent: 11 November 2014 16:27
To: Ben Wright
Subject: Response to Consultation- Windsor, Maidenhead and Ascot from East Berkshire College

WINDSOR Proposal 2

East Berkshire College plans to further develop and expand Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) courses at level 2,3 and higher levels and creative & design courses at levels 2,3 and higher levels.

The College has won funding from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership (TVBLEP) to develop STEM facilities at Windsor College, providing specialist facilities for local residents to access either through full time enrolment at the College or through collaboration with local schools. The facilities will enhance choice and quality of sixth form provision and provide seamless pathways into higher level provision, including higher level apprenticeships and foundation degrees in engineering, science, computing.

The College also continues to develop partnerships (e.g. with The Firestation Arts Centre and London College of Fashion) to provide progression to higher level creative arts courses for local residents.

Both initiatives create affordable, local, relevant higher education for Windsor and Maidenhead both in the arts and STEM.

WINDSOR Proposal 5

The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.

WINDSOR Proposal 6

East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.

MAIDENHEAD Proposal 2

East Berkshire College plans to further develop and expand Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) courses at level 2,3 and higher levels and creative & design courses at levels 2,3 and higher levels.

The College has won funding from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership (TVBLEP) to develop STEM facilities at Windsor College, providing specialist facilities for local residents to access either through full time enrolment at the College or through collaboration with local schools. The facilities will enhance choice and quality of sixth form provision and provide seamless pathways into higher level provision, including higher level apprenticeships and foundation degrees in engineering, science, computing.

The College also continues to develop partnerships (e.g. with The Firestation Arts Centre and London College of Fashion) to provide progression to higher level creative arts courses for local residents.

Both initiatives create affordable, local, relevant higher education for Windsor and Maidenhead both in the arts and STEM.

MAIDENHEAD Proposal 5

The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.

MAIDENHEAD Proposal 6

East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.

ASCOT Proposal 2

East Berkshire College plans to further develop and expand Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) courses at level 2,3 and higher levels and creative & design courses at levels 2,3 and higher levels.

The College has won funding from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership (TVBLEP) to develop STEM facilities at Windsor College, providing specialist facilities for local residents to access either through full time enrolment at the College or through collaboration with local schools. The facilities will enhance choice and quality of sixth form provision and provide seamless pathways into higher level provision, including higher level apprenticeships and foundation degrees in engineering, science, computing.

The College also continues to develop partnerships (e.g. with The Firestation Arts Centre and London College of Fashion) to provide progression to higher level creative arts courses for local residents.

Both initiatives create affordable, local, relevant higher education for Windsor and Maidenhead both in the arts and STEM.

ASCOT Proposal 5

The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.

ASCOT Proposal 6

East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.

Kate Webb
Principal and Chief Executive

T: 01753 793202 F: 01753 793358
E: kate.webb@eastberks.ac.uk





**NEW C
OUT**

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com

Ben Wright

From: Chainani, Stephen <schainani@buckscc.gov.uk>
Sent: 13 November 2014 18:02
To: Ben Wright
Cc: Campbell-Balcombe, Paula; Holding, Sarah; Munday, Chris (CYP)
Subject: re: CONSULTATION ON NEW SECONDARY SCHOOL PLACES IN THE ROYAL BOROUGH

Dear Ben

I am writing in response to the above consultation on new school school places in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead (RBWM).

Currently, there are approximately 4 forms of entry (fe) surplus places in Maidenhead schools – where 1fe is equivalent to a class of 30. Child benefit data suggests that demand will rise by 6fe over the period to 2021 assuming nil net migration based on the latest child benefit data – although Buckinghamshire County Council (BCC) acknowledges the uncertainty with secondary projections due to volatility in migration trends and parental choice. This would suggest the need for at least an additional 2fe (assuming the net flow of pupils from out county remains unchanged) which is in line with current proposals to expand Furze Platt by 2fe from September 2017. The fall in the 11+ population indicated by the child benefit data after Sept 2021 would suggest that further additional capacity may not be necessary (although bulge classes may be required if there is any volatility in trends in some year groups). This would also suggest that maintaining 10% surplus places is likely to result in excessive surplus places when the population starts to fall. Moreover, DfE guidance on surplus place targets relate to overall surplus capacity so planning for less than 5% surplus at Year 7 might be more appropriate (e.g. 2-3% would require an additional 1-2fe) – especially as parental choice is exercised to a greater degree at secondary level and the schools cover a much wider urban area.

The grammar school annexe is a longer term option (i.e. the consultation document states that it is unlikely that it could be implemented before September 2018 at the earliest) so any proposal may need to be subject to a review of the population trends - if the places are being provided to meet the increased demand from the additional housing currently being proposed by the borough). BCC however acknowledges DfE guidance which states:

Reducing surplus places is not a priority (unless running at very high levels). For parental choice to work effectively there may be some surplus capacity in the system as a whole. Competition from additional schools and places in the system will lead to pressure on existing schools to improve standards.

In September 2014, of the 4986 Bucks resident children that were allocated a Bucks secondary school, 1486 went to a grammar school (i.e. 30%) – which would suggest that there is some potential unmet demand for grammar school places in RBWM - where 102 children largely from Maidenhead were allocated a Bucks grammar school (equivalent to 13.6% of the Year 6 Maidenhead cohort).

BCC acknowledges some of the concerns expressed in the Cabinet Member report regarding the creation of a grammar school annexe including:

- The scale/nature of the change means that unforeseen consequences are likely – particularly with regard to parental choice as this will involve at least some re-providing of school places that are already available elsewhere;

- The proposal could lead to a surplus of places in Bucks grammar schools which would lead to a reduction in their budgets and the money available for staffing/resources. While BCC do expect rising demand in the High Wycombe area – this will be largely concentrated in the upper school sector due to 11+ qualification rates;
- Site is yet to be identified – so difficult to understand how admissions arrangements including catchment areas would operate;
- No guarantee that the Secretary of State would approve the proposal – as would need to demonstrate that there was sufficient integration between the two sites;
- Responsibility needs to be agreed on funding arrangements – including revenue costs, start-up costs, home to school transport;
- Size of annexe could result in children from outside RBWM attending the new provision thus reducing the number of places available to RBWM children;
- Viability of a split-site school.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries or require any further information.

Kind Regards

Stephen Chainani
 School Place Planning Commissioning Partner
 Learning, Skills and Prevention
 Buckinghamshire County Council
 01296 383863

From: Ben Wright [<mailto:Ben.Wright@RBWM.gov.uk>]
Sent: 22 September 2014 12:40
To: Imbriano, Sue
Cc: Chainani, Stephen
Subject: CONSULTATION ON NEW SECONDARY SCHOOL PLACES IN THE ROYAL BOROUGH

Dear Mrs Imbriano,

Good afternoon. I am writing to you on behalf of the Royal Borough about a new consultation that we are carrying out concerning new secondary school provision in Ascot, Maidenhead and Windsor, as follows:

CONSULTATION ON NEW SECONDARY SCHOOL PLACES IN THE ROYAL BOROUGH

The number of children and young people needing secondary school education in the Royal Borough is going to rise over the next decade, and we need to make sure that there are enough school places for them. We are now consulting you on proposals on how best to provide these places. You can access the consultation, and an online response form, via the borough's website at http://www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_consultations.htm.

Please take the time to read the consultation and respond. Your views are important to us. All responses received by Monday 3rd November will be considered by the Royal Borough's Cabinet when it meets in December. If you have any questions about the consultation, please email ben.wright@rbwm.gov.uk or contact Ben Wright, Education Planning Officer on 01628 796572.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries about the consultation. If you need hard copies of the document, please let me know – we are printing a limited number.

Kind regards

Ben

Ben Wright
Education Planning Officer
Sufficiency and Places Team
Children's Services Directorate
The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead
Town Hall, St Ives Road, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF

01628 796572
www.rbwm.gov.uk

Did you know that each person in the UK uses over 200kg. of paper every year? Please respect the environment. ONLY print this e-mail if you have to.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the individuals(s) or destination to which it is addressed.

Any unauthorised use, retention, distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited.


If you have received this transmission in error please call the RBWM Customer Service Centre on 01628 683800 to let us know, or notify us by e-mail on customer.service@rbwm.gov.uk

Please then delete the message.

Any views expressed by the sender of this e-mail are not necessarily those of the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead. All communications sent to or from the Council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation and are subject to and may be disclosable under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

All e-mails sent are checked for viruses, but this does not constitute a guarantee. The Council will not accept liability for any damage caused by a virus or other malware.

Thank you <http://www.rbwm.gov.uk>



Buckinghamshire County Council

Visit our Web Site : <http://www.bucksc.gov.uk>

Buckinghamshire County Council Email Disclaimer

This Email, and any attachments, may contain Protected or Restricted information and is intended solely for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain sensitive or protectively marked material and should be handled accordingly. If this Email has been misdirected, please notify the author or postmaster@buckscc.gov.uk immediately. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, distribute, copy, print or rely on any of the information contained in it or attached, and all copies must be deleted immediately. Whilst we take reasonable steps to try to identify any software viruses, any attachments to this Email may nevertheless contain viruses which our anti-virus software has failed to identify. You should therefore carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any documents.

Buckinghamshire County Council will not accept any liability for damage caused by computer viruses emanating from any attachment or other document supplied with this email.

All GCSx traffic may be subject to recording and / or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

The views expressed in this email are not necessarily those of Buckinghamshire County Council unless explicitly stated.

This footnote also confirms that this email has been swept for content and for the presence of computer viruses.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Member of Staff Charters School ID: 2169

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational courses. Pupils feel under pressure to get A levels and go to university when this isn't necessarily the most suitable route for them. There aren't enough practical subjects, especially considering that students have to stay on at school until the age of 17 now. I think there should be more courses/apprenticeships for electricians, plumbers, mechanics etc.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Building extra class rooms would help alleviate the problem of where the students would go but this does not provide more space in the dinner hall, more toilets nor space in the PE changing rooms. Also, it will not help with timetabling another group in, which is already difficult enough with 240 students, let alone 270 per year group. The school is limited on space as it is and in 5 years time that would mean 150 extra students. I just don't see how the facilities would cope with the extra demand.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think a new school would be a better option than trying to make Charters bigger. You could call on the expertise of staff at Charters to help start it up/ form a partner school if you want to continue the legacy of Charters. A grammar school would just cream off the top students from Charters and would take away from the excellent comprehensive system in place.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I don't see that this would be a bad system as it would encourage consistency between primary and secondary schooling. However, moving to a new school with new teachers is a fresh start for some pupils making the transition from primary.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I am still wary of the effects on Academy schools. I am lucky enough to work in an academy where the heads are fair and have the staff and pupils' best interests at heart. However, this is not the case for all academies.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2170
 Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)* **Answer**

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

Vocational courses are an option, but they shouldn't be made di rigeur for children who, say, do not pass the 11 plus or an exam at 11. The grammar schools system was actually the failure of whole generations, as many who got in weren't suited to grammar schools, and many who didn't and developed later on were excluded from fulfilling educations late on. For those who seek a nonacademic career, a vocational option is a good choice, but the ability to choose a route that will take them to university should always be open to those who want it.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

I am completely opposed to the existence and principles of grammar schools. They do not create better educated, happier or more successful children, indeed they tend to create artificial barriers to entry and on the whole unhappy adults.

No

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

No

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

I do not support the principles of academies. I think they go against the spirit of a united teaching force with equal reward in all schoos, and more importantly I think LEA involvement.

No

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

This is broadly a good thing, to give help with career advice and further educational choices beyond school.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School

ID: 2171

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
<i>None</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
<i>THIS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED, PERHAPS SPLITTING THE SCHOOL NTO 3 SITES MIDDLE, SENIOR AND 6TH FORM. SETTING UP A NEW 6TH FORM BLOCK ON SITE WOULD INCREASE CAPACITY FOR REST OF SCHOOL AND COULD RESULT IN BETTER SIXTH FORM FACILITIES OR A NEW SENIOR BLOCK FOR YEARS 10/11 TO FOCUS ON GCSEs AND IMPROVE/MODERNISE THE TEACHING AND LEARNING FACILITIES. A SMALLER MIDDLE SCHOOL WOULD HELP THE TRANSITION FROM PRIMARY SCHOOL.</i>	
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
<i>YES DEFINITELY CONSIDER SPLITTING CHARTERS UP AND PUTTING ONE "GROUP" ONTO A NEW SITE. EG A MIDDLE SCHOOL FOR YRS 7-8 AND THEN THEY MOVE INTO CURRENT CHARTERS SITE FOR YEARS 9-13</i>	
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
<i>BEING IN THE SAME SCHOOL ALL YOUR LIFE IS NOT GOOD FOR CHALLENGING PUPILS AND LEARNING LIFE SKILLS TO COPE WITH CHANGE. ALSO IF IT WAS A BAD SCHOOL OR YOU EXPERIENCED BULLYING OR OTHER PROBLEMS, YOU WOULD BE STUCK WITH IT FOR "SCHOOL LIFE"</i>	
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
<i>TOO BIG BECOMES BUREAUCRATIC. SCHOOLS ARE BETTER WHEN LED BY MANAGERS WHO CAN MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON THEIR OWN SCHOOL'S PARTICULAR NEEDS OR ISSUES.</i>	
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
<i>NO</i>	
Final Comments	
<i>IT WOULD BE DEVASTATING TO SOME FAMILIES ON THE FRINGE AREAS TO LOSE CHARTERS CATCHMENT WHEN THEY HAVE PAID THE INFLATED HOUSE PRICE TO BE IN CATCHMENT. FOR AN INTERIM PERIOD THERE SHOULD BE AN OPTION FOR THOSE IN CURRENT CATCHMENT TO CHOOSE BETWEEN A NEW SCHOOL AND/OR CHARTERS. AND A LOTTERY FOR OVER-SUBSCRIPTION</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2172

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>My son would have liked to have studied A level politics</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I do not think class size should be increased but rather teaching staff and facilities to cater for these extra children</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think they should provide new schools Grammar schools are elitist and can give children an early sense of failure so we would be very disappointed if you set up a grammar school. If where friends in Kent live is anything to go by, grammar school places are highly sought after by parents of children in the private sector and do not benefit the wider community.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School

ID: 2173

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Radiography</i> <i>Computer animation</i> <i>Social Work</i> <i>Theology</i> <i>Informatics</i> <i>BioInformatics</i> <i>OldAge</i> <i>Psychiatry</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I am in agreement.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Charters School

ID: 2174

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I can't comment as I'm unaware of all the courses available at present.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The school has problems already with space. If there were new/more buildings then my answer would have been yes.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Clearly there is a lack of (good) schools in the borough. I think you also need to look at people moving into the area just to get a place in a certain school then moving out again.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>This is a fantastic idea. I went to one of these schools abroad and it was wonderful.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This can only be a good thing thing.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Charters School

ID: 2175

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>As Charters has adequately met our requirements for academic courses we haven't needed to search for alternatives, so are unable to make an informed contribution to this question.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Yes from a financial point of view as they can share resources but No with regard to teaching staff moving between schools as this breaks continuity of teaching.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this is a positive idea which would provide young people with as many options as possible as they decide their career path.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2176

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am not currently aware of what courses are on offer as my children are still at primary school.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I think if the school have the resources to cover the additional pupils and still provide outstanding education then I would be in favour but am also open to the idea of a satellite grammar school where brighter children have opportunity to be further challenged.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think it is very important that they work together so children are given a clear direction of what courses to choose at A Level and then as a degree.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Francis Catholic Primary School

ID: 2177

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>N/A</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>as long as this does not loose the schools playing field/green areas</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>Charters cacthment should not be reduced. People buy property in the area for a premium becuae of this and should not suffer due to teh poor ahead planning of the council.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2178

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A greater variety of science based subjects. I think local colleges offer a good variety of vocational courses.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I think more information is required for this. Charters school has a good reputation and provides fantastic learning currently. How will an extra 30 pupils per year not reduce the quality of teaching/use of facilities for the school. As a parent who lives in a small village I am concerned that this could be detrimental to the community and charters school unless in depth details of extra funding and plans were provided to ensure correct and sustained growth.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I absolutely agree that this should happen.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>If completed in the correct way with enough funding to provide the 'positives' to the all through methods such as extra tuition/specialist tuition.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I think this would take away the individualised nature of the community schools we have in this area and not allow a closer anagement/accountability of schools but would lead to a larger type of organisation with uniformity and restriction.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This area has built a fantastic reputation for schools and the worry with this is that we face a lack of control and will loose the community feel and individualised, good education that we feel our children are getting.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2179
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Work experience/placement</i> <i>Social media and its place in business</i> <i>Business mentoring</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>New schools are a preference to satellite grammar schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>My preference would be to focus on core subjects until after GCSE's. After that I would support collaboration between other schools and colleges to allow students to 'specialise' in areas of interest/strength.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2180

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2188

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
<i>Politics</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
<i>It would be an excellent and inspiring idea to link them.</i>	
Final Comments	
<i>If having an extra class at Charters is going to resolve the issue, any other option is going to be a waste of time as there is already an excellent school which we are proud to send our children to.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Francis Catholic Primary School

ID: 2189

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure at this stage.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>Need a catholic secondary school</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School ID: 2190

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot <i>I believe vocational courses are very important to increase. Not all children/adults are academic but also trades are a must, as the country needs trades people as much as it does academically minded people.</i>	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I agree</i>	
Final Comments <i>Ascot (North Ascot) and surrounding areas are becoming over developed and very popular, due to good schools, good neighbour hoods and the general feel of community spirit. It's not only over developed in actual space but also in the strain on the community, parking, places (waiting lists) in schools from infant to secondary, the catchment areas growing smaller and smaller, even living within a mile is 'too' far out and traffic build up. I think increasing the school intake numbers are must due to the increased population, only due to increase even further within the next year, let alone the next five years. If planning permission is given to build new family housing within already stretched communities, then surely it's only right to increase school sizes, on a need to basis, to be provided with an education within the local area you live in.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2191
 Other Charters School Member of Parent

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Mandarin ought to be offered widely.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The proposal would meet the demand of the growing number of pupils in the area. It's worth noting that the proposals dont take account of demand from those who might be leaving the private sector (attracted by the quality education offered by Charters). The proposal would also help to sustain even more choice in subjects at Sixth form level (see my comment above). This option needs to be implemented as a matter of urgency; September 2015 is likely to be the first year that not all children in the designated area will be offered a place.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>But in addition to the extension of Charters. If budgets are tight (and they are, undoubtedly) then the priority should be to expand an outstanding, very effective school over other, perhaps less well proven options.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Education has been through too many changes over the past four years. It would be damaging to take even more teacher, management, and administrative time (and the associated cost) in yet more structural change. Save the money and spend it on the existing schools.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Education has been through too many changes over the past four years. It would be damaging to take even more teacher, management, and administrative time (and the associated cost) in yet more structural change. Save the money and spend it on the existing schools.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Please expand Charters as a matter of urgency. The other issues can wait to be resolved but school places are under pressure in less than a year.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Charters School Charters School ID: 2192

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think it is important to have a curriculum that meets the needs of all 16-19 students from an academic and vocational perspective.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The document from RBWM with predicted places for the next 8 years is totally misleading. You make no reference to looked after children or children in care who automatically take a priority over other children in admissions. These could be in addition to the current numbers you have presented. You do not present the forecast demand for places from children inside the ascot area only. You make no reference or consideration to children who move into the area over the next 8 years, particularly given all the developments going on in the area. You also make no reference to privately educated children who choose to attend a state secondary school. This will create more immediate demand for secondary places in the area.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Grammar schools will attract the most able children and will result in standards at schools in Ascot dropping. It will also create transport problems with children from all over the borough seeking to attend. RBWM has a very good provision of comprehensive education and this should be maintained.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I don't believe this is feasible in the ascot area.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This depends on the extent of courses offered and whether there is a need for them.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Final Comments

The document from RBWM with predicted places for the next 8 years is totally misleading. You make no reference to looked after children or children in care who automatically take a priority over other children in admissions. These would be in addition to the current numbers you have presented. You do not present the forecast demand for places from children inside the Ascot area only. You make no reference or consideration to children who move into the area over the next 8 years, particularly given all the developments going on in the area. You also make no reference to privately educated children who choose to attend a state secondary school. This will create more immediate demand for secondary places in the area. You need to present a fairer picture of the real numbers to give a more realistic view to residents. At the moment this document is misleading and not a true representation of the real situation.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School

ID: 2193

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
<i>Electrical, plumbing, engineering and modern day foundation/house build. I would also like to see more health, environmental, food/chef courses both vocational and academic.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I believe the school currently has more than enough children to teach and cope with. Especially as they also offer spaces for disabled children, along with special needs. It just about copes with the current intake of children. More children (even with more resources) would make each child's journey through school very daunting to cope with some many people, classes and size of school.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I'm not sure about satellite grammar schools. I would need more information about this. I am in support of a new secondary school, possible set up with more vocational benefits. Possibly for the children who are not coping academically and would prefer to be put on a course/exam to suit their ability and aspiration.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I do not believe we need a large increase of new housing. A small development of affordable housing is definitely required. But we are already overcrowded in terms of travelling, health, schools, parks and people in general. Further housing should be in more rural areas where they can accommodate more traffic and everything else that accompanies extra housing.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Not sure on this. I would like further information on how this would work. Great if you have wonderful teaching staff and competent business/finance managers. Good teaching staff are hard to find in this area for state/academy run schools. The cost to live here is so great and the attraction of some many private schools beckons a lot of staff. Good teachers need to be look after in terms of places for their own children and affordable housing, so that they are not travelling miles to work. Being a more community minded teacher must surely be a better way forward and allows for reduction of the carbon footprint.</i>	Don't Know

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

I believe this is an area that currently needs looking at. Children are being placed into further education because they now need to stay on until they are 18. Help for parents, students and cohesion with school, colleges and employers regarding courses, apprenticeships along with the support for the child to travel there, is crucial to set them up and to allow the student access to ongoing support until they are established and enjoying what they do.

Final Comments

Please do look outside the surrounding area for a further secondary school. 1700 children plus (including 6th form) is too many children already draining the resources and staff to provide a good secondary education. The roads are already too busy, carparks full, classroom sizes just about coping. Staff/teachers needs to manage and fill supported by the local community that they are already doing a fabulous job with the current changes and life pressures. Please do not increase this but congratulate the current staff/teachers on achieving great gcse and alevel results. If anything, we should be encouraging more staff/parttime teachers to support the already extremely busy Charters school.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2194

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Business and finance. Understanding the difference between an Asset and a Liability. How to manage money. Modern web based technologies. Being an entrepreneur. Chinese.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Providing the additional required facilities are built and the ratio of teacher/pupil remains the same, i.e. classes don't get bigger.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Anything that fosters higher education is a plus.</i>	
Final Comments <i>We support the comment of Charters' current two heads.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School

ID: 2195

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Further languages, finance, life skills, interview techniques</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not at this time.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2196

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>It would be very good to make closer links with local and national businesses to assist young people in the transition and possible apprenticeships.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would agree to the investigation of new schools, but I do not see the benefit of a satellite grammar school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>However, your information on the role of a Multi-Academy Trust is too simplistic and a little misleading.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Given the current educational climate collaboration at all levels is vital if a high standard of education is to be provided.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2197

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Anthropology A Level I.B.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I like this idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2198

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Engineering based (eg car mechanic etc)</i> <i>ICT (eg computing, telecoms)</i> <i>Technology (eg pharmaceuticals)</i> <i>Health and Beauty</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>1700 pupils is a big enough school already. Bigger isn't always better. If standards slip, or things go wrong, it would be much harder to rectify in a bigger school.</i> <i>Too much danger of standards being eroded.</i> <i>Too much danger of kids feeling dwarfed by size of the school.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A long way for kids to come from Ascot consider a new school here especially at Ascot residents who don't get in, don't want to use Bracknell schools. Could maybe then allow some kids in from Surrey silly that catchment area is so lopsided.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Bigger isn't always better. Very different skill sets and requirements for primary and secondary better to have separate specialist schools for each. Too intimidating for young children in very big school with very big kids. Small schools like St Michaels and Holy Trinity are lovely environment for young kids massively enhance the villages they are situated in. Don't throw sense of community away!</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Umbrella Trusts would be OK for efficient purchasing.</i> <i>But NO to multi academy trusts just another road to privatisation big companies will take over the running of the school, with profit as the motive.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Very good idea should be encouraged with incentives and also get local businesses to support collaboration.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Final Comments

Please take the responses seriously. Make sure the schools themselves understand the parent feelings and don't just focus on the finances. Hopefully this is to really listen to views and not just be seen to listen to views?!

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2199

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Ensure all disciplines are available: science, humanities, art, languages and more hands on subjects (cookery, childcare, woodwork, metal work) etc.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Would an additional 30 spaces be enough, or would there be another 30, and another 30 over time (given birth rates and new homes in the area)? Would a second secondary school in the area be a better long term solution, giving year 7 students more choice about what type of school would provide them with the best education.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I believe some children benefit from moving on to a new school, rather than staying in one school where past performance and judgements (both by teachers and peers) may make it difficult for them to move on or implement changes (for the better).</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this may be of benefit for the more practical courses, we just need to make sure that students wishing to complete Alevels for University places still get the best education and are able to compete equally with the rest of the country.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2200

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Graphic Design, Interactive Design, Hospitality and Trades (building / plumbing / electrician) — this should help more technically minded student gain an education leading to paid employment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>For Charters School to remain an outstanding school, it is important to maintain a good mix of abilities within the school.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I think younger children should feel safe in the confine of a primary school. Moving to a high school when growing up is part of life and if the school is effective, should be handled well by the majority of the children.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Good idea to combine students from different schools in order to lift the number of courses on offer (as long as these courses are meaningful and lead to the student being either employable or able to further his/her education).</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No comment</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2201
 Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I would need to see proposed plans for buildings and facilities before deciding. I do not think the outdoor/circulation/canteen space provision is good at the moment (other than specific sports fields).</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I do not support satellite grammar schools, but would be interested to investigate ordinary new schools if appropriate.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I think children benefit from separate environments which are designed to be age appropriate, and are size appropriate for their level of social skill.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Though I don't see why this is different to the old system of a central education authority.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this is can be of benefit where students can combine subjects at Alevel. Personally I studied 2levels at my school (English/Economics) and through a partnership with further education college studied Textiles and Fashion where we had access to more specialised equipment and resources and teaching than would be available at the comprehensive secondary school. It also meant that 2 or 3 students could study a subject in a larger group of 10/12 students when students were combined. I would not have had the same opportunities if the collaboration had not happened. I wanted to do 'regular' Alevel subjects at sixthform and continue to University. But the collaboration meant I could do a practical Alevel as well. (it was in 1990 in Avon, now North Somerset).</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2235

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>IB</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>No</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Please stop wasting time/money on fiddling with school structures. In Charters you have an outstanding school please expand it. Free schools are not always successful it seems so please don't waste time/money on investigating this option.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2263

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Vocational and apprenticeship courses offering a mix of academic and work experience. Options for students facing challenges. E.g. autism spectrum, aspergers, visual or hearing impairment, disability, leading into jobs.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Charters is already a large school and this takes too narrow a view of the issue. More options are needed, e.g. a new school such as Holyport, moving catchment so that some North Ascot pupils go to Bracknell based schools, or Surrey schools, agree this with other local authorities as part of a bigger and more holistic picture.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>It is more appropriate to look at these broader issues and use other options to meet demand at the edges of the current catchment.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>This gives pupils no experience of the major transition points which are an essential and unavoidable part of life. No diversity of social of life experiences until adulthood - not good.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>No - multi-academy trusts. Yes - umbrella academy trusts - economy of scale but local control.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Agree, this is very sensible and appropriate. It covers range of needs, preferences and abilities of students.</i>	
Final Comments <i>It is important to take a broader view on these issues - not be limited by local authority structures and boundaries, but collaborate across them to find the optimum solutions on a regional basis. Remember to provide for all abilities and importantly for disabilities in the range of provisions at 14-16 and 16-19.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2265

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There are no real other options for secondary schools in the area that are not private.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2267

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>International Baccalaureate</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I would support this proposal particularly if it gave better access to more MFL courses</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2269

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot <i>Charters, Reduce Catchment area, As there are already Secondary schools in Bracknell it makes no sense for Bracknell to be in the Charters catchment. Charters has porta cabins next to the sports field these could be converted into a two story building and used as a block for a subject as the space is not well utilised. Ironically I was at a meeting and Charter's Head Teacher was there. They were told there would be no need for expansion by the government and yet here we are.</i>	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>You talk about some money being available from developers, the amount of new builds going on in the Ascot and Sunningdale area this would be a significant amount. It is interesting to note that whoever is doing the planning has not taken into account the fact that families would move into the properties.</i> <i>As this area is growing exponentially, would it not be wise to rethink the medical infrastructure in the area while you are at it? Getting an appointment at the doctors is at least a week waiting.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cheapside CE Primary School ID: 2278

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Modern Languages. We are an embarrassment to the rest of Europe.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Any expansion should provide simple mass transit and cycling/walking routes to the school to take the burden off small Victorian roads and parents.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Any new schools should provide simple mass transit and cycling/walking routes to the school to take the burden off small Victorian roads and parents.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>The transition is a skill and lesson needed for later in life. Staying in one place all the time is damaging, offering false security for a modern transient world.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I find it worrying that the schools are failing the arts and modern languages. As a business owner employing many staff from the EU I am always embarrassed about the lack of skills in these fields.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Charters School St Francis Catholic Primary School ID: 2280

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>I would like to see a broader choice of courses on offer and places to study. Ascot often feels like a far-off outpost and neglected by RBWM - partly geographical proximity but we are reliant on securing provision often out-of-borough. More focus on enabling children post-16 who have SEN support.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019</p> <p><i>I would be inclined to say yes, based on Charters' response to the proposal. I have concerns whether it's a short-term solution/gives little parental choice (its already an enormous school/whether RBWM would provide sufficient additional funding to develop the school's infrastructure and resources</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>Not convinced about grammar schools (too far away) but yes, RBWM should be looking at a new school(s) programme.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?</p> <p><i>I can't see the purpose of all-through schools except to reduce admin complexity of schools admissions process.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?</p> <p><i>Caveat - I would be likely to follow the school's lead in deciding if this is a good idea. Plus, there's only one secondary school in Ascot.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>Very important! That's the LA's job to make it easier and more effective.</i></p>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2282

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Ascot and surrounding area need more school places. Schools are being oversubscribed in many areas - expansion is needed.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Yes to expansion, in my local area every piece of land seems to be being built on at the moment. Head out in every direction and you will see new homes being built. We have a severe lack of school places already, so the future requirements are going to fall short if we do nothing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes, as previously mentioned. New houses = new residents = lack of school places for those new residents.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes, as our children leave secondary schools - we should be able to have access to more courses @ colleges and similar establishments.</i>	
Final Comments <i>The schools in my local area are all very good, but spaces are becoming like gold dust. The Council and Government need to wake up to this ever increasing problem. Investment is needed to give our young people the option to attend a great school.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2283

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>It is probably the simplest and most cost-effective solution (the most important factor would be to ensure the expansion does not effect the quality and performance of the school and the happiness of pupils/staff).</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>It is worth looking into. It would be good for Ascot to have a local grammar.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>This would be a nightmare for pupils and teachers alike, too much potential for continual change and instability - children need consistency.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2285

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Swimming, self-defence, life-skills</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Oversubscribed and has insufficient space to cope with any more.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Another secondary school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It is a good idea, especially using/sharing the facilities of these schools.</i>	
Final Comments <i>More provision should be made for students who are very able.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2289

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think some life skills - managing your own finances, basic cookery skills, relationships</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>If no new schools are built, I don't see any other options than expanding the school. But I do think there should be no further expansion after this - surely at this point another school must be built.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Definitely - we cannot continue expanding existing schools. There must be sites like 'The Rough' next to Ascot Heath Junior, that would be better used for schools rather than developers.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Sounds like a good idea - this could work in Ascot by using 'The Rough' as I mentioned above.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>It sounds very complex.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2290

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2291

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A wider corss section of supported apprenticeship places.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Charters is already a much larger than average secondary school. To pregressively increase capacity by 30 pupils per year will impact the community feel of the school, the ability for teachers to have personal knowledge of each student and unless the school is broken down into self contained internal House/College's then I strongly believe it will be far easier for children to coast, have less specific and directed educational contact with teachers and an increase in class sizes.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Look to Bracknell Forest to increase their capacity in order that RBWM can focus on their Borough children thus reducing Charters catchment area and negating the need to increase intake numbers at Charters. Bracknell has created a new secondary school that could be used for the Bracknell Forest feeders to Charters. Alternatively a site in the North Ascot area could be explored to cope with the intakes from Cranbourne, Ascot Heath and East Bracknell/Chavey Down. This would free up capacity at Charters to cope with increased demand at the other 5 RBWM feeder Primary's and as more housing and possibly more Primary places are increased at these 5 Schools then Charters would remain the local community school that children don't have to travel a significant distance to get to, especially considering the early start time adopted at Charters.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I think it is important for children to have a fresh start at secondary school with a new cohort of teaching and support staff. It is also important for their social schools to have the opportunity to mix with new children from other primary schools when they start secondary schools. I believe this would be a lot more expensive than simply building a new secondary school in the North Ascot area to cope with increased demand. I don't think it is a good idea for reception class children to be playing along side GCSE & A'Level aged children in the play ground and very few Primary School sites could cope with secondary school students and vice versa.</i>	No

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

No

No to multi-academy proposal. There is too much scope for a one size fits all direction, the schools become more like a corporate business and there is more scope to lose community identity and traditions. Yes in principle to umbrella trusts. If Charters catchment was just RBWM then the Borough could focus its resources and pool its buying power to its Borough Schools and share any cost savings in the way that many public sector organisations already have done.

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Where there is minimal demand for more unusual courses then this is an ideal opportunity to pool resources and offer a course to multiple schools to ensure students have a wider choice. Closer contact with secondary schools and further education colleges would help to cater for those students not considering/destined for A'Levels.

Final Comments

This is an ideal opportunity for RBWM to reduce the catchment area to just RBWM residents and therefore not have to increase the size of Charters which already require two head teachers to run. It will also focus RBWM resources, council tax etc on the Boroughs children residents.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School

ID: 2299

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>N/A</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>When the new tutor 'M' was added Charters managed to maintain its high standard of education and it will again with further expansion still to happen.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>N/A</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2309

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I read your comments about combining school age groups that are now in a separate school tier system. Is there any case studies where this 'combining' has been successful. What was determined as pro's and con's. I wonder what impact this will have on 'school bullying'? Also what is the expected impact on teacher's work load and how many, if any, new teacher's and other staff might be needed?</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2310

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There should be more vocational courses maybe specialising in subjects such as plumbing, carpentry etc for those less academic children. There are enough academic subjects available.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>it is already an extremely large school and there aren't enough facilities to house an extra class per year group.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>It would depend where they anticipate placing these schools.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I assume this means one single school from age 5 to 18. The present system works very well, why change it?</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think it is probably a good idea, particularly with the introduction of more vocational courses after 16.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cheapside CE Primary School ID: 2311

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Languages and IT.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>the more the better</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2312

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
<i>Courses leading to apprenticeships</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
<i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Other No School Ascot Neighbourhood ID: 2313

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A range of courses that reflect the aspirations/interests of our local 16/19 year olds.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The proposed increase in 2019 only reflects the need for additional places caused by the bulge which caused problems for our Reception Classes in 2012. Action needs to take place before then. Charters have already flagged that they may not be able to meet the demand from the non borough children in their feeder schools from 2015. The Governing Body are prepared to consider expansion from 2015 by 1FE if funded in part by the RBWM and are planning a consultation on admission policy to cover the event they are not able to increase Published Admission Numbers if this funding is not forthcoming.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>To date no Grammar School in England has successfully expanded onto a satellite site.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Should be collaboration between schools and FE Colleges that reflect the needs of our 14-19 year olds</i>	
Final Comments <i>Clearly there is a huge problem with school places both in Primary and secondary in the area. This will only get worse as levels of new housing stock is increased.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Charters School South Ascot Village Schol ID: 2314

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Support general objectives set out in consultation paper on this topic.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I support larger schools, as they offer a wider range of choice for pupils and parents, a wider mix of pupils and backgrounds, and economies of scale.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I do not support new schools in the area. It is better to expand capacity in existing schools. This is more cost-effective than the huge cost in acquiring land, building schools, and then running them, all with the end result of the same as expanding an existing school: more places. It is better to have larger schools which are good at what they do, rather than just build new alternatives with the hope that they will also be good (of which there is no guarantee).</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Not fully aware of the benefits and disadvantages of this option to be definite on an answer.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Seems a sensible approach to management.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Seems a sensible way to increase opportunities.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Good ideas being promoted, which I generally support. Expanding Charters is sensible, and far preferable to trying to build and fund the running of another school. The area benefits from having only one school: the false idea of 'choice' that is built into the school system is avoided at secondary level here, which means that all must go to Charters with the result that it is outstanding. Another secondary school would create divisions, much as currently exists in some parents' minds with the primary schools in the area.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cheapside CE Primary School ID: 2315

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
<i>I don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	No
<p><i>Charters is a very big school and a massive transition for children coming from the (mostly) small feeder schools. I've seen first hand how a confident child can be changed into one fearing school as a result purely of the transition.</i></p> <p><i>Furthermore, Cheapside has a large number of children with special educational needs and others with more minor disabilities (my daughter, for example, can only see out of one eye and, as a result, struggles in crowds). They are going to find the transition to Charters hard enough, but making it bigger will make it much, much tougher and could damage them both educationally and socially.</i></p>	
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
<i>The possibility of building new schools should be investigated.</i>	
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
<p><i>An all-through school can work very well as long as it's on a site that's large enough that the children can be kept separate. I would not, for example, want a 5 year old listenign to the playground language of a 16 year old.</i></p>	
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
<p><i>I support the principle of cooperation and collaboration between all schools/colleges. The aim is to educate our children, not to compete against one another.</i></p>	
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
<i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	
<i>None.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2316

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know but I do encourage diversity of choice.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Against grammar system. Both my husband and I attended grammars and did very well but it creams off the brighter children from everyone else and also focuses them on academia rather than comprehensive choice/options.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I support a smooth transition but think challenge can also be good for children. Perhaps segment senior school into upper and lower to make it less daunting?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Would prefer all options are offered onsite at school. 14 is still a young and impressionable age to be distracted during important exam years...</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School

ID: 2317

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am cannot comment as I do not know what is currently on offer. Surprising as I have childern that fall into this category.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>As far as I can see there is no alternative option that would ensure consistent standards of education and meet the children's needs. As a resident near the catchment border it is always a worry when a child has to enter or change school. If expansion can't be offered the proposal makes no mention of what RBWM will do in liaison with Bracknell Forest to ensure local places could be offered in a local Bracknell school eg one that Ascot residents could reach either by cycling, walking or using the Ascot bus route.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>However the problems that I can forsee is that the greatest density of residents is in the North Ascot area and this would be the ideal location for providing a new school. But the question would be where is there any empty space waiting for development?</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I believe that secondary schools should be separate from primary/junior/infant. The transition may be difficult for children but not as difficult as it would be if they stayed in one educational location and never had to learn to change or adapt; and then at 18yrs had to leave to enter the world of work/HE. The behaviours and needs of the two sectors of education are also very different and I believe can be best provided for in separate environments.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Is this a relevant question to the Ascot area? How would this work there are no other state secondary schools academy or not in the Ascot area?</i>	No

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

I think there definitely should be further collaboration with the many private sector schools in the Ascot area. I know that Charters has developed some useful links and shared teaching opportunities with one or two of the schools but I think much more should be done to support this option from the Borough. Obviously as with everything in Ascot transferring between sites may well be a problem as public transport is very very limited and Charters school is not on a public transport network.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2318

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>life skills, finance and employability</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Charters has an outstanding status and this must not be put at risk by insufficient funding of the expansion. I am in absolute agreement with the coheads statement. Charters is now an academy so can say no to your plans unless the funding is adequate.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Would be foolish not to but financially the cheapest option would be Charters expansion.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>The age range is too significant and the needs of the different ages is too great and therefore neither will be catered for properly</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Yes.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration is good to further prepare students for the next stage.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Sunningdale park should be investigated further for siting of charters six form and maybe a college of FE. this would create the space at Charters for years 7-11.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cheapside CE Primary School ID: 2319

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Absolutely must expand Charters as there are no other secondary schools in Ascot. We cannot have to travel to Bracknell or Windsor or some other area where good schools are already difficult to get into we will be at the bottom of any list if we don't get into Charters.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A new school would be acceptable for Ascot. It would have to be in or close to Ascot. Holyport or Windsor or elsewhere will be too far. Doesn't sound like a satellite grammar school is an option.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>So long as the school stayed reasonably sized and did not become a huge super school where pupils get lost and standards slip. Classroom numbers and sizes would need to be managed very carefully.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Absolutely not. I believe this is too hard to manage proper accountability for standards and large corporation mentality would kick in. Once you start splitting teaching and control I think you would see standards slip.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I believe pupils need the stability of a good school environment. I can't see how collaboration will work effectively without causing additional admin, pupil travel etc etc</i>	
Final Comments <i>To me the only option is to expand Charters. Give them the funding to be able to effectively expand and maintain their standards. Essentially the borough needs to stop development in Ascot to manage population growth until schooling and other infrastructure issues are sorted out. Planning before the problem gets worse. Our children are the borough's future and provision must be made for their education.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2356
 Parent of a pupil No School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)* **Answer**

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

I don't have enough information about what courses are currently offered locally to comment.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

This is a no-brainer. Most people in the area want their children to go to Charters, it is the reason we moved here. The management seem more than capable of coping with the extra numbers.

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

I have no experience of this option, but my impression is that primary and secondary teaching and management skill sets are very different. I think moving to 'big school' is an important part of growing up, and most of the primary schools in the area aren't good enough to take this on. With the exception of St Francis but they discriminate against non-Catholics so aren't inclusive enough to such a move.

Yes

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

This is a teaching management decision, how can a layman decide this? It's very similar to the constant churn in NHS management structures: if the people running it know what they're doing, it will fine, if not, it won't.

Don't Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

This makes absolute sense. We are lucky to have Royal Holloway on our doorstep, we should use it (as Eton do).

Don't Know

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Primary school provision should be reviewed in the area. The only outstanding primary (St Francis) discriminates against non-Catholics, South Ascot Village is below par, even St Michael's has gone downhill. Holy Trinity is the only decent option, and that is wildly oversubscribed. We should have more options, and not have to pay for private school.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2357

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>How does the proposed expansion of Ascot Heath Infant and Junior Schools impact admissions to Charters School? Both schools will have an intake of 90 per year whereas the Junior School only has 60 pupils right now. Would this impact Ascot Heath Junior School continuing to be the only feeder school for Charters in Bracknell Forest Council?</i> <i>My first son hopes to enter the school in September 2017 and my second son in September 2020.</i> <i>See attached for more details.</i> <i>Has the funding for Charters to expand been approved – if not the attached could be useful in gaining funding.</i> <i>Ideally I need a response ASAP as I would like to know if I need to appeal against the proposed expansion of Ascot Heath.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cheapside CE Primary School ID: 2358

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Yes the situation is already critical, this would be a good place to start.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes, all options should be investigated. Ascot only has one secondary school which is not able to support the existing community. We need more schools and more school places.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>This would be a very sensible idea, it would provide a steady and continuous flow of education, while at the same time taking away the need for more than one school application and in turn save the council money.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Again this would be a good idea, it would provide more options for the students and hopefully bring down costs.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This would be a great idea, and should be explored sooner rather than later. It would provide more choice and variety of courses, while taking away the pressure on Charters.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Ascot has seen a huge rise in the number of houses being built, and yet the provision of school places and schools has been neglected. This situation should have been addressed years ago. Houses should not get the go-ahead if there is no provision for the education of the residents. This is particularly true when more than one property is going up. Many children in this area would have previously been educated privately. However, due to the recent economic climate this is no longer true, so more and more pressure has been put on local state schools. This combined with the fact that the birth rate is continuing to rise means the state schools will need to have plans in place quickly.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School ID: 2359
Parent of a pupil Charters School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>technology and ICT subjects</i> <i>apprenticeships</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Very good idea</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2360

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Personal finance instruction and food and nutrition. Tackles a)the terrible lack of understanding of finance many you people have and b)the inability of young people to cook/meal plan/understand what a healthy balanced diet is (and may help with the obesity crisis we're facing).</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>New schools yes, satellite grammar no.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Too large and not beneficial for the education of the younger ones particularly.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Although, this just seems to be replacing what was offered previously through the LA without any political intervention.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>yes. Leverage whatever makes sense, working in silos will lead to suboptimal performance. With limited budgets need more altruism and sharing of best practices throughout.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2361
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A sixth form or vocational college.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>However, this needs to be sooner than 2019 considering their largest "feeder school". Seeing as Bracknell Forest, alongside Ascot Heath School, are currently planning a 3 x Form intake from Sept 2016. This means that Ascot Heath will then have 90 pupils in year 6 (instead of the current 60) Charters needs to be able to accommodate the extra pupils by Sept 2017 at the latest.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Possibly yes because if Charters cannot cope with the amount of pupils from 1) feeder schools, 2) catchment, and 3) siblings. Where does RBWM propose year 6 pupils from Ascot Heath move onto for Secondary?</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Where would RBWM suggest this happens?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>This is a hard position to be in as our house is in RBWM but currently the Primary & Junior School (Ascot Heath) is under Bracknell Forest authority and the Secondary catchment (Charters) is in RBWM authority. If Ascot Heath expands on a different site (according to what Bracknell Forest want to achieve), then Charters are currently to equipped to take a maximum 90 year 6 pupil feed compared to the current 60 year 6 pupils. Both RBWM and Bracknell Forest need to be working on the same page and with the same number of pupils. For Ascot Heath to develop, expand, and be "forced" to accommodate an ever increasing Bracknell Forest housing plan then Charters (in RBWM) needs to be forewarned and prepared on the same timescale.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2362
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

As long as we continue to offer 16-19 year olds real skills based courses alongside other academic subjects, particularly for those children who do not have a natural academic flair, we will always have a requirement for builders, electricians, plumbers, hairdressers etc allowing children to have a skill that has true value in the employment market and puts something back in to the needs of our ever changing society.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

Charters would always be my first choice for both my children but my concerns are the general lack of provision of secondary schools serving the Ascot area with the increasing population. We are already struggling with primary school places due to the number of houses being built in the area, which as your data has indicated, will have a knock on effect for secondary school places in the coming years

Yes

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

Not sure how this would work – you would be institutionalising children in a certain way/style for potentially 15 years of their life with no other outside experience of schooling elsewhere.

Don't Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Final Comments

I do hope that the provision of secondary schooling in Ascot is considered carefully. As a parent who will be faced with this issue for both of my children in the next 3-4 years I do have a vested interest and natural concern that my children may not get our first choice, Charters, as their secondary school place. If Charters is not expanded nor indeed no other secondary school placement provision is provided, we will be left with little or no other options.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2363

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Good quality english, maths, science, computing, trades electrician mechanics plumbers</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Provision for a roman catholic senior school for windsor and maidenhead,we have fantastic primary and junior education,we should be able to follow with a senior school. also easy access to grammar schools</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Collaboration is always a positive thing. We should aim for excellence for all not continue to provide education where mediocrity is the goal.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2364

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
<p>Final Comments</p> <p><i>I've not responded to this a few times as I feel I am missing something...</i></p> <p><i>We know that there have been bulge classes at the local schools in Ascot and the Sunnings and parents using schools over in Surrey (Windlesham and Valley End) as they aren't happy with the culture of South Ascots very modern approach. I also know of several parent from Year 4 that have opted for private schools due to the lack of primary provision and can't afford to continue this at secondary level for both children. we also now see Ascot heath expanding</i></p> <p><i>It does n't seem to have been acknowledged by RBWM taht there has been a problem with primary places for the past 5 years here. Now that this is hitting the senior level the proposal seems to be asking if we want something done in 2019..... Is there nothing being done now as the lack of primary places is going to hit far sooner than that?</i></p> <p><i>Can some one answer what is going to happen in the intervening period? Our children can't go elsewhere in the borough due to distance of the school systems.</i></p> <p><i>I am only interested as I have had problems with bullying and have considered moving my son to a different school, but while in catchment and in a feeder, by going private I would risk him not getting a place and can't afford it long term. More state options are needed in this area. There is no way I will find two places to move my children at the same time so the state cannot handle my needs even while having a place in the state sector.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2365

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't really have a view as Ascot is out of my area. However, expanding the secondary school places in Ascot would, I imagine, help to ease the pressure off of secondary schools in the surrounding areas.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this is a good idea. Not all pupils leaving secondary school can afford, or want to go on to university. Having more options is always a bonus.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2366

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

I love the idea of apprenticeships and also agree with the focus on Engineering, Science & Technology & ICT. Coming from a business environment I believe languages are very important such as Spanish & Chinese (popular worldwide languages). Besides languages courses in business studies I believe give students a good footing into the real world. However more details courses in business could be more effective for the student that wants to obtain some form of higher certificate after GCSE's as this may help them venture out into the working world at little easier and into a field they enjoy working in. i.e. Electrical Engineer or Designer, the country is crying out for these skilled people right now!! We need more detailed business courses to help us compete with Europe and other parts of the world.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

Charters is an excellent school and the Borough should support the local primary schools in the area by allowing those children the chance to grow up with their friends, as this can only add to the good community spirit that Ascot embraces. I honestly cannot see the harm in increasing the numbers by 30 places as long as it does not affect the excellent teaching methods that Charters is well known for currently.

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

Why look at new schools when you have an exceptional school like Charters. I personally would look at ways to improve Charters now, so that when 2019 comes the school is more of an abled position to work with the increased number of children. Reason being it would be more cost effective for the Borough and secondly the children will feel much happier in an environment surrounded by their friends they grew up with. As a parent I would not like to see my child's heartbroken having to tell them that they cannot go to the same school as their friends and I think every parent would agree.

No

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

Making the whole system more streamlined is a much better idea. I feel that the primary schools work in their own ways which may well be different to how other primary schools work. If there was one head teacher, governing body & budget this will eradicate any bad habits that some schools may have inherited over the years. I honestly believe the primary schools in the area need a shake up so that children are more prepared to deal with senior schools therefore all through schools would definitely help. However if there are no clear candidates in Ascot then this proposal is not really relevant to me.

Yes

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

I like the idea of Multi Academies but not Umbrella Trusts.

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

If it gives the students more opportunities then it seems to be a no brainer!

Final Comments

I would be happy to assist in helping with this further should you require support from a parent in the Ascot community.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2367
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Following the proposal in Ascot to extend the school to a 90 intake school with the 'landswap' proposal, I am extremely concerned re my daughter who is in Year 2 currently and her ability to go to Charters, where her brothers will both be. She is a 2007 birth year, which is a bumper year. I would recommend the increase in 30 places as I can't see where the pupils will go otherwise. Ascot are also proposing building 50 new houses on the current school grounds, which will also impact Charter's places.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Not sure about this would depend very much on where the school would be. Currently there is a bus route for Charters from Ascot, so would need bus route or near Ascot so could walk</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not sure.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2368
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

I think the range of new courses is too wide to be able to specify particular ones. However, I think the principle of introducing more vocational courses to pupils is a good one. A lot of university age children are not certain what career path they want to take and it results in gap years, change of degree courses or indeed pupils who simply don't feel university is the way for them and to have good alternative vocational courses is fantastic and to be encouraged.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

As a parent of two children at a local (currently) feeder school, I am very anxious about whether my children will gain entry to Charters when the time comes. We live next to LVS and believe that Charters will be the best educational choice for our children. There is rumour or comments circulating at the moment that AHJS will no longer be a feeder school or have guaranteed feeder status to Charters so an increase in Charters intake can only be a good thing for AHJS pupils.

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

That is another possibility, but personally for me, my son will be applying for Charters in the academic year in 2017 (my daughter 2 yrs later) so the only realistic solution for me is an increase in Charters intake. Of course, any good alternative secondary school built in the area would be of great benefit to parents of children applying in the years to follow.

Yes

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

This to me would mean that any such 'all-through' schools would be huge and would need a very large site to accommodate it. Not sure I'm a fan of that. I attended one of the largest schools in Europe and whilst it was a very good school I did feel like a little fish in a very large pond. Can feel a bit impersonal.

Don't Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

Don't Know

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

I'm not sure what current collaborations are between schools and FE colleges. I'm sure that more collaboration could only be a good thing?

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2369

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know enough about what is on offer, to comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	N/A
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Should be encouraged.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2370
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)* **Answer**

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

Definitely agree that there should be more post 16 provision of technical courses in addition to sufficient A level course places for those who want them.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

I have a son who is in Y2 now, so will looking for a place in Charters to start 2019 so definitely agree we will need at least 30 additional places that year. I also have a son who will be in the 2017 entry and I am also wanting to ensure there will be sufficient spaces from now until 2019. With all the additional house building planned and possible additional school places at Ascot Heath (another 30), there won't be room for all Ascot Heath children who need a place at Charters, between now and 2019 and beyond. We need a long-term school place strategy which is developed in tandem with the number of additional homes being built in the area.

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

I think parents do want more choice. There is just Charters, which is a great school and we are supportive of it, but might not suit everyone.

Yes

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

There are no clear candidates in Ascot so I'm not convinced about this. I think that children do need opportunities to reinvent develop and moving schools does help that.

Don't Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

Depends on the specific proposal.

Don't Know

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Yes, this can only be a good thing.

Final Comments

The Ascot area needs to consider a variety of infrastructure development to service the massive number of extra homes being built in the area. Doctors, extra train & bus services, and school places up to 18. This needs to be thought about now because it is already a problem.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School

ID: 2371

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Enhanced opportunity for people to transition into apprenticeships and the support to guide pupils into appropriate post-16 choices.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Demand is a logical consequence of success and capacity should be provided to reward the school for its success.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>None.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill ID: 2372

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There must be more on the job training with appropriate educational support for those not suited to studying to 18</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Need to expand charters well before 2019 as pupil demand is hidden by children being educated in Surrey schools and private sector as they could not get children in to a single primary school over recent years. Yes and no options to this question show a complete lack of understanding of the pressures in the ascot area.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Another silly set of options. If there are options it is the councils job to evaluate if this is the best way to provide for a need.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>As a mother with a reception age child I do not want him to see the behaviour of teenage children on a daily basis. Far better that age groups are apart.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not relevant in this area as other than 6th form they are provided by other authorities.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Not happy with the consultation process as it does not appear to have worked with sensible numbers to assess what the level of need in the area actually is. That means whatever the responses and outcome, the end result will fail to meet the needs of the local population.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School

ID: 2373

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>greater links to local businesses</i> <i>links to apprenticeships etc</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>better to expand school places than shrink the catchment area</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>collaboration is always a good idea otherwise conflicting strategies and plans will benefit no-one in the long run.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2374
 Parent of a pupil St Michael's CE Primary School, Sunninghill

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Extra language tuition, all levels. Engineering, child care, computing, food tech</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Seems like the most sensible thing to do as no room too build a new school</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Good idea to share positive ideas and methods that work and get better results, share funds and professional staff.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Would be good to see the more gifted students to be offered chances to take part in further education while still at school.also might offer more motivation to pupils who are not so academic and struggle at school.</i>	
Final Comments <i>There is definitely a need to increase the opportunities to 16 to 19 year olds locally. At the moment I you don't attend the sixth form at Charters, you have to travel quite far to go to college. I believe more young people would go if the college was closer to the area.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2375

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No opinion</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Charters already appears to be a massive school, I would be concerned with continual expansion of this school.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would support a new school to provide a choice in the area. I would welcome a grammar school or girls only school in the north of the current Charters catchment for easy access from North Ascot.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>The information provided stated that there was no candidates for this.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>No opinion on internal management structures so long as a high standard of education is delivered.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School

ID: 2376

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Art foundation</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>North Ascot relies on Charters I feel it is important that this feeder link remains.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Great idea</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2377
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>As full a range as possible that meet employer needs.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>If you fail to expand Charters local families will find themselves having to send their children further afield to go to school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>All options should be explored.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>What's the point in removing schools from LA control through academisation then re-centralising through a MAT? Waste of time?</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>The more that secondary and tertiary organisations can co-operate the better - clear lines of sight for young people to choose their programmes of study.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2468

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Member of Staff

South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2469

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not all children are academic, so more vocational, on the job trainign would be beneficial.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Schools would be too big.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>If children are to stay at school until 19, more provision needs to be provided for vocational stuff.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol ID: 2470

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Member of Staff Charters School ID: 2471
 Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More level 2/3 vocational courses for students not taking post 16/14 academic subjects. i.e. mechanics, health and social care, a-levels</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Charters is a big school already, I am afraid its expansion may compromise the quality.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There should be more varieties/schools to choose from . Nearly all of the parents of the children from the six feeder schools are very much expecting their children to go to Charters.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Good idea, but care must be taken to ensure these schools are good. All primary and secondary schools int eh borough should be good.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Charters can have a sister school. An oustanding British school in Dubai 'Kings' expanded into a further two schools in 2013.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2472

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>A brilliant way of sharing specialist teachings and resources.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Also, another good way of providing children with a broader subject choice.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2473

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>If the local area is growing, it makes sense to adapt by growing the schools and infrastructure that "support" the area! Extra funding will also help maintain the secondary schools high levels of performance. An excellent school within the area increases the desirability of living there!!</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2474

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>It is the local school, so it should serve the demands of the local area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Everything should be explored.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>A well integrated system can only help.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I would suggest that the area contributes way over the national average in tax revenue. It therefore seems ironic that it should not have adequate school places locally, forcing people into private schools.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Member of Staff South Ascot Village Schol ID: 2475
 Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I think change is good from Year 6 to Year 7 as in life we all need to be able to adapt and settle in to new surroundings.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2476

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I fully agree on more collaboration for more broader collaboration.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2477

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Cannot comment as have no experience in this area yet with my own children.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The school is big enough. I fail to see how the school could keep up its high standards if injected with yet more bodies.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This area is growing rapidly and I am sure that even if Charters were to provide more spaces it would still be pushed to provide more. A new school is needed.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I cannot comment as I have not yet experienced such courses with my children yet.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2478

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Feeders school students should hav epriority over catchment as many people go to private school till Year 6 and then apply to Charters at Year 7. Feeder school pupils should guarantee a place at Charters.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol

ID: 2479

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Business Administration, IT, Marketing, HR.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>I have lived in Ascot my whole life and attended Charters School myself. I would dearly love my daughter to attend the same school that I needed, but fear that she will not be able to as I have seen a dramatic increase in people of nationalities in the area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Charter is an outstanding school that should be invested in to give all local children the opportunity to attend.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I don't see how this could work for Ascot, but think it is a good idea for other areas.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I think perhaps it would make the system more complicated than necessary.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Generally a good thing. Children should be well-informed about colleges well before leaving school.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol ID: 2480

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There has to be more local provision for 16-19 year olds. For too long now they have had to travel such great distances + usually not easily accessed by public transport to attend colleges of further education.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>#The school is very large now and the problem won't go away. There needs to be a new school to provide for the growing population. Houses keep getting built (with S106 money for education) but there has not been a new secondary school for a very long time. Houses are also being built in BFBC, very close to our border - these also need to be taken into consideration as these children will also attend RBWM schools.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There must be a new school built - if it has to be on green belt land so be it. It is very important for the future of our children. Instead of housing on the (?) landfill site, this could be a new school. We need a ew school instead of housing/as well as housing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This is important for those students who aren't academic or who have a real skill in other subjects. My only concern is how pupils attend these colleges. There needs to be public transport provided.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2481

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Emphasis on engineering and practical skills</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>1. Traffic is a nightmare down Charters Road & Devenish. There are 7 years and eventually that is potentially 210 cars - madness! 2. School is already large. It works well with good results so why break it? It is not broken.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I am not in favour of staff moving between schools as needed. There needs to be continuity.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>Teachers will not be accessible to the students as required to offer support with their studies if they are spread over more than one site.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil South Ascot Village Schol ID: 2482

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Yes, see overleaf for comments.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>With an expanding population in the local area and no viable other choice of secondary school to choose locally in the borough, it is a vital necessity. In addition, Charters 'reputation' is why many parents have come to this area in the first place and to have no school places available for feeder school and catchment area residents would be ridiculous.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>If the community support a larger school at Charters, so should the LA.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Natural breaks in the school system are a good thing for pupils and parents. Gives the pupil the importance/understanding/independence to develop and mature.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>More communication is good and can only be good as long as not an [?] and rule making authority.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes, would naturally be a good thing.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2497

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There is a gap in the number of apprenticeships available. Vocational and academic courses should be more closely linked to industry. Local companies should be encouraged to participate in work experience events.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>It seems the most pragmatic solution. It would be important that this was financially viable. I would think it unwise to expand the school further after this initial expansion of an extra 30 places per year apart from to further expand sixth form capacity.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>It would be impossible for one headteacher to look after a school from 4 - 18 years old. A very diverse age group with very different needs.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>Secondary schools are already very big. With an umbrella trust/multi-academy setting I think the leadership team would be too far removed from individual schools.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This sounds a good opportunity to make use of specialist facilities. My one concern would be the amount of commuting students might need to do between places.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Not indicated No School ID: 2514

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Functional skills, catering and hospitality if not already offered.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>As Charters is oversubscribed and falls within the borough of RBWM, then why is the option of feeder schools in Bracknell Forest Council not being removed from the admissions criteria? Surely, if you pay the council tax to RBWM you should be able to access your education in the borough for which you financially contribute.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The grammar school system is unfair and the 11 plus test as many children now are tutored for this this test does not truly test a child's academic capability. Tuition also means that some very able children cannot access the grammar schools as their parents cannot afford the thousands of pounds for tuition fees. This makes the 11 plus a 2 tier system. All secondary schools should be outstanding and then every child will have an equal chance to fulfil their own potential and not segregated at 11 based on an unfair test.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration would enable those children that are less academic to access vocational training. Also colleges involved early would enable children find the transition between school and college easier and may encourage more children to go onto further/higher education.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School

ID: 2515

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>None</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>If this is feasible then it should be done, however, I think these places will soon be filled & the situation will become the same as we are now very quickly.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None.</i>	
Final Comments <i>As a resident of Ascot where I pay a premium for housing partly due to a fantastic secondary school I would not stand for my child not getting a place at Charters and having to travel outside the area. Ascot either needs new secondary schooling options or something more drastic. How about the government starting to take income into the matter. All the Ascot residents living in million pound houses and earning over six figures but sending their children to Charters perhaps they should be forced to pay for private school places and leave the free school places for more modest earners. I also notice that distance for schools for houses in North Ascot around the Fernbank Road area are the same if you look at Charters versus the Bracknell schools maybe the catchment area should be reduced for Charters and people living here should have a Bracknell school as their default option for secondary schooling.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2516

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>The area has a concentration of businesses covering IT and Software. It would make sense for vocational training to reflect this so children can live at home and get jobs locally.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Ascot Heath will expand from 60 to 90 children per year group 2016. If RBWM wishes to offer places to all children from this feeder school, it will need to add places earlier than 2019.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Reading School is heavily over subscribed so most children who want a grammar school place apply to the Slough Consortium and travel.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>This would allow schools to share resources and have both professional financial management and great teaching.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Most children from Ascot either do 6th form or travel to Farnborough. It would makes sense to have better local collaboration.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2517
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Vocational courses</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No as our children are nowhere near this age yet we don't have an opinion.</i>	
Final Comments <i>What impact will the proposed PAN increase to 90 for Ascot Heath have on the status of this school as a Charters Feeder school?</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Charters School ID: 2518

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Yes, if there is enough time and additional money to build the extra space required and employ the extra teachers needed for another 210 pupils (30x7 years eventually).</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>If the housing plan includes so many new houses that the proposed increase of 30 places would not be enough, then another school might be needed.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School ID: 2519
Governor Ascot Heath Junior School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)* **Answer**

Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

No strong views

Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019

The North Ascot community is divided by an ancient stream. Primary school provision lies one side of the stream in BFBC, secondary provision lies on the other in RBWM. In the days of Berkshire CC, such an anomaly was easy to accommodate, but today it risks non-joined up thinking to planning and school provision. What would be involved in adjusting the boundary to a more relevant modern feature, such as a road (eg Priory Road)? New building developments should part fund school development. It is in the developer's interest since being in Charters catchment area greatly increases house values. Planning of building and schools should be joined up and thought through (including future building in North Ascot especially the proposal to rebuild primary provision).

Yes

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

See previous comment on link between building and funding and adjusting the borough boundary.

Yes

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?

Yes

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

No strong views

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School ID: 2520
 Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't feel able to answer this as it is not something I am involved with at present.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>There is a real issue with secondary provision for Ascot. If you don't go to church and don't get into Charters, we would be stuck as currently people in Martins Heron don't get into Garth Hill, our next nearest school (apart from Ranelagh). It concerns me, as a RBWM resident, that we go to a Bracknell school as it's our catchment school and there are rumours that we might lose our feeder school status. There is a clear issue with spaces at secondary school and this needs addressing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There are plans for a larger infant and junior school by Bracknell in North Ascot when really, the bigger and clearer issue is secondary spaces. If there is land for new primary places, could this not be used for senior school places? Can Bracknell and RBWM work together on this or is this too naïve/difficult?</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>Although having said that, we don't even have a "through" school from infant to junior in North Ascot!</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>I don't feel I fully understand these proposals.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes in principle although my knowledge on this is limited.</i>	
Final Comments <i>If the proposed expansion of Ascot Heath goes ahead, will it lose it's feeder school status for Charters? If that happens, what will happen to us RBWM residents that have to send our children to a Bracknell primary school? We are very worried.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School ID: 2521

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>languages, further art, engineering.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>The local area is growing through housing developments. It seems that local schooling needs and additional amenities (i.e doctors/dentists) are not forming part of the planning and approval for these developments.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot? <i>I think it is healthy for children to move schools as it teaches them about change, takes them out of their comfort zone into new places with new parameters and expectations. A child is too cocooned when they follow the same school/peers/environment</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I would like to understand this better, but would in theory be advantageous for children to have more opportunities in a local area</i>	
Final Comments <i>I believe Charters needs to grow as the population grows. I moved to Ascot 11 years ago before having children and Charters was a large part of my decision. There isn't enough school places in the area if it doesn't expand.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2522

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>We moved to Ascot 10yrs ago with a huge draw to the area being the success of Charters and being in catchment area a benefit. Our 6 year old son will be in the bumper year and I would be extremely upset if a place was not available for him.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale ID: 2549
Parent of a pupil Charters School
Governor Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Sunningdale

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Unsure.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019 <i>Provided suitable funding is found to ensure the high standard currently achieved.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This will be an increasing issue as time goes on.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think that if enables schools or colleges to specialise in subjects then this is a great idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Junior School

ID: 2560

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot</p> <p><i>I am very concerned about lack of spaces in the Ascot area. Could Bracknell and Windsor join forces to gain funding from the Ascot Heath developer perhaps they could contribute to Charters to provide more spaces for Ascot children or indeed help fund a new school rather than an increased Ascot Heath school otherwise we will have a larger primary school but no where for them to go on to. Charters must continue to take Ascot Heath children. I am very concerned, as a RBWM resident with children at Ascot Heath (my catchment school) that we could be frozen out of Charters if Ascot Heath expands. What reassurances can you give to us?</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

Final Comments

Charters is an excellent school and has always had strong links to Ascot. It should either be expanded to cope with increased demand or we need a new school in the Ascot area. I realise there are scant funds available, but the developer in North Ascot could perhaps make some contribution.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Ascot

This respondent is: Other No School East Berks College ID: 2561

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Not applicable for Ascot	
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand Charters expansion by 30 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2019	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Ascot?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Ascot? <i>The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2202

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>No, the campus is not sufficiently large and the facilities could not be extended/expanded to accommodate the resources required for 60 places x at least 5 years, possibly more, i.e. an additional 300+ pupils is a step too far.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I have no insight into this. Suggest you as a panel of educationalists.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>You can't expand one and not the others if expansion is the route favoured by the majority which I doubt it will be.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes, build a new school or schools. But why build a grammar school that serves the need of an elite few, most of whom will have been "hot housed" to pass the 11+ by wealthy parents.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes, I support all through schools provided that pupils are given the opportunity to switch schools at the key educational stages</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>None</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>None</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2203

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>If the Senior Learning Team at Furze Platt Senior School welcome this initiative it will be achievable.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think that there is a need for both academic and vocational courses. Not all children are academic and an increase in vocational courses will help them develop skills which will help them find employment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>This should be done selectively.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>All options should be considered at this stage.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes in my view this is a good idea, provided that the schools are properly set up and funded.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>In principle yes. Although the larger umbrella trusts have been quite correctly criticised recently because of lack of direction and control.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>There is currently little effective cooperation between these two types of educational establishment. I think it should be looked at. What would be the benefit of further collaboration?</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2204

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>AS IT IS THE CASE IN THE USA (E.G. STANFORD UNIVERSITY COLLEGES), SOME SCHOOLS SPECIALISE IN SPECIFIC SUBJECTS AND STUDENTS ARE ALLOWED TO PARTICIPATE IN OTHER SCHOOL'S BEST AREAS OF TEACHING</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Other Furze Platt Senior School Pupil ID: 2205

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>This would be a good thing for Maidenhead. Furze Platt is a successful and well achieving school. Many building projects have allowed it to become a better school which can change lives of young people. Expansion will allow this to happen to a wider range of students.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>16 to 19 courses need to be offered to suit the people who will be around them. Many courses including skills which will be required in the ever-changing environment which we live in.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>Expanding other schools needs to be thought carefully. Schools such as Desborough have poor ofsted ratings and this should be sorted before any expansion of those schools.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>I believe that individual schools should be run by the governors and the head. Not in a business like way as many multi academy trusts have done.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>To do this adequate transport needs to be provided by the borough.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2206

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Where is the funding coming from, considering the Government cancelled the Schools's for the Future building programme? Will it be on the same site? Will parents and students have a say?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More apprentices places with proper professional qualifications. Different academic subjects such as Philosophy.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Are there enough spaces in these schools?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This will only work if there is a comprehensive infrastructure in place, such as better public transport, parking spaces, etc.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>The approach to learning in Early Years and Primary is very different to the one adopted in secondary schools. The skills required by governing bodies and Headteachers would need to be very wide ranged to encompass these different approaches effectively. It would need a vigorous and very active Governing Body to support this.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>This could work with good leadership from all the Governing Bodies involved and the input and support from the Local Authority.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Would like to see more cross fertilization between different learning establishments.</i>	
Final Comments <i>No mention of Local Authority involvement. Rather concerned that the focus is on academies and learning trusts, which takes schools out of Local Authority support and control. Where are the safety nets?</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2207

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Additional focus on entrepreneurship, business, management and leadership.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2208

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>All schools should expand, not just one.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More BTEC/vocational there is so much pressure on GCSE/ALevels all very academic</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>All schools should expand not just one</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I do not think new schools are necessary, however maybe grammar in berkshire would be good as it does seem to serve the brighter children well.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>The schools will be too big, with too little focus on either junior or secondary, they should be managed separately not by 1 head/governing body.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this should be encouraged mixing pupils from schools in order to be able to put on more courses and give more options for exams/courses can only be good.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2209

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think there should be loads of new courses available to children otherwise they could be missing out academically or vocationally.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes we should so they can continue there education without further travelling.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2210

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Build up new and competent school with high quality.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I haven't got clues as my daughter is just on year one.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>We don't have grammar school in maidenhead, which is not fair and convenient for those smart and working hard children</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I have no idea about it.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2211

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Vocational courses for less academically able. Sociology, psychology and access to more languages.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Desborough College and build on its excellent relationship with Radley and the success of its current Head and his strategic vision and drive.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Other options but keep comprehensive education in maidenhead. Develop existing schools rather than build new schools and develop physical facilities on the sites eg improve access to physical education halls and external facilities. Develop closer working relationship between existing schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Not sure what you mean by all-through schools?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Nursery School

ID: 2212

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Perhaps a new secondary school would be preferable to expansion.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know what's on offer at the moment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Again maybe a new school would be a better idea.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I don't know what a satellite grammar school is.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I wouldn't like to see any school become to big.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2213

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>The school buildings are not large enough to accommodate so many more pupils, & such large yr groups risk reducing standards. Nor do I support relocating the school. Better to build an additional school.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Short term: It would be better to build another school. Long term: we need to control immigration.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>What is an "all through" school?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I don't think education should be a lottery. All pupils should have access to the same education, which should be of a consistent quality, decided on by people who have been democratically elected.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think 6th form colleges would be a good option to introduce.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I believe single sex education should be phased out. Why do we segregate pupils at schools when we don't anywhere else in life? Learning to relate to & cooperate with the opposite sex is an important part of education.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2214

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Berkshire College of Agriculture ID: 2215
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School
 Governor Courthouse Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>How is anyone meant to know the existing list of the courses available now!</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>This would minimise the risk to one school if the predicted numbers failed to materialise. Cheaper as well as you wouldn't have to enhance other areas of those schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>RBWM provides excellent education in the present format. If people want grammar schools they have that choice in neighbouring areas.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>The primary schools provide an excellent grounding for the pupils moving up to secondary school and the majority of pupils find it easy. The needs of a 4 year olds is very different from an 18 year old and I do not know a head teacher that can address both.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Since the reduction in schools budgets they have been forced to work together anyway to fund services. Collaboration between schools is always a good thing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It is obviously advantageous provide all types of education to all pupils, including a variety of vocational options,. However this has to be thought about very carefully, is it working at the moment?</i>	
Final Comments <i>I'm concerned that the need to expand the number of places is being used as a smoke screen for the real agenda of fundamentally changing the way schools are run in Maidenhead and allow for some empire building to take place. Are the parents of primary schools being consulted as it is their children that will be affected?</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2216

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I am reluctant to encourage school expansion when already a two form intake. It seems unfair on existing staff, pupils and parents and risks the ongoing success of the school. Making schools continually bigger as the population continues to grow isn't the answer. If FPSS is only a one form intake and can afford the space, then it could be considered like Oldfield. Was.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Have not researched</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Other options but not Satellite grammar.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I hope pressure isn't going to make rushed unrealistic decisions. Homes being built in catchment areas before school places/options resolved!?!</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School

ID: 2217

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Financial planning for their own money</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>More choice should be available</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this would be a great idea so that pupils have more involvement in preparation for further education.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School

ID: 2218

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Interested in why you have chosen Furze Platt, why not sort out Altwood and stop it from taking so many pupils from outside the area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes why not get them all to a similar intake size, why not rebuilt Altwood as previously planned</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>You either go whole hog grammar or not at all.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Definitely primary and secondary have quite different needs, why make this more complicated and expensive than necessary.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>No don't support, sounds like you will just spend money unnecessarily.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not sure this is necessary I am sure they do this already, as much as they feel necessary.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2219

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>East Berks college has put virtually everything in Langley, and mainly draws from Slough and East of there. A similar sort of provision should surely be needed for the borough, or the borough and part of s bucks that we border?</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Why not focus as well on how to make better use of Desborough Boys. It has an appalling reputation amongst parents. It needs to improve hugely, go co ed and have a more local catchment.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The grammar school system has massive support here, definitely support a satellite grammar, essential to putting right the awful rut maidenhead is in, it's seen as run down and lacking in decent secondary schools as well as a decent town centre. If we want to grow the town it needs top notch schools to attract the CrossRail types moving out of London.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Totally against although schools, too big, too unwieldy. Also fear they would lose the essential focus on younger ones getting a wonderful start to education which Infants gives them. I do support a policy of feeder schools tho e.g. FP Infants leads automatically to FP Junior and then to Senior.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Totally against multi academies, reduces choice and diversity and will become horribly corporate. Dreadful idea.</i>	No

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Assuming schools were to feed into each other formally then there would need to be a degree of closer working. areas of focus for this would be common e.g. How do literacy and maths get taught from early years right through to school leaving, what's the pathway through in your school career? How does SEN operate across the schools? What about the arts? Some specialist areas or teaching resources could be shared across the linked schools e.g. Reading recovery, art and music . How do we plan out the children's education pathways and how do we use our precious teaching resources to the best ability so children get a full curriculum from the outset?

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2220

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Only if you can expand the site, number of teachers etc. please don't just add more children to classes.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think this needs to be very fluid. There's no point offering training in something if jobs aren't available in the market place.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think new schools would be a very good idea rather than saturating the existing schools whose facilities are in all likelihood strained as it is.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>See below</i>	
Final Comments <i>I wonder whether intentionally or unintentionally children might be pushed to choose these further education colleges rather than looking all options available to them. Much more information would've needed.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cox Green School ID: 2221
 Parent of a pupil Wessex Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Could work well but may not make much difference if you are still keeping the same catchment area. What about all the new housing that is added in other areas of town more pressure is then put on the other schools for places as travel to Furze Platt would be difficult.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A good idea to have options such as Beauty, Car mechanics and Childcare. This is all available now and will not make any difference to the secondary school shortage as it is post-16 only.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Where the space is available and it will not impact on teaching. The expansion needs to be thought through for each year group as eventually the extra places will be in all years 7/11. Do not just think about fitting new year 7's otherwise it will be bigger problems when the extra year 7 classes are in year 11 and there is no room left. Teaching and learning will then be affected.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Why grammar schools? I do not think this is a good system so avoid the grammar school issue. Maidenhead has not had this so why start now. Can we not just add a new school on a site that is found but not make it selective?</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Will make no difference to spaces in schools. It is only a change to the organisation, there will not be any extra space so is a waste of time discussing it.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>This is a good way of sharing expertise and I work as part of one in Slough. This will not increase school places it is a way of saving costs and sharing knowledge. Why discuss this as a way of increasing school places? It will not help increase space.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Post 16 FE colleges are not going to increase spaces for years 7/11. There is already a lot of post 16 options in Maidenhead</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments <i>Most of the detail in this document is only focussed on Furze Platt and BCA. I do not feel that you have given an options although you have numbered the proposals they are not part of any choices as it is all proposed on different timescales. A new non-selective school on a new site would be the best way to go ahead. It would allow a review of catchment areas and pressure to be relieved from all other schools. If you add a selective school or single sex school it will limit the potential admissions too much and will not help the situation.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School

ID: 2222

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Unsure.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School

ID: 2223

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>academic.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>n/a</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

ID: 2224

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No comments.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Governor Cox Green School ID: 2225

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Most cost effective solution</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>With the raising participation age, we need to make sure there is enough provision for the less academically gifted children who would traditionally have left education at 16. More vocational courses and more apprenticeships (via local businesses) need to be developed to meet this future need.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I cannot currently see how this is viable. As far as I understand it, there is currently no site identified that could support a new school (with the required outdoor space etc). There could also be legal objections to the creation of a satellite grammar school. In addition, a grammar school could have a significant negative impact on our existing secondary schools, either by taking the most able away from them, or by suggesting that they are somehow secondary modern". We are lucky in Maidenhead to have excellent secondary school provision and anything that has a negative impact on all our schools should be avoided. In addition, a grammar school may not actually deliver many extra places, since those who currently go outside borough for this provision would just stay in borough, and any extra places gained would be limited.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>This should be further investigated. Although by itself it may not create extra places, it may improve funding by the use of shared resources / facilities etc.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I see no real benefit to this for any of our schools.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This is a tricky situation. I fully support full collaboration between our schools and colleges however, since our schools are encourage to "compete", it is difficult to force that full collaboration. It may be the better 6th form collaboration for e.g. only 1 school providing geography/languages etc, where numbers might be low in existing schools this would free up valuable resources. I find it difficult to see anything more than a loose federation, unless multiacademy trusts came in to play that forced the issue although I doubt this would have the support of the schools.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Final Comments

As there is room to expand in our existing schools, to varying degrees, this should be the route to go. It is more cost effective, many schools have 1 story buildings that could be developed into 2 story. As I understand it all our schools are on track to be judged at least "good" by Ofsted and this should open the way to developing each of our sites, for the least amount of money. As we saw with Holyport College, this cost over £15m but only provided a small number of day places.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff East Berkshire College ID: 2226
 Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School
 Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Vocational courses and a focus on apprenticeships with strong links with local employers who can train the new generation and use the training expertise at BCA & EBC too support and underpin these programmes. I think the Elevate Me initiative is excellent schools and colleges should not be in competition with each other, they should work together to be able to offer the most suitable solution for each individual learner, which has not always been the case.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A local Grammar would be a good idea, currently many parents in maidenhead and surrounding areas compete with the parents within Bucks for grammar school places. This puts additional pressure on an already over subscribed system.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration is welcome. At EBC we have amazing facilities to deliver vocational programmes. At many times throughout the week these facilities are not used, schools could send their learners to EBC to gain valuable employment skills, from Lecturers who are industry specialists. For example it would be better for a learner to cover a Btec Level 2 in Hospitality, taught by a chef or ex Restaurant Manager, rather than be offered this programme in their school where they may be taught by their textiles teacher.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

ID: 2227

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>no answer</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2228

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Accountancy, PR, Marketing</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>Maidenhead requires a Grammer School.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

ID: 2229

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Computing, Business and Entrepreneurship courses.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Larger schools are OK for kids in the 16+ age bracket, but moving an 11 year old from a school of 300 to a school that has 1500 kids aged up to 18 does not seem appropriate for their wellbeing.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Grammar schools would be a very welcome addition to Maidenhead new schools would help the number of places to grow, without making each school too large for children to flourish and receive the attention they require in a safe environment.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>The primary kids (and to a degree Year 7) are still kids versus 18 year old young adults. I don't feel that it makes a good mix for social or educational benefits.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I assume that this supports funding benefits for schools so always a good thing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration is a good thing, if it makes new course more accessible and provides alternative opportunities for learning.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2230

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I would be concerned by that volume of pupils in one school in terms of management and local infrastructure (impact on roads etc) Better to spread amongst a number of schools.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know what's currently offered. Mandarin Chinese?</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Better to spread intake across schools than focus on one.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think the focus would be on older pupils and the younger ones wouldn't get attention they need. Very different needs across the ages.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil White Waltham CE School

ID: 2231

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Site not big enough.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More sports.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Only if the sites are big enough, classes in portacabins etc is not viable.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Opening students up to other subjects at FE colleges is a good idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2232
 Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>not clear what alternative is ? expand all school places equally?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>not able to comment. other than please full range of a levels</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>not grammer school please</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>need options to choose at 11 when needs of individual child more apparent</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Have no idea what this involves more info needed.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Waltham St Lawrence Primary School Waltham St Lawrence Primary School ID: 2233

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Robust means of transport will need to be available from all areas of the borough.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Childcare / preschool qualifications; catering / chef / dietician</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Furze Platt is a long way away from some parts of the borough and it will become a huge school which is not suitable for all pupils.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Not sure that Grammar schools are the way forward in current society.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>In other countries this is very effective. There is strong evidence to suggest that this is the case also in independent schools</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I think it's really important for schools to retain their individuality, so that a "real" choice remains.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think that this is an excellent option and that increasingly there seem to be more young people who would prefer to do something vocation related rather than pure "academia".</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2234

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Noting "expansion" should be additional classes and not more pupils per class.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I do not have a 16-19yr old so cannot comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>If places are needed then yes why not but again additional spaces must be more classes and not bigger classes.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2258

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Computers</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>since the RBWM do not have a grammar school, it would be really useful.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Maidenhead Nursery School ID: 2259

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It's already an enormous school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>They're already big schools.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good idea but it has to work effectively.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I am generally in favour of smaller schools.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2260

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>We need a bigger range of vocational qualifications 16 to 25 years at a bigger range of schools/colleges</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Expanding all schools give greater choice to parents</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This would be needlessly expensive.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>We haven't tried this in RBWM and it would be great for parents to have this choice.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I don't know what the advantage of this would be, but if one organisation owns lots of schools it runs the risk of reducing choice and diversity.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Our schools in RBWM work well together as a consortium for A level courses so don't fix what isn't broken.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2261

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>computer science</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2262

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Also try and make school 'outstanding'</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Touch typing courses are invaluable. More cycling/scooter safety courses. Constructive courses to avoid people hanging on 'street' corners'.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>NEWLANDS GIRLS SCHOOL. If giurls cannot attend a grammar school this is a good single-sex school - fewer distractions. Please expand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>PRIORITY I THINK IS GRAMMAR SCHOOLS. Pupils who are bright need to be pushed to their potential limits. This is unlikely in a comprehensive. Help improve our children's education with grammar schools. New all boys school - not Desborough.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Providing it is a good or outstanding school. Otherwise, don't bother. Good idea.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Too complicated involving everyone - there will always be different opinions and disagreements to be overcome.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This is a good idea - more options open for eveyone.</i>	
Final Comments <i>We need satellite grammar schools.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2266
 Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Yes, as long as enough extra facilities are provided and the school takes care to not become overwhelming in its numbers.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am in favour of more science and technical courses + more vocational apprenticeships.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would be sensible to expand other schools too when more and more extra places are needed.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I am strongly against the idea of satellite grammar schools - I wish education in RBWM to remain truly comprehensive, not with large numbers of the brightest children siphoned off into different schools.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think that the needs of primary and secondary age children are very different and each should have their own heads.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I don't support multi-academy trusts because I think this compromises the teaching independence of individual schools. Umbrella trusts I do support as they make use of non-teaching economies of scale.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I strongly support this - I would like to see in particular more links between the primary schools in Cookham and with Furze Platt Senior School.</i>	
Final Comments <i>My husband and I have been very impressed by the organisation and standard of teaching at FPSS and it seems only fair that this should be available to more local children. If the school is expand then we feel it is important that Cookham continues to keep its priority status for admissions to the school as it is likely to be very popular.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2270

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Fields of science course relating to engineering/geology/pharmaceuticals and medicine</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Build on success. Newlands is a successful school and offers a valuable option of all girls' education. With the right investment, could the school take further expansion? I don't know if there is enough land, but I am willing to suggest there might be and the opportunity that that would offer would be very good indeed.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I'd need to understand more about 'satellite grammar' schools. Don't see the need for brand new schools make what we have work better. Certainly improve Altwood's OFSTED performance, make it a better performing school. It would put less pressure on the other schools if it was more aspirational.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Need more knowledge of pros and cons.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Need more knowledge to judge, but sounds interesting.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I do believe we should see more collaboration between the public and private education sectors. The Claires Court single site project should be fully backed as another option in education that could help relieve pressure on the state schools. Perhaps with the authorities support more bursaries to local students, sharing of facilities, etc. Embrace all educational establishments as they all have a role to play in making Maidenhead an excellent town for education.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School

ID: 2271

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Diplomas, Nvqs</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Maidenhead should have a college that offers more choices than bca</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Altwood CE Secondary School ID: 2272

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Many more, particularly Accounting/Bookkeeping which offer qualified routes into industry at 18 and good pay. Contrary to popular belief, A level maths is not required to be a bookkeeper.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>In south Bucks we rely heavily on schools in Berkshire which are often closer to us than the alternatives in Bucks. We wanted a school with strong Anglican values and Altwood was the only option. We really value the school and its staff. Others in coming years will also value this choice.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think this makes transition from junior to secondary much less difficult for students. It can be a traumatic time. More use of buddy systems would be a good idea.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Sharing resources is an excellent idea if it reduces cost and gives schools more flexibility. However this is a hugely complex management situation. The calibre of management will need to be examined.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>More vocational qualifications/options should be provided to capture the attention and energy of young people before they become completely disaffected by the school experience. Altwood was formerly an Accounting centre, receiving students from surrounding schools for these lessons. My son took Accounting at Altwood for A Level and is now working, and pursuing his ACCA qualifications. He is far more useful to his company than a university graduate with a history degree! He is doing very well and progressing quickly. More vocational qualifications for 16/18 year olds please.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School ID: 2284

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It needs to be guaranteed cookham children have a designated school that can accommodate them.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>IT based - e.g. coding, given high concentration of IT companies in Thames Valley.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I do not recommend new schools but expanding existing schools or setting up satellite grammar schools harnesses existing infrastructure and management resources.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>the needs of a 4 year old and 18 year old vary hugely and resources should not have to be a choice between one or other.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>There should not be huge schools where children do not feel part of a community.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Sharing of specialist resources may be beneficial.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2286

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School ID: 2288

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>We live in Cookham and Cookham children have only Furze Platt as a feeder school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>The the load is not just on Furze Platt.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>If the council keeps approving new house building a new school needs to be provided.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>Cookham children/families need to be considered, not just Maidenhead.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2292
 Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>FPSS has demonstrated it can manage building projects on site effectively without disrupting the students. Re building of Maths block, Drama studio current expansion of sports facilitates. My son is in Y11 which accepted an extra 40 pupils for Cookham the year the catchment areas have changed. Providing class sizes are kept small as they were for our year and accessibility to sports and sports teams is maintained in larger year groups I see no reason to object. Communal and circulation areas in the main building is limiting plus toilets and changing rooms for additional students and this will need to be addressed.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Practical trade based courses plus more computing and electronics</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>FPSS is popular and expanding other schools does not mean parents will choose these schools in preference to FPSS? May not solve the problem.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>There needs to be options for those who are inside and outside of FPSS catchment. area. However I do not believe expanding the grammar system to Maidenhead will help this. It will effect the other schools by redirecting the high achievers. Our schools need to cater for the academically bright within the current school system not separate them off. Having the high achievers in school helps and motivates the other young people and creates high achieving schools. Would this Grammar satellite include a 6th form also? Or would they end up back at FPSS again, thus swelling numbers?</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>Yes all-thorough education and more collaboration between Infant, Junior and Senior schools in the Furze Platt family would be beneficial to the education of the children.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>Competitive and collaborative buying power of a umbrella Trust will bring savings.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>I like the idea of BCA offering courses within the current schools and negating the need for the kids to travel to Burchetts Green all the time. There is sometimes a stigma attached to the kids that travel out to BCA. Maybe if the courses were in house (where possible) this would be less visible.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Final Comments

Expanding FPSs does seem the sensible option but there must be adequate building and provision of communal and circulation space otherwise it will seem very crowded. The kids running up the stairs currently is scary another 60+ in each year? It will fall down!

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil White Waltham CE School

ID: 2293

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Additional courses offered by local colleges or business. Computer programming and engineering.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Grammar school would be a good option.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Need to have a strong leadership team with an overall head of the MAT as well as head at each school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Should be utilised as much as possible to benefit all children of all academic levels.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School

ID: 2300

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2301

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>But only if the quality of the teaching can be maintained. Also ensuring that pupils continue to receive individual attention.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think it should be left to the experts to decide what is most useful (although I feel that languages are lacking locally).</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Places are not just in the Furze Platt area. Demand and solutions should be spread across the town.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There is a lack of grammar school provision in Maidenhead. This feels like the most urgent solution.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>There have not been any clear benefits communicated for this. Much more detail should be supplied.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>But schools should continue to be government and national curriculum run.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It sounds like a good idea. But is it realistic to expect pupils to have different schools?</i>	
Final Comments <i>We should not be building homes until the school problem is solved.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2303

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Is there sufficient space to expand? Is it a popular school? Is it oversubscribed anyway?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Management and Leadership skills. Interview + coaching + mentoring.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>There is a new free school opened September 2014 in Holyport - has this been included in secondary provision?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>How many additional places are required?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2304

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It is important that Furze Platt Senior School expand to match the rise in school age children within its catchment area. If it is not expanded then there is a risk of siblings being divided between two senior schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No strong opinions on this matter.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I presume the other secondary schools in the area are facing the same rising population challenges as Furze Platt Senior.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I am very concerned about any moves to bring back grammar schools. RBWM needs to be focused on providing the best schools for all pupils, not just the elite who can pass exams at 11.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I would like to see the Furze Platt schools take the lead on this. But the senior school, just because it's the biggest, cannot make all the decisions.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Would like to see more collaboration between schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No strong opinions.</i>	
Final Comments <i>RBWM needs to focus on providing places for all children in Maidenhead. Not just those whose parents are CoE or can afford to coach their children to pass 11+ exams.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2305

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School ID: 2308

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Very popular school with good results. Don't want single-sex and other options poor on academics/discipline in my area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>grammar schools very popular.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Little children would find it hard.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Don't know enough to comment</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good I think.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2320

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No idea!</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Cookham ID: 2321

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Has the fact that both Cox Green and Altwood schools currently have a significant number of students from outside catchment (eg Slough and Burnham) and therefore these places could be filled with Maidenhead residents first before adding additional places.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2322

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Huge schools fail.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Programming skills.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Parents need choice.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There are enough schools. Improve all the ones we have.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>It is important for children to learn about transition, especially if they are not comfortable with it. Closer ties between schools would be great pupils going between schools before transition for Theatre shows, Sports days, Lab experience etc etc.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I support umbrella trusts, but not multiacademies.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Allowing students to access the best teaching regardless of location should be a core aim.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Larchfield Primary and Nursery School ID: 2323

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More courses based on what the country is in need for at the time will help. We know to grow the economy.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think Maidenhead Schools in general need more spaces as you have already advised. Where i live my nearest Secondary school is Desborough followed by Altwood but may not be my 1st choice for my 3 sons.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I have already been advised to look into Grammar school for my eldest and my 2nd son is showing promise for that too. Unfortunately there are none in Maidenhead and we would have to look in the Slough/Burnham or Reading area. I really think Maidenhead is crying out for a Satellite Grammar school</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think as parents the process with getting a place in Schools is currently very stressful as your first choice is very likely at present to be over subscribed. I find it more frustrating that when i put my child into a school nursery that i still have to apply for a school place in reception. If it could be easier this would help. My boys attend a primary school which is better so know transfer and applying from infant to Junior. My parents didn't have to do this for my brothers and me. Furze Platt is probably the only one that will benefit from this but i'm sure this is how it was when I was growing up you naturally went from Alwyn to Courthouse or Furze platt infant, junior and senior.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I strongly believe that working together benefits all so i would very much think this would be best for our schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I strongly believe that working together benefits all so i would very much think this would be best for our schools.</i>	
Final Comments <i>PLEASE can we have a Grammar School or Satellite Grammar school in the borough.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2324

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Drama, dance,</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes it is essential that all resources are maximised.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2325

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More practical life skills e.e completing application forms, applying for insurance, bank accounts, managing money etc</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>All of the comprehensive schools should share resources ie, swimming pools, astro turf pitches etc</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2326

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Traffic bad enough already.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>None.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Other

No School

ID: 2327

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Just a thought...</i> <i>Assuming the need for more school places is partly due to the arrival of cross rail, and this is great news for maidenhead. Lots of new young families who will spend in the area and support our local businesses. But what happens to those kids once they've finished senior school ? With no college in maidenhead they will go off else where to spend their money ... Wouldn't the plans for the desborough suite better serve the community by transforming it into a college much like Windsor and Langley have ? Even providing evening adult education to stimulate evening spend in town ? If we need culture in the town centre and I agree we do don't we need to think long terms of the needs if its residents ?</i> <i>Thanks for listening</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2328

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>software development, IT systems administration, computer security.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Expansion of existing schools would appear to offer the most costeffective and least disruptive avenue to meeting demand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>In my opinion children are better served by headteachers who are able to focus on the needs of a narrower band of ages.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>In principle I'm in favour of pooling resources, even under common leadership, but the devil is in the detail, and the success or otherwise of such a venture is dependent on the competence and expertise of those operating the arrangement.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>In principle I'm in favour of collaboration to make otherwise financially unviable courses available to pupils.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School ID: 2329
 Parent of a pupil No School Sir William Borlase

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>School would simply be too big would be uncomfortable sending my child to a school that large also infrastructure would struggle to cope junction near school already a nightmare and down Furze Platt Road.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know enough to comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would make sense to spread the places more equally across Maidenhead schools so that they were free places available at a range of schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Proabbaly should be investigated all the middle class Mums I know would love a local Grammar school. In principle not sure it would work though. My son is at SWBGS and part of the pull and general atmosphere around the learning is due to the old building and history of the site.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Jeez you've got to be joking in my experience Heads can hardly manage the schools the way they are I dread to think who could manage a super school it would be chaos management by committee. NO thanks.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Isn't this what there was before they all became academies anyway</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Don't know enough but it's common sense surely to share the resources available.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Before you expand you might want to update the buildings of the current schools don't think I've yet seen a school Maidenhead with a dining room big enough to fit everyone in.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Other

No School

ID: 2330

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>The School has solid foundations, an ethos of work and care, a deep understanding of the comprehensive system and is popular with pupils and parents. It has got land to expand.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>There should be a wide range of both and may be arrangements could be made between schools to complement each other. I have made my career in education but am now 85 and cannot comment on new courses except that IT must be high on the list in each school.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>All our schools have enough land to expand. They are all liked in their own way and all must provide a good range of subjects to attract a viable number of pupils.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>A grammar school would be divisive and retrograde. It would deprive the other schools of their role model pupils, cream their 6th forms and make life miserable for many year 6 children in primary schools whose parents would strive to push them into a school where they may not fit and be happy. I taught such children when Newlands school was still the high school and felt I could give them a great deal more both in work and self confidence when we became comprehensive.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>The young ones look up to the older ones and I think that in many schools the older ones help the strugglers and this enriches them both.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>Collaboration between schools and colleges of education is very good in principle. The great difficulty is the problem of transport.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments</p> <p><i>The comprehensive system has proved very successful in Maidenhead and it would be a great pity to introduce elements which would sap its strength.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2331

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2332

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Languages</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Not multi-academy only umbrella.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think they should be separate further education schools are often less academic and more vocational.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Maidenhead may get better results from a satellite grammar school as lots of the brightest children are going to them in Beaconsfield, Wycombe, Reading and Marlow.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2333
Governor Berkshire College of Agriculture

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Providing there was enough land space and teaching facilities this would be appropriate.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More emphasis on Business studies.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>This would be appropriate if we cannot build a new school to accommodate the expansion within the area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Absolutely, BCA college is one of Maidenhead's best kept secret with the most amazing facilities, space and educational learning and teaching capability, we should be using an FE such a BCA to drive change and collaboration... Its a no brainer to me!</i>	
Final Comments <i>I would like to attend an evening event somewhere in Maidenhead where this is explained in more detail to residents and parents. We need more information to make better informed decisions.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2334

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational, scientific.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2335

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I would support this option if this was the quickest way to provide those additional places but this alone will not resolve the overall issue.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>The school would need to be performing well before expansion could be considered.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Strong consideration should be taken to build a new Catholic secondary school with 2 Catholic primary schools in Maidenhead there is no options currently in the area for a secondary school for this denomination.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I do not have any knowledge of this proposed option however I would find it hard to assume that one school could accommodate all age groups and their educational and physical needs.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>No experience so unable to comment.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2336

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Absolutely not. The existing children already struggle with access to the library and lunchtime facilities. Less than half of the children who audition for the school drama shows get parts (some don't bother to audition as they know they don't stand a chance). The school does not have the infrastructure to cope with these additional children and while the school might supply enough teachers so children are catered for in the classroom they will suffer in the other areas e. g. limited places on school trips, access to library and other facilities, additional support. Quieter children do not need superschools, they need to be nurtured in a calm, smaller environment. This is all about funding and not about the childrens' needs.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Engineering, ICT, Hospitality</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Build a new school.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>We need a new school in the area but I do not agree with bringing a satellite grammar school in to Maidenhead. The Borough will become like Marlow where middle class families tutor their children from a very young age to pass the entrance exam as they fight for the grammar places. The comprehensives will suffer. This undermines the whole ethos of the comprehensive system and is going back to the 1970s.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>It is good for children to make a move at age 11. They may struggle at the time but for a lot of them a change at this age makes them feel more grown up, and it is good experience to prepare them for moving on age 16 or 18.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I feel that staff morale will suffer from not being part of one school, and being expected to move from school to school as work demands. There will be no feeling of belonging to one place. This will in turn affect the children.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools and colleges is good, but I feel that while working together they should remain separate entities.</i>	
Final Comments <i>We need to keep the comprehensive system in Berkshire and not allow the grammar schools into the area, this would have a huge impact on the comprehensives and parents stressing children with more and more private tutoring. Maidenhead needs a new comprehensive and not huge increases to the existing schools. It's not just about the education in the classroom, it's the access to computers, support staff, after-school clubs etc. which will suffer with increased numbers.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2337

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I appreciate the need for further secondary places in Maidenhead. Furze Platt is a good secondary school, and I think it could cope with an additional 60 places per year group, but only if the right amount of money is set aside to make it viable. There are currently too many pupils on site for the space in the school, esp. catering & toilets, however believe that if anywhere can deal with expansion, it would be Furze Platt. I would not want to see the community spirit lost, or the pupils and teachers all knowing everyone.</i></p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>I don't feel I can comment on this particularly well, except to say that with jobs increasingly hard to come by I do believe that apprenticeships and vocational courses should be more widely available, to both those who are academic and those who aren't. Similarly, a wider range of academic courses would also do no harm - times are changing so quickly and our pupils need to keep up.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>I certainly don't feel that Furze Platt should be the only school that should be expanded. I think all the other schools mentioned should be looked at as well. I assume this proposition has gone as far as taking the new cross rail into account, as I believe even more families will be moving to Maidenhead and surrounds once this is up and running.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>A new school might be a good idea, but I'm not sure it would be the best use of money. With regard to satellite grammar schools, I am totally against this idea. Creaming off the top "supposedly academic pupils" leads to an unfair comprehensive system. Please don't bring the 11+ into Berkshire - it creates havoc.</i></p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>I don't agree with all-through schools. I think that it does children good to move away from small schools and deal with "life". Whilst I appreciate that some children find this traumatic I think that good pastoral care in the school should solve any problems.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>It is always a good idea for schools to cluster together to help each other out, both financially and to share knowledge and good practice.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>Obviously this is a great idea, and should definitely be promoted, even now.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2338

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I feel that the school, though successful, is already large enough. My children feel overwhelmed by the size of the school at the moment without it being any bigger. Also the school are currently making it difficult for parents to park and with increased numbers this is only going to get worse. Also the increase in traffic will be detrimental to the area. Also I feel that it's illogical to make the current largest school in Maidenhead even bigger, it feels a bit like putting all the eggs in one basket.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>I would suggest asking employers what they require and asking current students in schools for their opinions.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>RBWM should ensure that only RBWM children are in their schools.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>Possibly, but think that a satellite grammar school hasn't been thought through as there are currently no other grammar's in RBWM.</i></p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>My main my concern would be that the younger children would be intimidated.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>Again this feels like all the eggs in one basket and defeats the object of why academy were introduced by the government. Managing these could be problematic.</i></p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>I think they should be separate.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments</p> <p><i>Am glad that residents can contribute.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil White Waltham CE School

ID: 2355

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Focus on science and different languages</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not keen on the use of further education colleges, I think A levels should be provided by the secondary schools where children are already established. A two year move is disruptive at a very important time.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Boyne Hill CE Infant and Nursery School

ID: 2378

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know enough to comment</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>The more the better.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2379

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2380
 Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>My son will be joining my daughter at Furze Platt in 2018 and I would like to believe that there will be a place for him. If the expansion does not happen it is a huge worry where Cookham children will get to go to secondary school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Vocational and academic courses should be available for all children in the borough. The more variety the better. Also, some forward thinking into the skills sets that suit local industry might be a good way to move forward.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I suspect that with the vast number of houses being built many families will be moving into the area and current estimations of numbers are probably not high enough, so the more school places available the better.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>The schools should let the colleges know any information they can about the popularity/success of any academic subjects and vocational after school clubs as these could highlight some potential course options.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Larchfield Primary and Nursery School ID: 2381

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>We have to ensure that all our children and young people can get a high-quality education near their homes and not somewhere far.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Engineering, Science, Technology, Animal Care (Vet). Getting local companies involved, providing small working periods within the companies</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>We have to make sure that all our young population have a place in a school near home</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Maidenhead should have a grammar school the nearest grammar school is in Marlow.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>If a student stays on a school many days he will feel more confident and will the school as his second house. Also the parents/guardians will feel more confident because they will know all the staff of the school ans can see them as part of the "family". I totally in favor of having a school from the ages of 04 to 18.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I totally agree this way pupils and staff have a wider range of choices</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2382
Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational courses</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2383

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2384

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>more industry based software development, social media etc</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>would like you to explore having a catholic secondary school in Maidenhead. We have 2 large primary catholic schools with no feeder secondary school. If we want a catholic education for our children we need to send to Slough or Reading. For a size of a town that Maidenhead is and catholic primary schools with around 800 pupils its shocking that we dont have a catholic secondary school</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>for reception children dealing with Y3/Y6 can be a very daunting experience. its also good to give children exposure to settling in a different environment. Otherwise they are potentially going into the workplace / tertiary education having been at the same school all their life. I think this will cause problems so I therefore think its good that secondary schools are kept seperate.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>we dont have grammar school in Maidenhead so why not make a satellite one here to build on the experience of other very good grammer schools in berks / bucks.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration is a good idea & should continue.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I am sure the parish priests would be willing to liaise with the diocese to consider assisting with funding a catholic secondary school. I have spoken already with my parish priest who is open to this.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2385

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It's already very big.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>They are already big enough.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A Catholic secondary school is needed as those who wish for a Catholic education have to go out of Maidenhead. A local grammar school would be very welcome too so that local children don't have to travel to other towns.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Lowbrook Primary School

ID: 2386

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There is a need for a Secondary School in Maidenhead.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2387

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Real life skills budgeting for home economics, house maintenance, job interviews, guidance on how to deal with politics in the work place, mentoring.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>As a Roman Catholic, I would favour a proposal that would allow my children to continue to learn in an environment that supports their faith. I had to travel to High Wycombe to go to a catholic secondary school, and there is currently an option in Slough. Considering the massive oversubscription for the 60 places in reception year at St Edmund Campion this year, and all of those places went to Catholics, the fact there is another catholic school in maidenhead indicates a requirement for a secondary school to accomadate these children locally, if possible. You must factor in parking when considering all of your above proposals, as the infrastructure around altwood/ st edmund campion is really at its maximum capacity currently. perhaps a small portion of the field next to the A4 could become a little like the departures area at an airport where parents can drop their children off and move off immediately?</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Its a pity the college in maidenhead was laid to ruin. it would have been an ideal spot for a secondary school, and I believe ancient documents bequeathed it for education. Its a travesty homes were built there when the education system is buckling.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Future housing development approval should be strongly tied to the limitations of the educational infrastructure. Else you could approve housing that is specifically for single people/ retired people?</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2388

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational courses that could offer an alternative route to A-Levels modern apprenticeships and BTECS were offered through Maidenhead College when it existed, and I think it would be useful to replace this</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I don't believe this would be sufficient to meet future demand</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There is definitely a place for new/ satellite grammar schools in Maidenhead for years many children from St Edmund Campion have taken up places at St Bernard's in Slough and Burnham Grammar, both of which are oversubscribed and can take few students. In an area where all secondary places are oversubscribed I think there would be a good argument for creating a new school rather than expansion of existing schools, which I think would not be sufficient to meet demand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>In principle yes, however not sure how it would be administered or made possible.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Would depend on the trust and how it would be administered.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>As above.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2389

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Extra 60 is too much perhaps 30 so one more form.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More sport courses and grounds care and they can make most of grounds and new sports facilities</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Cox green has capacity to extend and catchment for that side of maidenhead.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>With ever increasing population and more housing a new school would be the best way forwards for long term strategic provision perhaps by m4 area towards holyport.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Governor Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2390

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>enginneering.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>RBWM should not move into selection. The comprehensive system here works well.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>A good idea to rationalise courses in all schools.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School ID: 2391
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>If sufficient funds for infrastructure including sport.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>To fit local and national business requirements increase business involvement</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>look at grammar options and ll options including new school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>if you can get great leaders to manage and lead them.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>N/A</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2392

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>IT/Computer programming/Technology related courses. Course that tap into the digital economy which is strong in the Thames valley.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>A balance needs to be found between expanding the co-ed and the single-sex schools to maintain a balanced choice.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The costs associated with land purchase for a new school which will compete with proposals for more housing will make this very expensive. The more cost effective option would be expanding the existing schools.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Increased collaboration is a very sensible way of providing a wider range of courses.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2393

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Perhaps, as more spaces are needed. This depends on how good & popular a school Furze Platt is and whether it could cope with this expansion.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>am not aware of any new courses which could be offered locally. I anticipate my children will sit A-levels in traditional academic subjects.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>We have just moved in to the area (as an RAF family) so I am unaware of how many secondary schools there are in Maidenhead and what size these already are. The town seems densely populated and we struggled to get primary school places for our 3 children, in year 1, 4 & 5, this September.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There is no Catholic secondary school nearby & I would like to send my 3 primary school aged children to a Catholic secondary school. This would be my first choice of school regardless of size, academic results or Ofsted grading as I place high importance on a faith school education.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>This would be advantageous to parents with several children as they would all be together throughout their schooling. It would also prevent the anxiety caused by transition from primary to secondary school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>This can be beneficial to management and financing as well as educational standards.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It would be fantastic to have a Catholic multi-academy or umbrella trust in Maidenhead, with provision for education from age 5 to 18.</i>	
Final Comments <i>As a forces family we relocate frequently and usually have to appeal for primary school places. We have found Maidenhead to be the most difficult place so far to obtain places for our 3 primary school aged children. There seems to be overwhelming pressure on primary school places in this area and infant class sizes at our children's current school exceed the limit of 30. We have not seen this elsewhere and cannot see how the situation will improve. Investment in new schools in this area is vital, to ease the pressure on current schools and meet the future increase in demand for secondary school places.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Altwood CE Secondary School ID: 2394

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Do not have child in this age group yet so difficult to gauge at this stage.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Probably, it can't be avoided. But Maidenhead does well because it offers smaller comprehensive schools than other areas and there is value in this. This is what attracted me to the system.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Grammar schools are bad news, we should be investing in quality comprehensive schooling. There are enough grammar schools in Slough and Bucks to serve this area. PLEASE don't introduce grammar schools or satellites in to Mhead.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Too big, smaller schools are better. Would not cater specifically for the needs of the children enough.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Possibly, , would depend on purpose and the offer</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>New parent to secondary school and so have not got to grips with all the issues yet.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2395
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>My understanding is that there are more children living in the catchment area than places available, so yes expansion will be good.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>We haven't looked at A levels at the local schools so I am not informed enough to answer this question as I don't know which courses are not available.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Satellite Grammar School linked to which school? Many parents opt to enter their children in for the 11+. A mixed local grammar school would be good.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Not sure what an all through school means? Is it Infants Juniors & Seniors eg automatically going all the way through Furze Platt schools; or does it mean not having to reapply for the 6th form? Would be yes to both scenarios.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Please define multi-academy and umbrella trusts highlighting the differences so that the lay person understand the question!</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Further education colleges would be good if they offered either specialist courses or a higher qualification in between A level and Degree. Collaboration would be good to widen the range of student's options.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Desborough School ID: 2396
 Parent of a pupil Cox Green School
 Parent of a pupil Wessex Primary School
 Governor Wessex Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>As site & sports facilities allows for this expansion & it has the support of HT & Governing Body</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Far more vocational courses needed, esp with links with local trades/businesses/apprenticeships. BCA and 'The Consortium' does a great job.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Get the maximum from our existing facilities & invest in our schools</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Don't agree with Grammar/Selective education. It streams off the best pupils meaning that our existing schools will suffer. It causes stress & division etween children at time of selection.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>The larger the organisation, the more difficult it is to control & the less responsive the management will be to staff & pupils. If overall management is poor, it would be disaster for all our schools. By all means share purchasing power e.g. for stationary etc & share best practice between HT's, Senior Leaders, Middle Leaders & Governors.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good idea. The Consortium works well to make this link. Practically, can this be expanded.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2397

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Wessex Primary School ID: 2398
Parent of a pupil Wessex Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would only be of benefit to expand schools which are doing well. Expanding an underperforming school would not be helpful as people wouldn't want those extra places.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Having a child in year 5 and considering secondary schools for her, the options are limited and we are therefore considering grammar schools out of the area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>What is an all-through school?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>It depends on whether it puts those schools which are not part of these at a disadvantage.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2400

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>its more local</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>any there need</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None</i>	
Final Comments <i>None</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2401

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>There has been an increase in school places at the Oldfield primary and these pupils will need accomodation into secondary schools eventually.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Basics in Economics</i> <i>Accounting and Financial Management</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No comments</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2402

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>My daughter is in the current Y11 where the year group has 30 more students than the other years. My daughter is doing extremely well therefore I believe there has not been a detrimental effect on her education. Expanding the year groups seems a sensible solution.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am not sure at present.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I am not informed enough to answer that, but I am very much in favour of keeping Maidenhead schools Comprehensive.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Speaking as a grammar school student myself and a Buckinghamshire primary school parent and trainee teacher, I am absolutely against the building of a grammar school. Education is exciting and inclusive in a comprehensive school.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this is probably a good idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2403

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Support with Apprentices.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>A catholic secondary school would be fed from st edmund campion catholic school and st Mary's catholic school both sort after primary schools in maidenhead.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2404

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Providing it is a well managed increase in the number of places akin to the expansion at edmund campion which appears to have been managed well with gradual growth through the school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>My son is too young for me to have a view on this question currently.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I think all options should be considered we cannot leave the current situation where there will clearly not be enough secondary school places for 2018 intake (my sons year!).</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This sounds an interesting prospect. a whole new school allows lots of opportunity for expansion, though lots of potential for mistakes. Satellite grammar school would be a great choice as I think there is a lot of demand for a few grammar school places available for Maidenhead children. Denominational secondary school continuing to promote the values established in schools such as edmund campion is also over interest.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Change is good for children however disruptive for some. they have to change as they go through life so school is a good safe place to start this</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>for further education yes but not for secondary</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good for children to understand the steps they need to take to get to higher education</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Wessex Primary School

ID: 2405

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Practical vocational courses</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools for best teaching practice and student engagement.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Boyne Hill CE Infant and Nursery School ID: 2406
 Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School
 Governor All Saints CE Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Already a large school, perhaps it would become too big to be run effectively for the benefit of pupils.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Generally more trades and apprenticeships as not all young people reach their full potential academically.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>As Proposal 1.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Children in general need to experience change in order to grow. Whilst not all children cope with change, the majority can be taught or will develop coping strategies that will help them in later life.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Feels like a good route to take as would broaden options for young people to study subjects of their choice that their school or college cannot provide.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2407

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>This plan will add nearly 500 pupils, bringing the total to about 1700. This is too large, and will just dilute the already far from great results that the school provides. The options for boys in Maidenhead (best school is Newlands for girls) is limited if they really want to achieve high marks. In my opinion any secondary school that goes over 1000 pupils runs a very real risk of allowing too many pupils to get lost in the system.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No opinion.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>As stated above, all the current Maidenhead schools would then have well over 1000 pupils, which in my opinion will dilute the quality of the education they receive. The only exception to this is Holyport College, so I would support further expansion of that school.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Already a significant number of Maidenhead pupils attend Bucks grammar schools. The area's primary schools generally perform significantly above the national average in terms of KS2 results (especially Lowbrook and Oldfields), so by developing a new Grammar School would allow those pupils achieving these levels at KS2 to continue at such a high level to KS4 and KS5.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>The idea of having 4 and 5 year olds joining schools with over 1000 pupils aged up to 18 fills me with horror. Sounds like a recipe for disaster, and will just terrify most small children, putting them off school.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Whilst I think schools should work together and share ideas and best practice, each school needs to be able to operate independently so that it can respond adequately to its specific demands.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Generally i am against this proposal, but can see the benefit in being able to offer less popular courses in a centralised manner.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2408

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More music courses. Learning musical instruments. A general comment. Give state school children the broader education that is typically given in the private sector. A chance to follow their talents whatever they may be.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>We would love to see a Roman Catholic secondary school in Maidenhead. There is a huge demand for our primary school so imagine the demand for secondary would be the same.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Social mobility in this country has taken a huge leap backwards during the last 30 years. What does the private sector do to inspire its pupils to believe they can achieve anything if they put their mind to it? I would like to see more focus on inspiring state school pupils to achieve especially girls. More interaction with the private sector.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2409

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Technical courses/ apprenticeships as outlined in the proposal</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>All our schools should be fully comprehensive and LEA run</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Would need to be convinced that there are real benefits to creating such a large school other than financial.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>All our schools should be fully comprehensive and LEA run.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Seems a positive move if students gain access to broader range of courses.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2410

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Agree with expanding Furze Platt. I think the most successful and in demand schools should be expanded first</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Full range of Sciences (Physics, Chem, Biol), Maths, English, Modern Languages (including Chinese), Computing courses from software development to commercial applications, vocational training to help with "soft" skills to transition to workplace</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes, if there is demand</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>another incounty grammar would be good but not at the expense of the existing high standard in other secondary schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2411

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>have read that the school is in support of this proposal and that they say they can manage this expansion effectively. 60 extra pupils per year group does seem a huge amount and I'm not entirely sure this is the best option for an already big school. I would like to support the school as this is where I would like my children to go in the future, however, is there a point at which a school becomes too big? Is the site big enough to accommodate this many pupils? What advantages are there to the school to expand further? The school was looking to relocate to a different site several years ago. Some of the reasons given were because the current site wasn't big enough and some of the buildings were too old and beyond repair. Is this still the case? This is why I have put a 'Don't know' because I don't know enough about the school at the moment. I would like to know more and how they intend to accommodate all these extra pupils.</i></p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It should be all schools not just one or two.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Maybe we have reached a point where a new school is needed rather than keep expanding the current ones.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>If this gives pupils more opportunities and flexibility for learning then it can only be a good thing.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments <i>No.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2412

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't Know. My kids are very young I just did'n think about it yet.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I do worry that it will be hard for my kids to get place in good secondary school due to growing number of houses an families with yong kids in Maidenhead area</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>My kids are very young I just did'n think about it yet.</i>	
Final Comments <i>My kids are very young I just did'n think about it yet.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School ID: 2413
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>An ideal site for School expansion plus an excellent performing school. Ideally expansion should be created by rebuilding parts of the School to make better use of the space and replace old buildings.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I agree with the proposal but have no specific knowledge of the courses available that should be offered through BCA. But suggest trades, bricklaying, plumbing, electrics, beauty courses, business studies, textiles, ICT, art & design, marketing etc.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>If proper funding is provided that does not impact on other services provided by the local authority.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>This is a costly option and effort should be directed towards the existing schools to ensure they have the best facilities and are providing the best education. A two tier system will emerge as a result of free schools and grammar schools and I do not agree with these systems.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I cannot see that this is practical. There are too many differing needs for children throughout this age group for one headteacher to oversee and ensure all individuals childrens needs are met. Also if you are unsuccessful in getting a place in the School at age 4, if it were your first choice, how easy would it be to get a place later on down the line? Already not all children get a place going from Furze Platt Infants to Juniors so how would this system work?</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I believe this will be the way forward for Schools, sharing resources, from facilities to teaching expertise and securing contracts competitively, i.e. catering, building etc.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>As with proposal 5 sharing resources is the way forward, i.e. offering a college course open to all schools in the area is the most efficient way to offer a broader curriculum & opportunities to students that the school individually will not be able to provide.</i>	
Final Comments <i>No further comment.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2414

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It will make the school too large and increase traffic within the locality</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More IT/Industry/Science</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>I dont think an increase will be of benefit to the existing school</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would really value either the choice of a Catholic Secondary School or a satellite grammer school. As a new parent to the schooling system I cannot believe there is no grammer school within the borough. However if a satellite grammer school is not possible I would greatly love to see a Catholic Secondary School in Maidenhead. We now have 2 fantastic Catholic Primary Schools and for them to have the ability to merge and come together for a secondary school would be marvelous.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I don't feel in the long term this is benefical...pupils will always need a change and to have a constant doesn't offer variety for the pupils.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Potentially this could be a great idea...again though depends on what courses are offered and can take place within the borough.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2415
 Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No comment</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Existing schools in Maidenhead are good and have the capacity to expand. Setting up a grammar school will turn the other schools into second tier secondary modern schools. It would also be much more expensive than expanding existing schools. It might also not provide much more capacity, since parents who currently send their children to grammar schools in Bucks and Slough might choose to send their children to a Maidenhead grammar school instead.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I think the secondary schools are good; three out of five are already rated good and I think the other two (Cox Green and Altwood) will be judged good when reinspected this year. In theory multi-academy trusts could raise standards further, but this is far from guaranteed.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Schools are already collaborating, for example on teacher training. This is to be encouraged.</i>	
Final Comments <i>For goodness' sake get on and decide where the new housing is going to go! We will have a mighty crisis with this, even before Crossrail arrives.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2416
Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Sounds great. I love Furze Platt and think other children should be able to benefit from it.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No idea.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Why would we do this if we can expand the existing secondaries? Sounds divisive to me.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Sounds good in theory, but might be difficult in practice. Allthrough schools really need to be on one site, and not even the Furze Platt schools are nearer enough to each other.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Alwyn Infant and Nursery School

ID: 2417

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Work with local companies to give apprenticeships. Sports coaching</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Making current schools bigger is of course an option to look at however need to think of the children, it is a massive step to secondary school with the amount of pupils we currently have. Need to be able to offer secondary schools that are not so big.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Need to look at how many places we are currently given to children that do not live in the Borough and that do have secondary schools that they could go to however would prefer to come to us.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School ID: 2418
Parent of a pupil Alwyn Infant and Nursery School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I am concerned that although we currently lie within catchment, this may change with the population size. I am also concerned that children from the cookham area have first choice of places above all others. This seems unfair despite previous placement issues.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am unclear as to what is currently on offer so cannot comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This would appear to be a sensible suggestion to cater for the needs of our teenagers and utilising current establishments.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School ID: 2419

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>The places need to be created, and I would expect that the funding required both operationally and for capital requirements would be provided in a timely manner</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>As long as the schools can support the increase alongside an increase in investment – better to spread the increase across all schools rather than put all into one (i.e.Furze Platt)</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>In principle, I think it would be rather challenging for governing body to manage this broad spectrum of curriculum requirements and the children's needs.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2420

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2421

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No knowledge</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School

ID: 2422

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Graphics</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Transport</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2483

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Whilst I am mindful of the need to provide additional places due to the increase in numbers, I am concerned that the quality of the existing educational offering may be compromised, both in terms of class sizes and other resources.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>If numbers are becoming challenging shouldn't the emphasis be on providing the existing offering well, rather than diluting it to provide options which may not be taken up?</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would be useful to look at spreading the 'load' of the new students instead of expecting Furze Platt to take them all.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>See above.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I like the proposal of providing continuity, consistency and removing some of the fear of the unknown for students.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>In theory, depends on the pairings and costs benefiut to each institution.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This sounds a good idea in principle for vocational subjects, but would want more details on standardising teaching and qualifications.</i>	
Final Comments <i>If would be a pity if the provision of these additional spaces caused a deterioration in attainment for the affected schools.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2484

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ellington Primary School

ID: 2485

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Cooking, respecting others, anger management.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A grammar school should be provided, as there are lots of parents who travel out of the borough for a grammar school. Maidenhead should have a grammar school as parents have to go out of the borough for grammar schools, which is very time-consuming and stressful, as most parents need to be at three different schools plus go to work.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ellington Primary School

ID: 2486

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Larchfield Primary and Nursery School ID: 2487

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>It's simple, everyone can do so much more.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More info needed.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes, more is always good.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I can only help with information that I get from having my daughter at this school.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Larchfield Primary and Nursery School ID: 2488

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>If it's a good school with good results I agree that the available places should be increased in order to allow more students to join the school in 2017.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Courses that have current demand on the jobs market. Such as Informatics, Computer Science, Nursing, Economy.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>This would allow all areas in Maidenhead to be covered instead of people to have to move house to get a place in a particular area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There are many people that have their children going to grammar schools outside Maidenhead. Having grammar schools in Maidenhead would increase the offer and opportunities for the students.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think the collaboration is positive because it will lead to better prepared schools, staff and students.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Larchfield Primary and Nursery School ID: 2489

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>SL6 4DW</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2490

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>An analysis of employment requirements over the next 10/20 years would be needed. More IT/technical/scientific/finance courses.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Governor

No School

ID: 2491

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Being one of the Councillors from Furze Platt I think school original was designed for 400 pupils and now numbers had increased 1200 pupils. With opening of Furze Platt Leisure facility there would be more parking issues in this area. Although we have added 80 more parking spaces I think that would not be enough.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Yes. Fully endorse the views that there is need for 16 to 19 year old school to be expanded.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>If there is extra land and infrastructure can cope additional traffic and Governing Body agrees.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Borough should explore all options to increase pupils places at schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would save money. Consistency and continuity in education of a child. RBWM should amalgamate infant, junior and secondary school. Huge benefit of this scheme.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Multi-academy umbrella again can bring efficiency and saving by sharing services, ordering in bulk etc. Fully support this.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Fully support this schemes.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School ID: 2492
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>The pressure of cars on one location on already busy road. Spread the load so all people/schools share the burden.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The situation is only going to grow.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>There is a huge difference between senior and primary schools, requiring different focuses and needs. This doesn't solve school place problems.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes - a good idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Mary's Catholic Primary School ID: 2493
 Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>The school appears very competent and keen to expand. However - will they be able to maintain their good standards with such a large population?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>A spread across the board seems to be sensible to me.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would be delighted if there was a local grammar in Maidenhead.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Providing the schools benefit.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not sure - my children are too young for me to have clarity on this.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Mary's Catholic Primary School

ID: 2494

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Yes, but also see proposal 3 too.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes, definitely.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes, definitely do this too.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>As long as enough school places and quality of education not adversely affected.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Possibly a good idea and worth considering.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ellington Primary School ID: 2495
Parent of a pupil St Luke's CE Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2496

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>We would like to have more art and design courses.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Altwood CE Secondary School

ID: 2498

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Other No School MP ID: 2499

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil White Waltham CE School

ID: 2500

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Demand for places consistently outstrips supply.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Particularly Cox Green.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Not free schools but satellite grammar schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration and sharing of resources leading to economies of scale and shared ideas can only be a good thing.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Submitted by hand because (as usual) the website is not working properly.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2501

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>A known school with a good track record.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>If necessary then I would rather existing schools increase capacity where possible.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>No, I don't think grammar schools are appropriate.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I think schools should remain within RBWM - pblically funded, so should be publically accountable.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Unsure</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Altwood CE Secondary School

ID: 2502

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A great range of new courses would be extraordinary.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Newlands Girls School ID: 2503

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>All good schools should be expanded by a class a year.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>I think allocations for school places should be by closest school not distance to school as outlying villages are losing out in this race for places and is a very unfair system for villages as town children are being advantaged. Hurley is a prime example - Newlands is closest school but only allocated a place 2 weeks into September as distance too far even though is my closest school.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Alwyn Infant and Nursery School

ID: 2504

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It seems reasonable to expand all schools where possible in order to spread the numbers of additional pupils across Maidenhead.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The borough should explore all options open to them, the more choice for secondary pupils locally, the better.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Would be interesting to understand how successful these are in reality. I would expect the continuity to be a positive thing.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This makes a lot of sense and will encourage pupils to explore different options that may not be available to them without collaboration.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Junior School

ID: 2527

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I definitely feel that it would be a better approach to spread these new places across the schools in the area, rather than putting all 60 pupils into Furze Platt Senior School. I think it will put too much strain on the School and the size of the school will become too great a challenge to keep up current standards. From a child's perspective, such a big school also has many disadvantages when compared to the current size of the school.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think it is forward thinking to further develop and expand provision here but I am not sure which specific courses I would suggest be introduced.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Definitely. We have great schools in the area so we should allow our children to benefit from all and not limit their choice to Furze Platt Seniors. Wider choice is better for all pupils, parents and schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Furze Platt is a great example to show this works extremely well.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I believe this is a good initiative to investigate further as it increases opportunities for those interested in the more specialist courses. Again, a positive move.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

ID: 2528

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational, IB</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Build up current schools if their fabric allows.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Opposed to a grammar school. It will distort the comprehensives intake adversely. New schools if older schools not suitable for modern needs and too expensive to update.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>This could take away from the independence currently enjoyed by academy schools. What would be the benefits to students and all the different parties involved in education.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This could be beneficial to all. Unaware of current research in this area at present.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Furze Platt Infant School Furze Platt Senior School ID: 2529

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Furze Platt Senior School is well run, successful and very well placed to cope with the proposed expansion. Subject of course to the necessary investment the school and its pupils both present and future should continue to thrive and produce excellent results.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>It would be good to increase vocational courses perhaps in conjunction with local businesses and also consider carefully broadening the range of academic courses.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>YES, depending on the number of extra places needed.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>NO, absolutely not.</i> <i>There is sufficient scope to expand existing schools. Satellite grammar would be divisive and are not needed. The selection applied by grammar schools would ruin the current inclusive ethos within the maidenhead secondary school framework.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Where it makes sense to do so with site locations. However, these would need to be carefully planned and implemented.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>However there would need to be confidence in the ability and strength of the relevant governing bodies.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Whatever happens to the future structure there are many opportunities for greater collaboration. I believe the secondary schools are best placed to collaborate with their feeder schools and develop closer links in many ways.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments <i>This is a great opportunity to build on and develop further some of the excellent educational facilities available in RBWM.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Holy Trinity CE Primary School, Cookham ID: 2530

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>New grammar schools would help enormously</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Boyne Hill CE Infant and Nursery School ID: 2531

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I am for expansion as this is a popular and good school. However it would be important to ensure that the increased size is not at the detriment of pupils' standard of education.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Currently don't know what is available as our children still at infant stage so difficult to comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It would seem good to have long term plan of increasing capacity of all secondary schools in area with the expectation that quality of education would also continue to improve at all schools so equal opportunities for all.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Good explore but don't know enough to comment further.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>If one is happy with all stages of school, then great but if child not getting on well at the school it does not provide opportunity for change. I'd be concerned about child being locked into one school if not happy.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>If strengths of different schools can be pooled this would seem a good idea. It gives children an opportunity to benefit from other schools. This would strengthen argument for all-through schools if it worked well as it could provide more consistent performance across the borough.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think it is crucial that courses for lower demand subjects are still made available e.g in music and the arts and modern languages. Therefore collaboration sounds like a good opportunity if this can ensure they are still viable.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Oldfield Primary School ID: 2532
 Parent of a pupil Desborough School
 Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Furze Platt is already a large school. Making it any larger could take it beyond a size that is comfortable for the children. Secondary schools are already very intimidating for young children. increasing a large school by 33% only increases the issues. It is also at the north edge of town and therefore not the easiest place for access.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There should be more focus on the fundamental subjects, and sciences. Too many esoteric subjects do not prepare students for the real world and getting real jobs.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Desborough already has capacity for extra classes. Makes more sense to use that capacity, than build a new capacity at a school that is already very large and at full capacity.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>New schools bring lots of issues, besides the arguments over siting, planning and who actually runs it.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Separate schools allows children to grow within a cosy environment. As they get to the top of a school, then they relish the extra responsibilities and status this brings.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Multi-academy trusts allows more efficient use of resources and allows better collaboration, where they are more effectively run under a single management umbrella.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Consortiums allow minor subjects to be taught, and in a better environment. Class sizes are better when a number of pupils do the same subject, rather than in very small numbers.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments <i>From the council's own figures, Desborough has more unused capacity. There may still be a requirement for some investment, but far less than the alternatives of expanding Furze Platt or new schools.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School ID: 2533
Parent of a pupil Alwyn Infant and Nursery School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No comment.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>In principle a great idea, anything that gives people more choice, but does it put pressure on children even younger (14) to start making vocational decisions even earlier?</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Member of Staff Holyport College Holyport College H ID: 2534

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A range of courses reflecting the needs of the local population should be offered across all schools and schools should collaborate with each other to make courses viable.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Please see next comment box.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Holyport College has proven to be very popular with local parents. The College would like to be considered for expansion: Y7 Y8 increase by one form of entry 9 additional boarders and 11 additional day places, making PAN of 60 versus current 40 Y9 Y11 increase by two forms of entry 18 additional boarders and 22 additional day places total PAN of 120 versus current 80 Sixth form would increase from 90 per year group to 120. Places in Y7 Y13 would therefore increase from a projected 500 to 720 Holyport College would be pleased to discuss with the RBWM its proposal to expand to help meet the evident parental interest in sending children to the College and to help address the projected shortfall of place availability.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>See comments box above</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2535

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Not sure it there is enough space to accommodate, if quality & possibilities would deteriorate because overcrowded.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>It's an area problem for all pupils, so it should be addressed all around Maidenhead</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Satellite grammar schools sounds like a good option</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Not understanding fully the implications of this one</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Not sure.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil All Saints CE Junior School ID: 2536
Governor All Saints CE Junior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>already quite a large school</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know current range</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>yes but not to the maximum suggested</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>yes new schools no to grammar schools</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>too much control held by fewer people</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>too much control held by one group</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>in principle a good idea but hard to see how it would be coordinated.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2537

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>I think it is a good idea to increase a number of secondary schools rather than just one, so that parents can choose one closer to their homes, and an increase is fine, but an increase of 60 seems a lot.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Of the top of my head, I wouldn't be able to say, but I think this is a good idea. You would need to look at the % of children going onto do the 'technical' subjects and apprenticeships and reflect these proportions in the courses that you offer.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Again, I think its fine to expand to a certain extent but not to the degree you are suggesting. Secondary schools are big enough anyway as they currently are, and already it is a big jump for children to go from primary to secondary, and I think by increasing their size makes this even more challenging for the children.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes, yes, YES!!! I imagine a significantly high number of parents in Maidenhead buy or move houses in order to be in catchment for certain schools, and this is particularly so for those wanting to be in catchment for the bucks grammar schools, who therefore have to move north of the A4. To have a grammar school option available for residents living anywhere in Maidenhead would be absolutely awesome and I suspect there will be very strong support for this option from a large number of parents.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>No. I don't see the need or benefit of this and it just makes the schools so incredibly and unnecessarily vast.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>This sounds sensible.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Again this sounds sensible</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2538

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>The school gets good results so it makes sense to expand the facilities.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>There are not many good secondary schools in Maidenhead.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There should be grammar schools in Maidenhead as well so that the kids do not have to commute long distances to get good education. Currently so many children commute long distances to reading and high Wycombe.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2539

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Science, Technology, Engineering</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools and further educations colleges shall provide students a chance to learn more without going far.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School ID: 2540
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Even before the extra class was added in September 2010 FPSS was a larger than average school (Ofsted 2010) Increasing student numbers so significantly will have an adverse effect on the positive and nurturing culture of the school. I am concerned that the positive strides made by the current leadership both academically and culturally will be jeopardised so I oppose this proposal very strongly.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>The education system should work in tandem with employers to ensure children leave school employable. Technology must be a priority. I also believe less academic children should be supported in becoming employable so courses in mechanics, plumbing etc.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>If room has to be made for an inevitable influx of school age children to the district, the burden should be shared across other, currently smaller schools. Cox Green and Altwood spring to mind. Newlands and Desborough also.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>Whatever it takes to ensure children in the district get the best possible education. FPSS is already too big so the borough needs to confront the truth of it's future intake and make provision. Cross Rail in 2018 will only increase demand for school places so time is of the essence.</i></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>I like primary schools to be local and walkable and believe 11 year olds gain confidence and independence when they make the move and transition up.</i></p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p>	<p>Don't Know</p>
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>It is important schools understand what colleges are looking for so they can prepare children for the next step. Communication and collaboration therefore is vital.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2541

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?

No

Furze Platt is a good school and is well run, but it is already large with over 1000 pupils. I believe that it is in the best interests of the children of Maidenhead to have more rather than fewer options, and so I would rather not see an expansion of an already large school.

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

I would like to see more offering of triple science (i.e. Physics, Chemistry and Biology), as although I am aware that this is already an option, my understanding is that it is only offered to a very small number of pupils. I would like to see courses in more modern software and hardware engineering, using tools that are relevant to those industries (e.g. the teaching of programming using languages such as Python, Java, Scala, C#, Closure, Javascript, Node.js etc., and the teaching of hardware engineering using experimental hardware kits such as the Raspberry Pi and Arduino low cost hardware).

I would like to see vocational courses in modern engineering and fabrication techniques. By this I mean the use of water or plasma cutting tools, 3D printers and forming tools, and robotic processes. This should place an emphasis on tooling versatility and 3D design. This kind of course is badly needed to help prepare children for the high technology fabrication industry of the near future in the UK.

Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?

Possibly, but not at the expense of curtailing student choices.

Don't Know

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

Yes

*I would like to see more choice for pupils in the borough with regards not only to the subjects that they study, but also (and crucially) the *environments* where they study. This can only mean that Maidenhead requires a wide variety of secondary schools both large and small. At risk of stating the obvious, children are very individual, and their achievement at school (from my own observation) is highly linked to their comfort that they experience in the school setting. Some children get on well in large schools, whereas some feel lost. Some children feel constrained in small schools whereas others feel cherished. It is a highly subjective experience, and consequently we require more variety amongst Maidenhead Secondary Schools to cater for this individuality.*

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?

Continuity can lead to a good experience for some children, but on the whole I would rather that there is a break between Primary and Secondary schools.

The experience of your education coming to an end at one school and starting at another school is an important transition and allows children to

experience separation and reformation at an important stage of their lives as they transition into their teenage years. Importantly, although somewhat less significantly, should a child have been bullied or struggled to make friends at primary school (unlikely, I admit), it does offer them the chance of a new start at a school where pupils may not know them or have preconceptions about them.

Don't
Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?

If the aim of this initiative is to increase choice, absolutely. One highly positive thing that I have noticed about both Free Schools and Academies is that they seem to be much higher scrutinised than regular schools, and I consequently hold them in high opinion (generally speaking).

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

As I have yet to see any analysis of the effectiveness of such

collaboration, I feel unfit to comment on whether it should be increased.

I would however say that I believe any such change should be evidenced.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Cookham Rise Primary School ID: 2542
 Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Senior School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>FPSS is already a 'larger than average' secondary school (Ofsted 2010) and this report was written before the extra classroom added in September 2010 increasing the numbers from 1150 to 1250. The head teacher and governors of the school say they are confident that the standards and ethos of the school can be maintained but how can this be possible in a school of that size? I am concerned that the positive steps made by the current leadership will be jeopardised both academically and culturally.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Design, technology and engineering, these are some of our strengths and other nations look to us for these things. Any courses to do with health care would be of benefit too, doctors, midwives, healthcare workers etc.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>Cox Green and Altwood should be expanded as proposal 2. They are both 'smaller than average' COED secondary schools, they are both graded 3 (Ofsted need improvement) I'm sure they would really appreciate investment both in funding and committed care to help them improve in time for 2017 and then Crossrail in 2018.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>Building new schools should be the main proposal, the borough needs to confront the truth of it's future intake, Michael Shanly seems to be building houses everywhere with no thought for the infrastructure, and Crossrail is arriving in 2018. Time is of the essence.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>I think the transition from primary to secondary school is a really important step for 11 year olds. Travelling to school on their own, meeting new people are daunting but exciting challenges and very important for their confidence and independence.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>This sounds like a good proposal, especially if it means courses could be funded which would otherwise not have enough interest to make them viable.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Final Comments

I think that proposal number 1 should be to build new schools. No one wants to send their child to a huge secondary school and increasing FPSS by 350 seems both shortsighted and damaging. If the borough is intent on the expansion of secondary schools then the burden should be equally spread across the 5 secondary schools FPSS has already been increased by an extra 100 pupils since 2010 but we have seen that this smaller intake can be successfully managed.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2543

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>We need more schools near the River. Furze Platt is already large.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More practical options (apprenticeships).</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>They all seem large enough. We need another nearer the river.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>It seems many children do pass the 11+ so it would make sense to offer a grammar option.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School ID: 2544

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Furze Platt to my knowledge is a very popular school, if it expands any further my concern is to large classroom sizes therefore watering down the chance for children to stand out and shine.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I would like children to be offered the opportunity to learn more about life skills</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I don't have enough information on this to make a valid judgement</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>I don't have enough information on this to make a valid judgement</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>It would be nice to see a Catholic grammar and Catholic secondary school in Maidenhead in order to support the over subscribed Catholic primary schools</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Courthouse Junior School

ID: 2545

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Ecology</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think it is a good idea.</i>	
Final Comments <i>No more schools to be built on the green belt please. This is infilling.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Furze Platt Infant School

ID: 2546

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017? <i>Yes if there is the correct resources & support available.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I believe you should work with local business to understand the skills shortage & use a more strategic approach to education.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>Yes if there is correct support & resource available.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes absolutely. I believe it would be fantastic to have a satellite grammar school in Maidenhead. It would allow for all children of all abilities to get the focus they deserve depending on which school system they are suitable for.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>I believe it would be sensible to stop the application process for schools that are clearly linked.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2547

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Engineering and science based. Music & drama Financial skills to cope with budgeting/ pensions etc</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>All options to be looked at. We need to ensure that we have the best education available for our children!</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead? <i>Not sure. I see this will help where change is a challenge... Not clear what other pros & cons are? Do these schools exist elsewhere and what is there success?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead? <i>Don't know enough about these schools/trusts</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>This is a good idea to pool resources and optimise their use. In principle I support.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I am happy the council is looking at this as my child will be directly impacted in 2019. I am concerned though that we should also ensure in parallel that we have access to the teaching staff that will be required and that there will be a means of assessing the success of these schools. The larger the school the more stress on managing the social aspects (bullying, drugs etc) and investment needs to be put in place for this as well. I am concerned with the rate of housing increases in the maidenhead area and I strongly urge the council to ensure current residents that there will be the right balance of expansion versus facilities and amenities. I'm not sure this has been taken care of up yo now e.g. Oldfield primary expansion delay is a case in point.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor Courthouse Junior School Alwyn Infant and Nursery School ID: 2548

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Expansion should be across all secondary schools and should not be just focussed around one school. Making Furze Platt a very large school will ultimately mean greater class sizes, even less emphasis on the pupil as an individual, and less opportunity not more as there will be too many pupils to spread resources across. There is not sufficient funding within the borough or government to allow the increase in numbers to be scaled equally with all the space, resources and facilities that would be required.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p>	
<p>Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>It is more reasonable to split the increased numbers across all the schools rather than put the pressure on one school to cover all of the local expansion. Each school would then need to stretch their resources to accommodate the increase and they will all be impacted equally.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>An additional secondary school should be considered if the numbers of pupils on roll is going to keep on increasing, that would then mean all schools will have reasonable class size numbers which will benefit all of the borough's children.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ellington Primary School

ID: 2557

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Yes, I think it is a good opportunity.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It will be nice to have some specialist courses about art and drama.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ellington Primary School

ID: 2558

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I will be [?] to 16 and not 19 years old thank you.</i>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead? <i>How much size people have to go to secondary school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Can I go to Newlands Girls' School?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2559

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>If you put a mixed Catholic Secondary School it would meet the needs of the children who are currently attending mixed primary Catholic schools. This would mean that children can stay with their current friends. Also that the current morals and faith being instilled in the children already in the catholic primary schools, would be continued and therefore support the children through their very venerable teenage years. It would be better for the children as their friendship group would remain in the local area. It would improve the child's education, as they are not having to commute to another town, meaning that the travelling time could be used to study.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Other No School EBC ID: 2562

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>East Berkshire College plans to further develop and expand Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) courses at level 2,3 and higher levels and creative & design courses at levels 2,3 and higher levels.</i></p> <p><i>The College has won funding from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership (TVBLEP) to develop STEM facilities at Windsor College, providing specialist facilities for local residents to access either through full time enrolment at the College or through collaboration with local schools. The facilities will enhance choice and quality of sixth form provision and provide seamless pathways into higher level provision, including higher level apprenticeships and foundation degrees in engineering, science, computing.</i></p> <p><i>The College also continues to develop partnerships (e.g. with The Firestation Arts Centre and London College of Fashion) to provide progression to higher level creative arts courses for local residents.</i></p> <p><i>Both initiatives create affordable, local, relevant higher education for Windsor and Maidenhead both in the arts and STEM.</i></p>	
Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?</p> <p><i>The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.</i></p>	Not Indicated

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

This respondent is: Other No School Bucks CC ID: 2565

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1 - Should we expand Furze Platt Senior School by an extra 60 places per year group, starting with Year 7 in September 2017?

**Not
Indicated**

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

Proposal 3a - Should we expand other secondary schools in Maidenhead?

Currently, there are approximately 4 forms of entry (fe) surplus places in Maidenhead schools – where 1fe is equivalent to a class of 30. Child benefit data suggests that demand will rise by 6fe over the period to 2021 assuming nil net migration based on the latest child benefit data – although Buckinghamshire County Council (BCC) acknowledges the uncertainty with secondary projections due to volatility in migration trends and parental choice. This would suggest the need for at least an additional 2fe (assuming the net flow of pupils from out county remains unchanged) which is in line with current proposals to expand Furze Platt by 2fe from September 2017. The fall in the 11+ population indicated by the child benefit data after Sept 2021 would suggest that further additional capacity may not be necessary (although bulge classes may be required if there is any volatility in trends in some year groups). This would also suggest that maintaining 10% surplus places is likely to result in excessive surplus places when the population starts to fall. Moreover, DfE guidance on surplus place targets relate to overall surplus capacity so planning for less than 5% surplus at Year 7 might be more appropriate (e.g. 2-3% would require an additional 1-2fe) – especially as parental choice is exercised to a greater degree at secondary level and the schools cover a much wider urban area

**Not
Indicated**

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?

**Not
Indicated**

The grammar school annexe is a longer term option (i.e. the consultation document states that it is unlikely that it could be implemented before September 2018 at the earliest) so any proposal may need to be subject to a review of the population trends - if the places are being provided to meet the increased demand from the additional housing currently being proposed by the borough). BCC however acknowledges DfE guidance which states:

Reducing surplus places is not a priority (unless running at very high levels). For parental choice to work effectively there may be some surplus capacity in the system as a whole. Competition from additional schools and places in the system will lead to pressure on existing schools to improve standards.

In September 2014, of the 4986 Bucks resident children that were allocated a Bucks secondary school, 1486 went to a grammar school (i.e. 30%) – which would suggest that there is some potential unmet demand for grammar school places in RBWM - where 102 children largely from Maidenhead were allocated a Bucks grammar school (equivalent to 13.6% of the Year 6 Maidenhead cohort).

BCC acknowledges some of the concerns expressed in the Cabinet Member report regarding the creation of a grammar school annexe including:

- The scale/nature of the change means that unforeseen consequences are likely – particularly with regard to parental choice as this will involve at least some re-providing of school places that are already available elsewhere;*
- The proposal could lead to a surplus of places in Bucks grammar schools which would lead to a reduction in their budgets and the money available for staffing/resources. While BCC do expect rising demand in the High Wycombe area – this will be largely concentrated in the upper school sector due to 11+ qualification rates;*
- Site is yet to be identified – so difficult to understand how admissions arrangements including catchment areas would operate;*
- No guarantee that the Secretary of State would approve the proposal – as would need to demonstrate that there was sufficient integration between the two sites;*
- Responsibility needs to be agreed on funding arrangements – including revenue costs, start-up costs, home to school transport;*
- Size of annexe could result in children from outside RBWM attending the new provision thus reducing the number of places available to RBWM children;*
- Viability of a split-site school.*

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Maidenhead

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Maidenhead?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Maidenhead?	N/A
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2181

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Member of Staff Homer First School ID: 2182
 Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School
 Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Should be a better link up to colleges to offer wider choice of GCSE TO ALL</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2183

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>1a+b schools already large enough build a new upper school</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>1a+b schools already large enough build a new upper school</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>1a+b schools already large enough build a new upper school</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More apprenticeship type courses</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>New schools not Grammer schools which result in lots of tutoring for 11+</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>good idea my son has not had the chance to do one day a week at windsor college as some schools do good for less academic children.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2184
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Science and arts</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Specially if in this way more academic courses can be covered.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School ID: 2185

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>DONT KNOW AS NOT AWARE OF WHAT COURSES ARE AVAILABLE N/A TO MY CHILD AT THE MOMENT</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Member of Staff St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2186

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would prefer that my son does not attend an all boys school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would prefer that my son does not attend an all boys school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would prefer that my son does not attend an all boys school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	N/A
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>I have actually purchased a new home in Dedworth road just so that my son(s) can continue to attend St Edwards and the middle school. I had him baptised as Catholic because his Dad was also baptised catholic and we chose to bring him up in the catholic faith. He attends church regularly. For me his education is very important and so is continuity at school, something which I had as a child. I also feel strongly that as he was baptised he should be brought up and attend a catholic education. I have considered relocating to Ascot and Holyport on account of schooling. My second son will start school in 2 years time and I want him to be offered the same education.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School

ID: 2187

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this would be useful</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2236
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Possibly the most daft question ever unless you are aware that there is going to be a big skew in the gender make up of the population why would you put all the new places in only one single sex school?</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Possibly the most daft question ever unless you are aware that there is going to be a big skew in the gender make up of the population why would you put all the new places in only one single sex school?</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Possibly the most daft question ever unless you are aware that there is going to be a big skew in the gender make up of the population why would you put all the new places in only one single sex school?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No idea but I think a mixed sixth form between the two schools would be a fab idea.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>You can add all the places you like in Dedders but the twittering classes in central Windsor will never send their kids there they will just go private!</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I find this question very odd in that you combine three options into one. Yes I think a new mixed sex secondary school would be good but no I think a satellite grammar school would be a terrible idea and would throw the whole system into chaos. In fact hasn't the whole idea of satellite grammar schools been ruled out by the DoFE? http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/ukenglandkent25369821 I find it hard to understand why this option is even on here other than that it must be some councillor's pet project. You would be far better ploughing a bit of cash into our existing schools WBS especially is practically falling down.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>No sites are big enough for this so why aren't you instead looking at getting rid of the stupid three tier system that means our SATS are poor at Y6 and our children only get three years in senior school.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>At last a great idea we have two excellent senior schools in Windsor and it would make great sense for them to work more closely together. Not only would this mean economies of scale for admin but allow schools to run more courses and share resources. Most parents in Windsor dislike the fact that we have no choice in whether our children attend a single sex school so greater ties would be very desirable.</i>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

No

Final Comments

Why are you not consulting on getting rid of the three tier system? It is a really daft system which is lovely for the first 4 years but quickly becomes a pain. Our children do worse in SATS at the end of KS2 than children in the "junior" school system and when they go to senior school the school has hardly any time to get to know their strengths before they have to choose their GCSE options. If you want to fiddle around with schools then surely starting from basics and getting the system sorted before adding extra places would make sense. Who on earth designed the consultation leaflet it is awful! I can only assume you were hoping that no one would be able to read it without getting a migraine so not respond and you would be able to do whatever you fancied. If you paid a professional to do it then please ask for your money back.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School ID: 2237
 Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I am not yet familiar with this</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I am not yet familiar with this aspect.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2238

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More language courses, especially Mandarin.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Yes to investigating the possibility of a satellite grammar school. Just to be clear, though, I am contrary to the whole ethos of the Free Schools programme.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Facilities would, of course, need to be such as to make this feasible and successful.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>This seems a very good idea.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>As detailed, this could work well for language courses.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Ascot Heath Infant School

ID: 2239

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>This is a fabulous school area. We should give a lot of thought to additional places as long as we do not compromise those who have spent their lives trying to provide the best for their children. As a single mum of two living in an affluent area and struggling to stay within the catchment for charters, a school I went to, we need to consider all aspects.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>This is a fabulous school area. We should give a lot of thought to additional places as long as we do not compromise those who have spent their lives trying to provide the best for their children. As a single mum of two living in an affluent area and struggling to stay within the catchment for charters, a school I went to, we need to consider all aspects.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>This is a fabulous school area. We should give a lot of thought to additional places as long as we do not compromise those who have spent their lives trying to provide the best for their children. As a single mum of two living in an affluent area and struggling to stay within the catchment for charters, a school I went to, we need to consider all aspects.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Life skills would be key. Financials, confidence building etc</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>Additional schools if managed properly would be very beneficial. There are so many new families moving in to the area we need to consider demand.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>No</i></p>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2240
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The Windsor Boys School site is not suitable for expansion without overcrowding.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The Windsor Boys School site is not suitable for expansion without overcrowding.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The Windsor Boys School site is not suitable for expansion without overcrowding.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>There needs to be greater linkage between the skills required in the local workplace and the subjects offered at this age.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>St Edward's Middle has already been expanded, so logical to look at the Dedworth site next.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Investigations need to be driven by pupil need, not political ideology. I see no value in a satellite Grammar School the Royal Borough needs to take responsibility and provide and manage the school itself to secure an appropriate education for all RBWM children.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Where the location of the schools makes this practicable (eg Dedworth First/Middle). Not sure that this would actually increase the number of school places significantly though?</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Multi-academy trusts and umbrella trust add an additional layer of bureaucracy that could much better be provided by the local authority directly and they are insufficiently accountable.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between Windsor Girls and Windsor Boys on Music seems to work very well and enhances the curriculum at both schools.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2241
 Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School
 Governor Homer First School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It seems a crazy proposal to increase one of the single sex schools and not the other, that would make no sense.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It seems a crazy proposal to increase one of the single sex schools and not the other, that would make no sense.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It seems a crazy proposal to increase one of the single sex schools and not the other, that would make no sense.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More comprehensive 6th form collages.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I think the site has enough space to expand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>A mixed high school would be good.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I think our schools benefit from the specialist leadership and teachers within the age-group they cover.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I think schools should maintain some individuality.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>NO</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2242

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Business Studies.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Excellent site with existing shared resources so well worth expanding further. Excellent location for travel links too.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>None</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Managing a primary school is entirely different to managing a secondary school. Should be kept split</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Time wasted where staff travel between sites. Not a good idea, however understand the budget benefits.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None</i>	
Final Comments <i>None</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Datchet St Mary's CE Primary School ID: 2243

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>drama, stage theatre, music, photography, aviation, publishing, journalism</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I am in the process of trying to leave Datchet St Marys and get into a middle school for my son currently in year 4, This will be a bun fight where faith will be the deciding factor. Very annoying !</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>The 4 grammar schools in the area are so oversubscribed by children from London , those class sizes are bulging and there is little left for those children in the community</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I am considering sending my son to an independent school at year 9 ! As I have no choice because of the influx of children from other counties. It would be nice to collaborate with some of them for some specialised subjects.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2244

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>There should be more use of Churchmead School for children not in the catchment area i.e Datchet and Slough The Boys and Girls Schools would be better served by expanding Churchmead instead of increasing traffic into central Windsor from pupils outside the area.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>There should be more use of Churchmead School for children not in the catchment area i.e Datchet and Slough The Boys and Girls Schools would be better served by expanding Churchmead instead of increasing traffic into central Windsor from pupils outside the area.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>There should be more use of Churchmead School for children not in the catchment area i.e Datchet and Slough The Boys and Girls Schools would be better served by expanding Churchmead instead of increasing traffic into central Windsor from pupils outside the area.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Not sure what already exists but Travel and Tourism, Catering and beauty therapy type courses would be useful for a service providing town like Windsor.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p> <p><i>If there is room on site. This would better serve the Dedworth area where the bulk of children live.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>The grammar school provision would just bring more people into Windsor from outside the borough. As seen in Slough may people come in from outside Slough to attend the Grammar schools bringing congestion and less places for Slough children.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p> <p><i>This would be well served on the Dedworth site which would be closer for children to walk to school and keep traffic to a minimum.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p> <p><i>I think the local authority is best placed to administer education provision.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p> <p><i>East Berks and the Boys and Girls School could merge with vocational/apprenticeship courses to the college with more academic courses such as 'A'levels and Baccalaureate to remain in the Upper schools.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School

ID: 2245

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I don't understand the logistics of expanding either the boys or the girls school in isolation, considering neither are a co-ed school.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I don't understand the logistics of expanding either the boys or the girls school in isolation, considering neither are a co-ed school.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I don't understand the logistics of expanding either the boys or the girls school in isolation, considering neither are a co-ed school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Courses that properly prepare young people for work and living independently in the world, i.e. cooking, budgeting.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Why can't the expansion of middle schools be shared more equally amongst the other middle schools, why should Dedworth take the brunt of expansion alone?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>If the need for extra places is so urgent then surely new schools are required to meet this need.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I believe children benefit from the change in environment offered by the current system, even if some find transition difficult.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I am not well informed enough about either of these institutions to have a view.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools and FE colleges could be useful but only if done for the educational benefit of children and not to cut costs or make up for a shortfall in classroom space.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2246

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More vocational courses.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I strongly agree with this proposal. So many people want to get their children into one of the grammar schools but they are all a reasonable distance from Windsor. Having satellite school would help.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2247

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>unsure?</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>agree with increased collaboration</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2248

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>None</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It will be very interesting</i>	
Final Comments <i>thank you for asking</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School ID: 2249

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not enough knowledge of this area to comment</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>My only reservation is that maintaining standards might be an increasing challenge in the expansion period and beyond.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Would welcome a grammar school alternative as in Windsor secondary choice is very limited to the Windsor boy/girl school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>It is helpful in reducing the difficulties many children face during transition however, these schools are also typically very large and I am concerned that the individual attention given by leadership can be difficult to maintain.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I think better partnerships between schools is a very good thing for the professional development of teachers and also for providing pupils with expertise in teaching however I do not see academies adding value in this respect, necessarily.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration and partnerships are the best way forward in improving schools and the education they provide for pupils.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Has the option of a new middle school been considered/ or is there a site which could be redeveloped for this purpose? This would give better choice for mainstream pupils moving from the many first schools in Windsor.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2250

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>n/a</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>We need to get rid of the 3 tier system and get into line with the rest of the country as soon as possible</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Again , we need to get rid of the 3 tier system and get into line with the rest of the country as soon as possible</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2251

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>There are no other alternatives in the area, the schools must expand to cope with the demand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>There are no other alternatives in the area, the schools must expand to cope with the demand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>There are no other alternatives in the area, the schools must expand to cope with the demand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? ?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2252

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Aprentiships are important.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2253

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Initially I was apprehensive about middle an upper schools, however, I have been very impressed with the way in which it has made the flow of school life very positive and therefore a good environment to learn.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Initially I was apprehensive about middle an upper schools, however, I have been very impressed with the way in which it has made the flow of school life very positive and therefore a good environment to learn.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Initially I was apprehensive about middle an upper schools, however, I have been very impressed with the way in which it has made the flow of school life very positive and therefore a good environment to learn.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think that there should more community support integration with more placement learning.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>It should be explored so that it can either be ruled in and/or out.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Whilst I have been very impressed with the middle/senior school and non co-ed, I believe that it works well for me as I only have one son who is an end of summer baby who I believe will thrive in boys senior environment. If I had a larger family with a different mix, it might be better for a co-ed through school. Choice is always better.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Perhaps a collaboration for a mixed upper sixth would be good in Windsor. But without the supporting information on results from combined and no coed, I cannot really comment.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments <i>There is has no doubt been a baby boom and a larger number of residents in the UK who need education. I think this is very important to explore now as the 2007 babies will need school places. Historical data is useful can doesn't allow for the babyboom and influx of immigrants. Every UK tax payer should be given the opportunity for their child to be educated.</i></p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School

ID: 2254

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>NO</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Member of Staff Eton Wick CE First School ID: 2255
 Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Financial management.</i> <i>How to handle stress/anxiety</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2256

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will create more congestion in windsor.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will create more congestion in windsor.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will create more congestion in windsor.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First School ID: 2257

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>This is clearly going to be the easiest option, but I firmly believe it won't make our local education system any better, only bigger. There will be no more choice and children's state education will continue to be based purely on their gender.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>This is clearly going to be the easiest option, but I firmly believe it won't make our local education system any better, only bigger. There will be no more choice and children's state education will continue to be based purely on their gender.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>This is clearly going to be the easiest option, but I firmly believe it won't make our local education system any better, only bigger. There will be no more choice and children's state education will continue to be based purely on their gender.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Sorry, I don't know enough about what is on offer at the moment.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>If it's easy to do it would seem fair that it be expanded as St Edwards already has.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>At the moment, many residents don't bother to put their children forward for the 11+ exams because the nearest grammar schools are quite a distance away if your child gets a place then much valuable study and sport time is spent travelling to/from school (my half brother, also resident in Windsor has ended up boarding at a grammar school at the age of 11). It would be fantastic to have a local grammar school and/or other Windsor based state options which are not a single-sex secondary school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I think the options for schools that this would work for are very limited due to where the current schools are located</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Recent bad publicity on academies has put me off this one, rightly or wrongly I consider them to be measures to uplift poorly performing schools in deprived areas.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I'm actually attending East Berks college as a student myself at the moment and could see that it could offer a broader range of courses to children especially vocational ones.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Final Comments

Please don't just expand existing schools. Let's use this as an opportunity to make a difference! We have a huge array of first schools to choose from and I think most parents love this but the system seems to get extremely limiting as children get older...we need more choice for secondary education in this town.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School

ID: 2264

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expansion local should be shared as WBS is already vast in size.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expansion local should be shared as WBS is already vast in size.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expansion local should be shared as WBS is already vast in size.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>If no other land is available for new development.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Absolutely. We need options for boys secondary schools where more focus can be given.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>I feel the schools the borough has to offer are already too large and can be an overwhelming environment for students.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2273

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>MORE practical course</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It is very important to keep the fabric of 6th form in the current school and not mess with that</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First School ID: 2274

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>How to work for yourself / set up your own business.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>As a parent of a child who has just started in Foundation class I wouldn't feel comfortable about him going to school with children aged 18. How would we ensure their safety? It's already petrifying enough that they could be picked on or bullied, and I imagine in a bigger school with more pupils this chance would only increase.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Seems sensible and is certainly how businesses work in the private sector.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School

ID: 2275

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Languages</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Datchet St Mary's CE Primary School

ID: 2276

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Unsure</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School

ID: 2277

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Academic The arts like Latin. I believe Trade skills should also be provided to children who prefer vocational studies over academic. (Electrician , Plumber, Builder)</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Many children in Windsor apply for the 11+, I believe we should offer a Grammar school in Windsor, or at least strive to offer a Coeducational Upper school in Windsor. As until this point all education is CoEducational.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I agree although I believe too much lost time of the day could potentially be lost traveling between different sites.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2279

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Healthy lifestyle: yoga, healthy cooking, self responsibility. Careers advice: Presentation, interview counselling, financial management (budgets/nack accounts/income).</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2281
 Parent of a pupil St Peter's CE Middle School
 Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expanding only the boys or the girls school seems short-sighted.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expanding only the boys or the girls school seems short-sighted.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Expanding only the boys or the girls school seems short-sighted.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No comment</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I am happy to see collaboration between schools and FE Colleges.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2287

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A lot more vocational courses.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I worked at the school for over 20 years. A small school 2 form entry for about 8 years then it gradually got bigger with the coming of middle schools (at the time controversial). We finished with 5 form entry i.e. 150 children per year group. While we had form teachers for many of the lessons it was not personal enough for that age group. The space for assembly was limited and these things are important.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I don't know what you mean. Where is Royal Free PMRF school in this planning? Surely it has room for 30 more pupils a year? If you are talking of schools outside the control of local ed. I am very much against them as various a/c/ about misued money and inappropriate teaching has shown.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>At 11, 12 13, pupils need to move to new school sites etc. It is a chance to start afresh and meet new people and teachers.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Certainly the idea for education in building, carpentry etc would be good but only if it was practical and not talk talk.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2294

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem a better use of resources to expand one school for 60 extra places than to do building works at both secondary schools. I would imagine that if, say, Windsor Girls' was expanded for 2017, then Windsor Boy's would be expanded for 2020.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem a better use of resources to expand one school for 60 extra places than to do building works at both secondary schools. I would imagine that if, say, Windsor Girls' was expanded for 2017, then Windsor Boy's would be expanded for 2020.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem a better use of resources to expand one school for 60 extra places than to do building works at both secondary schools. I would imagine that if, say, Windsor Girls' was expanded for 2017, then Windsor Boy's would be expanded for 2020.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I believe it is better for children to attend different schools based on their age group.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Sharing resources makes economic sense.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i></p>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2295
Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School
Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?

I would like the proposal to have a view on the actually number of Windsor residents at Windsor schools. From my experience Windsor is catering for pupils outside its catchment, therefore to build more capacity seems crazy if in fact people are not even resident here and are just taking up the current extra capacity. Also it's impossible to say whether to expand the girls , boys or both unless you know the gender split. Also traditionally for whatever reason the girls school has not been as popular as the boys school and therefore may not need to expand as much. Of course that can change,.

Don't Know

Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?

I would like the proposal to have a view on the actually number of Windsor residents at Windsor schools. From my experience Windsor is catering for pupils outside its catchment, therefore to build more capacity seems crazy if in fact people are not even resident here and are just taking up the current extra capacity. Also it's impossible to say whether to expand the girls , boys or both unless you know the gender split. Also traditionally for whatever reason the girls school has not been as popular as the boys school and therefore may not need to expand as much. Of course that can change,.

Don't Know

Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?

I would like the proposal to have a view on the actually number of Windsor residents at Windsor schools. From my experience Windsor is catering for pupils outside its catchment, therefore to build more capacity seems crazy if in fact people are not even resident here and are just taking up the current extra capacity. Also it's impossible to say whether to expand the girls , boys or both unless you know the gender split. Also traditionally for whatever reason the girls school has not been as popular as the boys school and therefore may not need to expand as much. Of course that can change,.

Don't Know

Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?

The schools should offer courses that are useful and have the best chance of 16/19 year olds leaving school with useful qualifications. Windsor boys is doing a disservice to less academically able students by allowing them on 'easier' courses albeit very fun in 6th form. They should be offering more practical subjects which allow students the ability to stay at school and get useful skills. Some are not ready to go off to a college environment.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Again no idea ...see point 1 how many children really live in Windsor who require these places. Let Slough, and Maidenhead expand...are we really at capacity. If we are Dedworth is not particularly central. The most obvious is Trevelyan which has the space and is more central.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>From what I understand satellite grammar schools are against government policy therefore it is not particularly sensible to try and pursue something which is unlikely to transpire however 'popular' it may sound.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Again yet another question that is impossible to answer without the detail...it sounds good in theory, however the 'elephant on the table is why do we have middle schools at all. That should be addressed in any discussion like this.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Sounds great, as it usually means more money and schools improve...again how will that happen?</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments <i>This consultation has not been presented in a very clear way (the information given out was practically incomprehensible. Also some ideas are unfeasible (satellite grammar) . AND the biggest issue for me with 4 children spanning three schools is the middle school system itself. Whilst there is no doubt to me it's great for year 5 to go to a middle school with the variety of teachers / classrooms it is also clear that then only going to the school for GCSEs at 13 is a complete disaster. The kids and school waste half the first year getting the kids sorted into sets, getting them familiar with the school instilling discipline etc. that it puts them at a massive disadvantage vs the norm starting at 11. Even going one year earlier would help. It seems absolutely MAD that this has not been brought up in the consultation. I would be very happy to discuss this further as I feel I have first current knowledge of system which really doesn't work for kids I have 4 kids spanning 3 schools in Windsor. Please put this on the agenda, it really needs to be addressed as part of this debate. Thanks!</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Governor Dedworth Middle School ID: 2296

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely the need will be balanced across the genders?</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely the need will be balanced across the genders?</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely the need will be balanced across the genders?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>plumbing, electrical engineering, mechanical engineering, resistant materials, textiles, cookery, project management, childcare</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Dedworth Middle is a good school with capacity to expand in all aspects.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration is always better than competition. Working together ...makes a big difference.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2297

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I worry about there being enough space at WBS to provide proper education on that current site with any increase in pupils numbers. As it is space both in the buildings and outside is at a premium.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I worry about there being enough space at WBS to provide proper education on that current site with any increase in pupils numbers. As it is space both in the buildings and outside is at a premium.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I worry about there being enough space at WBS to provide proper education on that current site with any increase in pupils numbers. As it is space both in the buildings and outside is at a premium.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think there is a need for more vocational course in sport, car maintenance, hairdressing, cooking.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>A co-ed school sited on the edge of town , might work well. If the crown were to donate some land that might work very well. The borough could sell off the existing school sites to help fund the building.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>There would need to be adequate funding available and it not be a political move to move the consequences of underfunding onto the schools.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Offer children a variety of options to learn is important as they learn in different ways and in different environments.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2298

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you don't know what sex the extra children are going to be ... then in the absence of more data c might be the more sensible choice.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you don't know what sex the extra children are going to be ... then in the absence of more data c might be the more sensible choice.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you don't know what sex the extra children are going to be ... then in the absence of more data c might be the more sensible choice.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>The data the borough has on pupil numbers is unreliable. Over provision of places means financial burden for the schools with half-filled classes. Until the borough has a better handle on where pupils go, and how many pupils from Slough are in the system it should refrain from expansion. The last expansion proved unnecessary as this year there are at least 30 unfilled places in Year 5 (50 it seems from the graph provided); did the borough analyse and learn from this? The fact that the borough was out by 50 (also given that some of this Year 5 are likely to be made up by pupils from Slough), and that the borough has historically overestimated provision (such that his is not a isolated miscalculation) gives me little confidence in the future preditions of 60 extra pupils of unknown sex.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Grammar schools are divisive, creaming off the most able pupils. What would serve the pupil population as a whole better is a support in getting all schools to 'outstanding' i.e. an outstanding education for all. Perhaps this could be achieve with the spending that would otherwise be required for a new high profile school?</i>	No

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?

In principle yes; but this needs to be properly planned and not piecemeal. If only those middle schools with first schools on site become through schools what happens to those that don't? It's likely that the pupils there will be adversely affected as pupil numbers decline. What do the borough plan to do there? Either through schools are a good idea and a complete proposal needs to be planned, or they are not.

Don't
Know

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?

In principle yes. Academies are now the rule rather than the exception.

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

No.

Final Comments

The impact of the current proposals does not seem thought through. A better handle on pupil population is required first. Some guarantee that schools left with half-filled classes as a result of borough expansions will not bear the financial burden of the resulting funding gap. A properly planned approach away from the 3tier system if this is the desire. Support to get all schools to outstanding, rather than a proposal to provide a separate school just for the most able in the name of parental choice.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2302
 Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Need availability for both boys and girls so both schools need to expand.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Need availability for both boys and girls so both schools need to expand.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Need availability for both boys and girls so both schools need to expand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Already lots of children attend, nearly 500.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Possibility of new 'mixed' senior schools in Windsor.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>No sure, not enough information to make choice.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Don't know enough about to comment.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Always a positive to have lead-ons.</i>	
Final Comments <i>More choice for senior schools is needed urgently.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2306

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I believe that existing schools offer a very good academic range. Additional resources should be aimed at providing more vocational options, especially for those pupils who are less academic. Course could include trades e.g. electricians, plumbers or vocations such as healthcare.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Also I am not keen on the satellite grammar school option - I feel as if the system in Windsor is already confusing enough, with a 3-tier system mostly, without causing further confusion.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I support changes to provide greater opportunity to more pupils.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Member of Staff The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2307

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Care should be taken that class sizes are not increased to unmanageable levels, creating a H&S risk.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Care should be taken that class sizes are not increased to unmanageable levels, creating a H&S risk.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Care should be taken that class sizes are not increased to unmanageable levels, creating a H&S risk.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Engineering, science and technology.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think that comprehensive education is the way ahead and creating satellite grammar schools would channel funds away from the comprehensives.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>More collaboration is needed between schools and the further education colleges, to increase the scope and depth of what is offered in subjects, and the ability to stretch pupils.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2339

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No idea!</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Braywood CE First School ID: 2340

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No idea.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School

ID: 2341

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Why do so many pupils travel in from Slough to attend schools in Windsor. Is there no catchment area with secondary schools, as there is for first & middle schools. The amount of vehicles picking up from WBS and heading towards Slough is astounding. If Windsor places were kept for Windsor residents there would be no need to increase school places</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Why do so many pupils travel in from Slough to attend schools in Windsor. Is there no catchment area with secondary schools, as there is for first & middle schools. The amount of vehicles picking up from WBS and heading towards Slough is astounding. If Windsor places were kept for Windsor residents there would be no need to increase school places</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Why do so many pupils travel in from Slough to attend schools in Windsor. Is there no catchment area with secondary schools, as there is for first & middle schools. The amount of vehicles picking up from WBS and heading towards Slough is astounding. If Windsor places were kept for Windsor residents there would be no need to increase school places</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Stop teaching "dead" languages like Spanish/German. Chinese is the largest growing country and imports/exports with China will continue to grow in the future. Start teaching Mandarin, Japanese. Vocational qualifications should be geared towards plumbing, electrical etc.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p> <p><i>I agree it should be expanded as St Edwards Ecumenical Royal Free had to by this year.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>If school places were kept for Windsor & Maidenhead residents there would be no need to increase school places. Stop the practice of allowing pupils from Slough to attend and monopolise the spaces. Tougher checks should be in place to control those fraudulently claim to be W&M residents.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2342

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I do not know the actual courses but I think it is a good idea to offer choice locally.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2343

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Broader range of A level subjects give opportunity to "digital" education to ensure studies are aligned to the wide range of changes in the work place.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School ID: 2344
Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Please ensure that money is spent on improving the facilities to accommodate the increased number of children; dining areas so that all children can sit at a table to eat, for instance.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Please ensure that money is spent on improving the facilities to accommodate the increased number of children; dining areas so that all children can sit at a table to eat, for instance.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Please ensure that money is spent on improving the facilities to accommodate the increased number of children; dining areas so that all children can sit at a table to eat, for instance.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>It was very disappointing that the international IB was removed from TWBS curriculum. I'm happy with other opportunities at the moment. I believe that school is about education and am concerned about the drive to so-called vocational learning which actually narrows a young person's opportunities rather than expanding them.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I do not believe that the grammar system should be introduced into Windsor as we have an excellent comprehensive system. I am concerned that you have put this in the same question as building a new school which I would like!</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I do not agree with the idea of through schools as it seems to be introducing the two tier system through the back door. It also works very nicely for Dedworth but how would it be managed on other sites unless you propose to sell off certain first school sites in order to relocate pupils to existing sites in Trevelyan (an old tired building) or Oakfield? It is likely to reduce choice for parents moving between schools.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I am concerned that, although some autonomy in schools is beneficial, councils are losing the power to guide and fund local education appropriately and this is not always benefiting residents.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I believe that collaboration is important and time and priority should be given to this; Trevelyan and the Windsor Girls school having their Open evenings on the same night was ridiculous for instance. There is already collaboration between the music departments of TWBS and TWGS and rowing is also shared. I'm happy for there to be more of this.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Final Comments

Although I'm mindful of budgeting, please don't use collaboration as a way of cost-cutting. TWG has only just got a Sports Hall; the council should be ashamed this took so long. TWBS is shabby and badly needs buildings suitable for the 21st century and I believe the council should take some responsibility for the financial tangle the school is in currently. I do not believe that amalgamating teaching resource creates as strong a sense of ownership that the pupil has with his/her school and the council should be mindful of how important that connection is when creating everlarger schools to save money. I would like to think that core decisions made about schools could be based on educational improvements.

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor The Windsor Boys' School Oakfield First School ID: 2345

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The middle schools have empty spaces at the moment. RBWM has over estimated school places every year.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The middle schools have empty spaces at the moment. RBWM has over estimated school places every year.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The middle schools have empty spaces at the moment. RBWM has over estimated school places every year.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A wider range including life skills, cooking, banking, being a good citizen, healthy lifestyle, how not to get into debt.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>There are enough schools in Windsor.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>This would be unfair on Trevelyan Middle school</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>However since borough support to schools is very limited and schools will have to look outside the borough for training and support.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Windsor boys and girls music departments are an excellent example of schools working together.</i>	
Final Comments <i>I would hate to see schools get too big. Often this leads to standards dropping as they they cannot be managed effective by one head.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School

ID: 2346

Question *(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)*

Answer

Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?

This is a slightly hilarious but also really annoying (in a waste of council tax type of way) "consultation" and question. Did anyone in the office look at the choice and say ummm.. it depends on the mix of boys and girls who wish to attend the schools?

My question does this mean I can enrol my daughter at the Windsor Boys' school? Or a second question actually may she be forced to attend the Boys' school if there are insufficient places in the Girls' school?

This consultation is not a common sense way to spend our money. I suspect I may get a response that it is a rule or regulation that the question be asked but for pity's sake it is ridiculous you must admit

**Not
Indicated**

Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?

This is a slightly hilarious but also really annoying (in a waste of council tax type of way) "consultation" and question. Did anyone in the office look at the choice and say ummm.. it depends on the mix of boys and girls who wish to attend the schools?

My question does this mean I can enrol my daughter at the Windsor Boys' school? Or a second question actually may she be forced to attend the Boys' school if there are insufficient places in the Girls' school?

This consultation is not a common sense way to spend our money. I suspect I may get a response that it is a rule or regulation that the question be asked but for pity's sake it is ridiculous you must admit

**Not
Indicated**

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>This is a slightly hilarious but also really annoying (in a waste of council tax type of way) "consultation" and question. Did anyone in the office look at the choice and say ummm.. it depends on the mix of boys and girls who wish to attend the schools?</i></p> <p><i>My question does this mean I can enrol my daughter at the Windsor Boys' school? Or a second question actually may she be forced to attend the Boys' school if there are insufficient places in the Girls' school?</i></p> <p><i>This consultation is not a common sense way to spend our money. I suspect I may get a response that it is a rule or regulation that the question be asked but for pity's sake it is ridiculous you must admit</i></p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Kings Court First School

ID: 2347

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Careers guidance, work experience might assist the vocational studies.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration on 'a' level subjects would be useful to get full class sizes. Interaction between windsor girls and windsor boys plus a more varied choice of options</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2348
 Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>WBS and WGS both serve the Windsor community very well. Preserving their respective characters and strengths should be paramount. Allowing them to expand to meet the increased local need seems the best way to do this</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>WBS and WGS both serve the Windsor community very well. Preserving their respective characters and strengths should be paramount. Allowing them to expand to meet the increased local need seems the best way to do this</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>WBS and WGS both serve the Windsor community very well. Preserving their respective characters and strengths should be paramount. Allowing them to expand to meet the increased local need seems the best way to do this</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No strong opinion</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think these other options would threaten the 2 existing senior schools in Windsor, which I think is the worst outcome possible. As a parent at both WBS and WGS, I want to see their character preserved and strengthened</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>A vehicle for allowing WBS and WGS to collaborate more extensively and share resources makes a lot of sense. If some of the Windsor Middle schools can be brought into the fold, so much the better.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>See above.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

ID: 2349

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>maybe more options for those unsuited to Alevels vocational courses that don't lead to university but lead to employment.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>More middle upper and grammars are necessary. the existing schools are already over subscribed and the buildings look at their capacity. Further stretching will just damage the quality of education/ pastoral care received.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I think young children should be given the chance to grow up as slowly as possible, expecting 16 year olds to be in the same school as 9 year olds is morally wrong and could be damaging.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>More grammar schools... more upper school options. Schools with similar standards to St Edwards first and middle schools would benefit the borough greatly.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Member of Staff Parent of a pupil
 Braywood CE First School Windsor Girls' School
 ID: 2350

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The question is, 'Is it more girls or more boys that you have the most of that need a place at these schools'. The obvious solution is 1c to accommodate more boys and girls but this expansion should not affect the excellent facilities already on offer. Just provide more of the same.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The question is, 'Is it more girls or more boys that you have the most of that need a place at these schools'. The obvious solution is 1c to accommodate more boys and girls but this expansion should not affect the excellent facilities already on offer. Just provide more of the same.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The question is, 'Is it more girls or more boys that you have the most of that need a place at these schools'. The obvious solution is 1c to accommodate more boys and girls but this expansion should not affect the excellent facilities already on offer. Just provide more of the same.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Life skills first aid, cooking, Early Learning to drive courses. A cinema in Windsor would be beneficial to the area.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>There is space to expand and facilitate the requirements of extra children and their needs of both boys and girls at this site. Also it covers a wide geographical area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I only have a small amount of information on these types of schools and more info should be provided. But in theory I support the principle.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>i think we are fortunate to have the excellent facilities of Langley and Windsor College on our door step. Visits to the college from the schools should be arranged for potential students and students/advisors from the college should visit schools to promote awareness and courses available.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First School ID: 2351

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Focus on technology and computer sciences academic courses and skilled trades vocational courses electricians, plumbers, engineers, building and civil engineering.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Preference for satellite grammar school of these two options.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I understand the concept the challenge however is how to do this now there is a very established three tier system in Windsor. Although that is different to most other areas of the country, it may make sense for Dedworth Green and Middle schools to combine due to geography, but in this area either you have all through schools or you keep all as three tier system. Having a mix of three tier schools and all through schools in the same area would be difficult to practically achieve and be confusing for parents to understand options and choices.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Other than this is an extremely good idea and should be pursued as much as possible. Sharing resources, skills and knowledge as well as providing a complete end to end schooling to working career preparation for children / young adults is an absolute necessity.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Thank you for giving people the opportunity to voice their opinions.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School ID: 2352
 Parent of a pupil St Peter's CE Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you expand one school only then there may be issues with gender.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you expand one school only then there may be issues with gender.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>If you expand one school only then there may be issues with gender.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I think it is important to offer apprenticeships with educational qualification for those young adults that want to follow a more practical vocation.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>It sounds like it would become a very large school for such a young age group.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>As there are so many new children's places needed then maybe a new school would be better than making lots of current school too big</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I'm not sure because although it might be unsettling for a child to transition, it is a life skill that is good for a child to learn and cope with. It is good for the child and parent to have a change of staff and different ways of learning. A child may become complacent if the learning environment never changes within their learning life time. I feel this even though my child has Autism and this will be challenging for him, but a good learning curve. He is looking forward to moving to middle school.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>It makes commercial sense to have this system.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this is a great idea. It will offer more choice which hopefully will stimulate all young adults especially if they struggle academically.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School ID: 2353

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I would have concerns with the expansion of the Girls school because of the extra traffic and the increased risk to the children in the area. Hatch Lane has become increasingly busy with new houses etc. but with 2 school entrances being along it (one of which being a first school) I think this may be too much. Unless there was a way of enforcing a traffic route away from the back entrance to the Girls school?</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I would have concerns with the expansion of the Girls school because of the extra traffic and the increased risk to the children in the area. Hatch Lane has become increasingly busy with new houses etc. but with 2 school entrances being along it (one of which being a first school) I think this may be too much. Unless there was a way of enforcing a traffic route away from the back entrance to the Girls school?</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I would have concerns with the expansion of the Girls school because of the extra traffic and the increased risk to the children in the area. Hatch Lane has become increasingly busy with new houses etc. but with 2 school entrances being along it (one of which being a first school) I think this may be too much. Unless there was a way of enforcing a traffic route away from the back entrance to the Girls school?</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>Don't know specifically as i don't know what you currently offer. But a wide range of courses to suit both those who are gifted academically and those who want to do a more traditional vocation e.g. plumbing, engineering etc</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p> <p><i>Out of the middle schools I feel Dedworth Middle has the grounds to accommodate this.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p> <p><i>Providing it is clear how this will work I have no issues with this.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p> <p><i>Particularly in relation to the Senior schools I think it would be better to have a closer knit between the two single sex schools to provide the children with more reality in what life is like!!</i></p>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

I think young people should be able to choose where to study and what courses are best suited to them. If there is a particular child who wanted to study in one establishment, but wanted to also study for a qualification that wasn't offered there, but was available elsewhere, I believe everything should be done to accommodate this where possible.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School ID: 2354
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Although Windsor Boys is currently larger than Windsor Girls I think it would be sensible to accommodate an increase for both sexes.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Although Windsor Boys is currently larger than Windsor Girls I think it would be sensible to accommodate an increase for both sexes.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Although Windsor Boys is currently larger than Windsor Girls I think it would be sensible to accommodate an increase for both sexes.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>It is important to increase the number of vocational courses/apprenticeships as it is more likely to lead to employment.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>If the space is available at Dedworth I think this would be the cheaper option, rather than building a new school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I think this would be a more expensive option.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I think starting a new school allows children to broaden their circle of friends and teaches important lessons about change. If a child had been in the same environment for their entire school career they would not be prepared for new situations they would face upon leaving, e.g. university/work environment. Also new pupils moving into the area would find it difficult to establish themselves if the peer groups had been together for many years.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Not if it limits the opportunity for schools to develop their own individuality. Reporting into a central trust may restrict differences regarding discipline and focus of subjects. The current system allows parents to choose the school which suits their child, e.g. certain disciplinary approach, focus on sports, etc.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Very good idea. It would provide opportunities for additional/more specialist subjects and also for the boys/girls to mix/work together more often, which is currently limited by having single sex upper schools.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2399

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>There should definitely be more middle and upper school places for children. To accommodate a growing population and for children have more choice and be able to attend a local school. Lots of children have to travel some distance for school.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2423

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>in principle I support the grammar school approach but am unclear where these satellite schools could be?</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>multi-academy trust</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Not indicated

No School

ID: 2424

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>n/a</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School

ID: 2425

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I don't think it's fair for one school to be expanded and invested in. To me, that would be discrimination against one specific gender, unless there is data to support the fact that there would be more boys or girls from 2017 onwards. It makes sense to expand both schools.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I don't think it's fair for one school to be expanded and invested in. To me, that would be discrimination against one specific gender, unless there is data to support the fact that there would be more boys or girls from 2017 onwards. It makes sense to expand both schools.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>I don't think it's fair for one school to be expanded and invested in. To me, that would be discrimination against one specific gender, unless there is data to support the fact that there would be more boys or girls from 2017 onwards. It makes sense to expand both schools.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>International Baccaureate should be offered in some schools as an alternative to A Levels.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p> <p><i>If the school is able to improve its rating to 'outstanding' by Ofsted, then expansion should be considered.</i></p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>I believe the more choice and variety on offer, the better.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p> <p><i>The current system works well and gives children the opportunity to move schools throughout their education, depending on what needs they have at different stages. this is a very attractive option for parents. I fear that children would be 'locked in' to a specific school and not given the option to move.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

There should be more mixed schools for 14/19 year olds, rather than the current Boys and Girls system. Dedworth Middle is the only mixed option and this needs to be expanded upon.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2426

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>International Baccalaureate</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I think that Academy status for the Windsor Boys' School in particular would be a bad idea and detract from the school's unique character and status in the community. I am therefore opposed to the idea of Windsor Boys' becoming an Academy.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Peter's CE Middle School ID: 2427

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>What about the primary and secondary schools within the borough?</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Kings Court First School

ID: 2428

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>None.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2429

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I appreciate that additional places will be needed and that good planning provision is required to provide these in adequate accommodation</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I appreciate that additional places will be needed and that good planning provision is required to provide these in adequate accommodation</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I appreciate that additional places will be needed and that good planning provision is required to provide these in adequate accommodation</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure but more courses where all young people can work together and or preparation for work</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would like to have children sent to satellite grammar schools</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Not Multi-academy, but Umbrella Trusts if sufficient schools wish to break way from Local Authority Control. Multi-Academy's seem to be trying to introduce a semi-autonomous quasi grammar school Style of management. Too far removed from LA control.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First Sc ID: 2430

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I believe that Windsor needs a co-ed secondary school and that all thought/resources should be put into providing this.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I believe that Windsor needs a co-ed secondary school and that all thought/resources should be put into providing this.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I believe that Windsor needs a co-ed secondary school and that all thought/resources should be put into providing this.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? N/A	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I believe that Windsor needs a co-ed secondary school and that all thought/resources should be put into providing this.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges N/A	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2431

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would like to see further collaboration between the 2 schools to share resources.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would like to see further collaboration between the 2 schools to share resources.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I would like to see further collaboration between the 2 schools to share resources.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not aware of what is available currently but I think there should be a broad range of vocational courses to help young people find work.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think collaboration between schools and colleges is a great idea and will definitely benefit the children.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2432

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Plumbing, electronics, needlecraft</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>A bigger school is intimidating for such young children</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good idea to collaborate but remain separate</i>	
Final Comments <i>I oppose any school becoming an academy</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2433

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Dont make sense to only expand the girls or the boys school. Should increase co-operation, management and funding etc to get both to outstanding level.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Dont make sense to only expand the girls or the boys school. Should increase co-operation, management and funding etc to get both to outstanding level.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Dont make sense to only expand the girls or the boys school. Should increase co-operation, management and funding etc to get both to outstanding level.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>As not seen as a good school by community or ofsted why expand this school? Not great location for many.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Need more school places in centre of town.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>This could be a great support for children with particular needs and/or for families with siblings as eliminates the need for several drop offs across Windsor which currently is very difficult and adds traffic.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think there needs to be better links between a first & middle school to allow children feel like a continuation of school with feeded schools. For example St Edwards should be a guaranteed place if you are in first school and maybe similar for some other schools where most if not all children would prefer to continue in the same school. Again also helps with families where siblings attend first & middle schools as otherwise impossible to get 2 kids to school at different times in 2 different places.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Final Comments

Schools should indeed draw from their local area but we should also look at continuity and link up first & middle schools. Second we should ensure all schools are at a higher level of performance. Finally there is definitely room for another middle school or alternatively a grammar satellite in Central Windsor to take the pressure off both middle & secondary (eg with intake of 60/120 this would eliminate need for middle or secondary school to expand).

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2434
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know of any.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2435
 Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You need an Option 1d. Both schools are pretty crowded already. And the girls school doesn't even have space for proper sports facilities, since they built houses on their playing fields!</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You need an Option 1d. Both schools are pretty crowded already. And the girls school doesn't even have space for proper sports facilities, since they built houses on their playing fields!</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You need an Option 1d. Both schools are pretty crowded already. And the girls school doesn't even have space for proper sports facilities, since they built houses on their playing fields!</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>None</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>You need an option 3d</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Build another secondary school, or convert one of the existing Middle Schools, and go to the Infant/Primary/Secondary system. That will remove two year groups from the Lower and Middle Schools, leaving loads of room at the lower age groups. And if you converted a Middle School, it would be easier to find new buildings for Infant and Junior Schools, as they are much smaller. The Middle Schools are not really accountable at the moment, as they don't have meaningful exams at the end of Year 8, so they can just pass on the problems. If you give a secondary school the kids for seven years, they can take complete responsibility for GCSE and A Level results.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>That would solve the responsibility issue.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>A good idea. In general, I think cooperation is better than competition.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2436

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Not sure.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School ID: 2437
 Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Ic will ensure balanced expansion of both upper schools. It is difficult to see how 1(a) or 1(b) would work - (what would happen to the additional girls/boys) whilst upper schools offer single sex education.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Ic will ensure balanced expansion of both upper schools. It is difficult to see how 1(a) or 1(b) would work - (what would happen to the additional girls/boys) whilst upper schools offer single sex education.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Ic will ensure balanced expansion of both upper schools. It is difficult to see how 1(a) or 1(b) would work - (what would happen to the additional girls/boys) whilst upper schools offer single sex education.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Support greater links with East Berks College by 6th forms of TWBS & Windsor Girls.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Supportive provided it has the space to expand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I support comprehensive education strongly. I cannot support the introduction of selective state funded grammar schools.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Fundamental change to current system. Untested. Not followed by majority of borough or country. Strong no.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Supportive of any proposal which will improve academic outcomes and teaching at TWBS. Multi-academy trusts seem to be happening anyway. Proposals at both Trevelyan and TWBS and Windsor Girls.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Supportive. This should be a natural/normal behaviour between all Windsor schools/colleges, whether in a multi-academy trust or not. This is how all education for all our children can improve.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2438

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Ideally Windsor should offer a co-ed Upper School this is what the vast majority of parents I speak to want. At least the two schools should be collaborating closely and effectively at every level to ensure our children receive a rounded education. We have no co-ed senior school choices in Windsor and this is simply not acceptable in the 21st century.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Ideally Windsor should offer a co-ed Upper School this is what the vast majority of parents I speak to want. At least the two schools should be collaborating closely and effectively at every level to ensure our children receive a rounded education. We have no co-ed senior school choices in Windsor and this is simply not acceptable in the 21st century.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>Ideally Windsor should offer a co-ed Upper School this is what the vast majority of parents I speak to want. At least the two schools should be collaborating closely and effectively at every level to ensure our children receive a rounded education. We have no co-ed senior school choices in Windsor and this is simply not acceptable in the 21st century.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>N/A</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	Don't Know
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>A co-ed senior school for Windsor is a must. This can be comprehensive, grammar or independent but the choice must be given to parents.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p> <p><i>Only if the senior element is on a separate site.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p> <p><i>Only if they don't detract from the provision that is required for the rest of Windsor.</i></p>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

No.

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Governor Alexander First School ID: 2439

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem bizarre to expand provision for boys education in Windsor when WBS already offers 52 more places per year than WGS. Surely assuming a 50/50 split of sexes in Windsor there should be equal places for both boys and girls.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem bizarre to expand provision for boys education in Windsor when WBS already offers 52 more places per year than WGS. Surely assuming a 50/50 split of sexes in Windsor there should be equal places for both boys and girls.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem bizarre to expand provision for boys education in Windsor when WBS already offers 52 more places per year than WGS. Surely assuming a 50/50 split of sexes in Windsor there should be equal places for both boys and girls.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I couldn't say.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>If St Edward's is the most popular school, why not allow it to also expand as it appears to be (with the exception of 2014 results) at least as high achieving a school as Dedworth.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Whilst I support the concept of grammar schools, I feel that a "satellite" grammar school is a fudge. It's not clear why a satellite grammar is preferable to a completely new school or a satellite of another high performing school within the Borough such as Charters.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Windsor's school system is already different enough from the 'norm' adding in a new type of school is not desirable. It may also force schools on smaller sites including Alexander to become part of an all-through option with an unconnected school in future.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>As long as there is a common interest (such as age range, geography or ethos) to unite all schools within a single academy they may succeed. It must also not be an opportunity to transfer resources (such as pupil/forces premium) away from the schools with more challenges towards the more 'flagship' schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>No.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Local resident No School ID: 2440

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>It was a shame that PMRF school closed - this would have allowed for additional school places plus it would have given parents another choice of school. How is it possible to predict that 60 places are required for both Windsor Boys and Windsor Girls school from Year 9? Both schools should be expanded to allow for additional 60 children between them. It is a shame that there is no co-ed school in Windsor</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>It was a shame that PMRF school closed - this would have allowed for additional school places plus it would have given parents another choice of school. How is it possible to predict that 60 places are required for both Windsor Boys and Windsor Girls school from Year 9? Both schools should be expanded to allow for additional 60 children between them. It is a shame that there is no co-ed school in Windsor</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?</p> <p><i>It was a shame that PMRF school closed - this would have allowed for additional school places plus it would have given parents another choice of school. How is it possible to predict that 60 places are required for both Windsor Boys and Windsor Girls school from Year 9? Both schools should be expanded to allow for additional 60 children between them. It is a shame that there is no co-ed school in Windsor</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p> <p><i>If Dedworth Middle School has the space for suitable expansion this would be an ideal option, however there would still be a problem with Upper and secondary places.</i></p>	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p> <p><i>There is not a great deal of choice for parents for Secondary or Upper schooling in Windsor. There are only 4 middle schools, however there are 14 first schools. By opening or enlarging middle schools this would provide more choice and options for parents. There is also the issue of children leaving the Windsor system and taking up places in Slough or Buckinghamshire grammar schools.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p> <p><i>This could be an option for Dedworth schools - this would allow for extra places for secondary/upper education</i></p>	Yes

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?

This would offer a variety of options and different types of environment for children. It would also allow for the increase in secondary/upper school places required in the future

Yes

Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges

Final Comments

Windsor is one of the very few boroughs that still operate the three tier education system. Is it worth considering a two tier system for Windsor?

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2441

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Computer Programming A level</i> <i>Electronics A level</i> <i>Religious Studies A level</i> <i>Either at TWBS or WGS to be accessed by both schools.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Greater access to college based courses while still remaining in Upper Schools' Sixth Forms. Working in conjunction.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First Sc ID: 2442

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Unless there is a significantly uneven split between boys and girls then surely it's obvious that capacity has to be increased across both schools?</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Unless there is a significantly uneven split between boys and girls then surely it's obvious that capacity has to be increased across both schools?</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Unless there is a significantly uneven split between boys and girls then surely it's obvious that capacity has to be increased across both schools?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>We are fortunate to have many good employers in the area. They should be encouraged to participate in the scheme and help shape the courses.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I would prefer that new schools be established rather than stretching existing school capacity.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would prefer that new schools be established rather than stretching existing school capacity.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I find the current three-tier educational system in Windsor to be absurd. It is inefficient and causes unnecessary stress and upheaval to children and their parents. Any plans to change this to a more conventional primary / secondary or all-through scheme would have my support.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I am in favour of this approach.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Not indicated No School ID: 2443

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Can't the 60 places be spread across a number of schools in Windsor?</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>We would prefer to see a mixed school places</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Unnecessary</i>	
Final Comments <i>Catchment areas need to be reviewed too.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Local resident

No School

ID: 2444

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>don't know.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments <i>There are plenty of schools in the area already. Any more additions would cause even more traffic and parking problems.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2445
 Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>For my children I would like them to be offered a range of A level and AS subjects.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Dedworth Middle School is an excellent school. Expanding it would benefit existing pupils as well as new pupils.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>It would be good to see more collaboration between Windsor Boys School and Windsor Girls School. Currently Windsor Boys School has better facilities than the Girls' School and offers more extra-curricular activities I would like to see more consistency between the two in this respect.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I can only see that collaboration would be a positive thing with schools and colleges being able to share expertise.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First School ID: 2446

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>No. Important to stay on the school site and focus on education, than time travelling to a different site could be a distraction.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>It depends on whether you have an outstanding educationalists with administrative ability</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Depends on whether you have a child and teacher with outstanding talent in music for example. If this is identified then yes you need to offer the collaboration to give access to the range of courses.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School

ID: 2447

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>IT please. Lots of IT.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School ID: 2448

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You should build new co-education school for Y9 onwards</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You should build new co-education school for Y9 onwards</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>You should build new co-education school for Y9 onwards</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Cookery and accounting</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Definitely YES!</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School

ID: 2449

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School ID: 2450

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>We attended 3 schools (in Somerset) as children and it was fine</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Sounds a good idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School ID: 2451
 Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Apprenticeships. Vocational courses</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Let's not have schools that are too big for infrastructure.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>As long as there is a choice of schools. Not to be stuck with no choice.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2452

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School ID: 2453

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As long as class sizes do not expand and more rooms are created/built.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As long as class sizes do not expand and more rooms are created/built.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As long as class sizes do not expand and more rooms are created/built.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Business acumen. Prepare pupils for the big world. Practical experience. Creativity and how to want to do well - personal attributes.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Think this school is big enough. Class size is quite big.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Perhaps the borough need to look at primary and secondary schools only and use all resources we have and add another secondary.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Children need to feel they are growing up and this is achieved by moving to secondary schools. Like variety of teaching styles and teachers. Pupils get too used to same teachers.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Have heard good and bad reports on academies so not sure.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>In other boroughs this is not needed. Not sure what benefit will be.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

Hilltop First School

ID: 2454

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School ID: 2455

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>To ensure an even distribution of places and improved facilities at both schools.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>To ensure an even distribution of places and improved facilities at both schools.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>To ensure an even distribution of places and improved facilities at both schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Change to school environments is healthy and provides relevant life experience.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes - pool resources and opportunities for the benefit of all pupils.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Braywood CE First School ID: 2456

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We certainly need more school/spaces. There is just such a long waiting list for all the good schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We certainly need more school/spaces. There is just such a long waiting list for all the good schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We certainly need more school/spaces. There is just such a long waiting list for all the good schools.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Dedworth Middle School

ID: 2457

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Clewer Green CE School

ID: 2458

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Both academic: A Levels (wider choice) & Vocational (growth sectors).</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Would allow for more specialisation of teaching and resource allocation.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Other No School ID: 2459

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Facilitate opportunities to existing 3 tier schools to encourage able staff to (1) reside in RBWM (2) get experience of positive aspects of 3 tier system.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Facilitate opportunities to existing 3 tier schools to encourage able staff to (1) reside in RBWM (2) get experience of positive aspects of 3 tier system.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Facilitate opportunities to existing 3 tier schools to encourage able staff to (1) reside in RBWM (2) get experience of positive aspects of 3 tier system.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Encouragement for "apprenticeships/practical courses" alongside essential subjects and best for the student's ability for less scholastically able pupils.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Important to exploit off-site opportunities for sport, PE, recreation, use of local halls and facilities.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>No to satellite grammars. Encourage existing ability mixed upper schools and middle schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>"Lovely" for some parents to settle all children into a convenient system throughout education years (and maybe dominate the school). But not so good for stimulating student experience.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Agreed. Also suggest eye to be kept on academies so that their students and activities are integrated with other maintained (& independent) schools.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Strong awareness of more & specific SPECIAL NEEDS! Strongly favour three tier system offering chance to lead/excel at middle school level. Open across-border choices especially for those living near boundaries. Facilitate access for all not just gifted to new (Great Park) & existing off-campus sports facilities.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

ID: 2460

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Children who live in the catchment are , i.e. Windsor and Eton, should get a place in middle and upper schools. Children in Slough should be encouraged to go to school in the slough area, freeing up more spaces for the children in Eton and Windsor.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Children who live in the catchment are , i.e. Windsor and Eton, should get a place in middle and upper schools. Children in Slough should be encouraged to go to school in the slough area, freeing up more spaces for the children in Eton and Windsor.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Children who live in the catchment are , i.e. Windsor and Eton, should get a place in middle and upper schools. Children in Slough should be encouraged to go to school in the slough area, freeing up more spaces for the children in Eton and Windsor.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Craftmanship, Cookery, Money Management.</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?</p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?</p>	No
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges</p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

ID: 2461

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Places should be made available at both schools according to demand.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Places should be made available at both schools according to demand.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Places should be made available at both schools according to demand.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Why adopt a new system, is there a problem? Reducing all the moving between schools would seem more efficient.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Colleges have a closer link to many employers, therefore it would be sensible for schools to cummincate more with them.</i>	
Final Comments <i>The questionnaire is asking questions that I am unable to provide very useful answers to.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

ID: 2462

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Eton Porny CE First School

ID: 2463

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I think that way both boys and girls are equally covered and have equal opportunities. Do you have more infor about the ration of boys/girls being uneven at September 2017?</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I think that way both boys and girls are equally covered and have equal opportunities. Do you have more infor about the ration of boys/girls being uneven at September 2017?</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>I think that way both boys and girls are equally covered and have equal opportunities. Do you have more infor about the ration of boys/girls being uneven at September 2017?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Very interested in satellite grammar schools provided they have strict catchment criteria to have children from the Slough/RBWM borough. Middle/upper school in Datchet/Eton please.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Especially for Datchet/Eton/Eton Wick area.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>It always works for better when you work as a team.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Sounds like a great idea.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First School ID: 2464

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>More project-based courses.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Especially if 1,000 more houses are being built in West Windsor. Perhaps even an extra first school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>If it solves the problem.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Not enough state control and creates competition.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Perhaps more use of existing facilities such as TVAC/leisure centres.</i>	
Final Comments <i>More housing needs more schools - not just classrooms.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Governor Alexander First School ID: 2465

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Middle schools should be expanded equally.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Introducing a grammar school into the Windsor System would be contrary to a fully comprehensive system which we have in Windsro. This would be contrary to the ethos and vision of education that we have had in Windsor for more than 20 years.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Creating an all-through school with one school is again contrary to the three tier system in Windsor. It would create two conflicting educational systems in the Windsor area, which would be detrimental to current collaborative practice between all schools in Windsor.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>In principle I am not opposed to this as long as each school is able to make their own decision on what is best for them.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools is a good idea provided individual schools have the final decision on this.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oakfield First School ID: 2466

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely we have to expand both, given they are single-sex schools?</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely we have to expand both, given they are single-sex schools?</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Surely we have to expand both, given they are single-sex schools?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Sounds sensible and also provides an opportunity for co-ed at senior school stage which is currently missing.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School

ID: 2467

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The whole area locally is already at breaking point with parking, all roads congested, parents parking on pavements, zigzags, double yellows, obstructing corners. Schools would made to build extra classes recently.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The whole area locally is already at breaking point with parking, all roads congested, parents parking on pavements, zigzags, double yellows, obstructing corners. Schools would made to build extra classes recently.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The whole area locally is already at breaking point with parking, all roads congested, parents parking on pavements, zigzags, double yellows, obstructing corners. Schools would made to build extra classes recently.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Catering. Nursing.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>They need to adamantly ensure that if children need ALS that all info is passed over as my son failed his course as did not receive ALSat BCA that was entitled to and meant to receive.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Braywood CE First School ID: 2505
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School
 Governor Braywood CE First School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As the gender split I imagine is not significant, surely it is best to expand both upper schools?</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As the gender split I imagine is not significant, surely it is best to expand both upper schools?</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>As the gender split I imagine is not significant, surely it is best to expand both upper schools?</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Apprenticeships - carpentry, buildings, plumbing etc. More vocational courses/creative courses</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I think you should consider expanding St Peters Middle as they only take 60 pupils to 90 pupils or even 120 before expanding Dedworth. However St Peters has a bad Ofsted report at present.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>We need a 'mixed' upper school in Windsor. Consider resite for Trevelyan and re-instate PMRF upper school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>It seems it would only affect Dedworth - as St ERF Middle has a Catholic and not ecumenical first school (You would also have to increase Dedworth First School to meet Dedworth Middle's numbers).</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2506
 Parent of a pupil St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School
 Governor St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Both the girls & boys schools need to be expanded & facilities improved. The focus should not be on one school over the other. Both have space to expand. They need to work together more too. MAT is a great idea.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Both the girls & boys schools need to be expanded & facilities improved. The focus should not be on one school over the other. Both have space to expand. They need to work together more too. MAT is a great idea.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Both the girls & boys schools need to be expanded & facilities improved. The focus should not be on one school over the other. Both have space to expand. They need to work together more too. MAT is a great idea.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Need to look at removing middle schools as it is outside national Key Stage range and encourages inflated achievements by 1st schools. Also need to reduce no. of transitions for pupils. Could consider using middle school sites as 'satellite sites' for existing primary or secondary schools.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Need to focus on improving facilities at existing schools not creating new schools. More teachers (possibly smaller class sizes), expand existing schools, will facilitate/support a broader curriculum, more streaming of pupils and better teaching opportunities & improve facilities e.g. sport, music/arts.</i>	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>This would reduce the number of pupil transitions. It should be used to remove middle school tier. It will facilitate teacher development and opportunities.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Trusts will/should reduce costs by being centrally managed and sharing facilities to maximise utilisation of facilities and minimise duplications. Also would have potential for sharing best practice across schools and resources.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Schools and FECs need understand that the focus is on improving education and opportunities for children not on competing against other local schools. But on working together.</i>	

Final Comments

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Trevelyan Middle School

ID: 2507

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
<p>Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Look at where children are living other than local children. E.g. Slough and build more shcools in those areas. Local shcools for local children. Too many flats being built and not enough amenities.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Look at where children are living other than local children. E.g. Slough and build more shcools in those areas. Local shcools for local children. Too many flats being built and not enough amenities.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Look at where children are living other than local children. E.g. Slough and build more shcools in those areas. Local shcools for local children. Too many flats being built and not enough amenities.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Apprentices</i></p>	
<p>Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>No, big enough school.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>As previously stated, more local schools for local children. Additional grammar schools.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>First schools to be separate.</i></p>	No
<p>Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Yes, I think schools need as much help as possible from academy or trusts as possible.</i></p>	Yes
<p>Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think this would help children in their decision for further education and qualfications.</i></p>	
<p>Final Comments</p>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Governor St Edward's Catholic First School St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2508

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We should expand the existing schools, with the new Holyport College now open this should provide enough school places.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We should expand the existing schools, with the new Holyport College now open this should provide enough school places.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>We should expand the existing schools, with the new Holyport College now open this should provide enough school places.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>A wide range of both practical and academic courses should be developed to support children of all abilities.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Expand St Edwards further as has best results.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>No. It is too much for a 4 year old to be in the same school as an 18 year old. Too little focus on individual age group requirements.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Yes, this makes sense.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2509

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Build a grammar school. Improve the buildings in the Boys' school.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Build a grammar school. Improve the buildings in the Boys' school.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Build a grammar school. Improve the buildings in the Boys' school.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>Keep Windsor children in Windsor.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Why should Windsor children have to travel to Slough, Reading, Burnham to a grammar school?</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments <i>Utilise the Brigidine School.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil St Edward's Catholic First School ID: 2510

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will be nice to have a school for girls/boy in one place, instead been separated.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will be nice to have a school for girls/boy in one place, instead been separated.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Will be nice to have a school for girls/boy in one place, instead been separated.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>Dedworth is a big area, will be good for the community to have a bigger school with more facilities to improve the quality of education of our kids.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Don't Know
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>I believe will be good to improved and modernise the schools in Windsor in all the aspects, instead of opening new schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Governor The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First Sc ID: 2511

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The school grounds of both Windsor Boys' and Windsor Girls' are too small for expansion. Valuable space for sports and outdoor space would be lost. A new school would be a much better option.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The school grounds of both Windsor Boys' and Windsor Girls' are too small for expansion. Valuable space for sports and outdoor space would be lost. A new school would be a much better option.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The school grounds of both Windsor Boys' and Windsor Girls' are too small for expansion. Valuable space for sports and outdoor space would be lost. A new school would be a much better option.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Business and finance courses - both academic and vocational. Practical courses like catering, retail management, plumbing.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>If the timetables were carefully planned, it would be ideal for schools and FE colleges to share their curriculum. This would give a wider subject choice to all 16-19 pupils.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Homer First School

ID: 2512

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing the boy/girl info, I can only agree with Proposal 1c.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing the boy/girl info, I can only agree with Proposal 1c.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing the boy/girl info, I can only agree with Proposal 1c.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I do not know what is currently offered, therefore unable to answer. I would, however, like my children to learn a second language.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>If the quality of facilities and teaching is compromised, then yes. This should not be done to accommodate children from out of the area, though.</i>	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>If these new schools are for local children only, then yes.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Have little knowledge of these types of school, but unsure if mixing children as young as four years olds with much older children is a good idea.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>As above.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Worth investigating.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School

ID: 2513

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2523

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>We think the courses offered are sufficient. perhaps combing the sixth formers into a co-ed class to make use of existing staff.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	No
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>We think through schools should be introduced eg. Dedworth and St Edwards</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Yes, more autonomy for the schools. Keep the identity and tradition of the schools in Windsor.</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>No comment. We feel it is imperative to keep form 6 within the Upper School</i>	
Final Comments <i>Another idea would be to combine the form 6 classes as co-ed to allow for a wider range of subjects and would make better use of the existing teaching staff. Instead of hiring more teachers.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

ID: 2524

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Windsor Boys is large enough already, many more pupils than Windsor Girls and has little physical room for expansion. Windsor Girls has the space to expand.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Windsor Boys is large enough already, many more pupils than Windsor Girls and has little physical room for expansion. Windsor Girls has the space to expand.</i>	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Windsor Boys is large enough already, many more pupils than Windsor Girls and has little physical room for expansion. Windsor Girls has the space to expand.</i>	No
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>None, concentrate on A levels. Leave the vocational courses to the colleges.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>What about Holyport? No mention of them taking extra pupils.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Don't believe 5 to 11's should be in the same school as 18 year olds.</i>	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Keep the status quo, present system seems to be working. STOP CHANGING IT, GIVE THE CHILDREN SOME STABILITY.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Royal (Crown Aided) School ID: 2525

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I currently don't know what is available but know my boys would be more interested in agriculture or horticulture.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I don't know quite how this would work.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>We are in surrey but very close to our current school we are stuck as to what options are now , however most schools have a feeder system higher up on the admission criteria which would really help.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Braywood CE First School ID: 2526

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Don't know</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>A two tier school system would be better than a three tier.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Potential for too much change and lack of continuity.</i>	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Good idea in principle for specialist subjects that have low demand at each individual school.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First Sc ID: 2550

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem fairer to balance the expansion across the two schools, making places available for more boys and girls, without over-stretching one school.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem fairer to balance the expansion across the two schools, making places available for more boys and girls, without over-stretching one school.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>It would seem fairer to balance the expansion across the two schools, making places available for more boys and girls, without over-stretching one school.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>I'm not exactly sure what provision already exists but am in full support of an expanding diet of vocational courses at college level, since one size does not fit all.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>This is quite a considerable expansion. If there is a need for it in close proximity to the school then it makes sense, but I would not want to see children having to travel from further afield to this larger school (e.g. from central Windsor). Would prefer smaller expansion at each school where feasible.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>We are fortunate to have numerous options for local primary schools in Windsor, but the feeding in to so few middle schools is disappointing. I am wary of expanding the existing schools and making them too large (both for the physical space and in terms of community). It must be worth investigating new schools (along the lines of Holyport), as long as they are fully vetted, managed and accountable. The same applies to satellite grammar schools.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>Definitely. The middle school system is brief, requires significant change for children, and seems unsettling.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>I don't know enough about how these operate.</i>	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Must be a good thing. Children should have options - not all are suited to academic pursuits (and not all to vocational). They should be exposed to choices.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Thank you for consulting.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School

ID: 2551

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing what the breakdown of genders is for the children in the Windsor area who will require school places in the future and how many request the boys v girls school at present it is hard to say if one should be favoured over the other for expansion.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing what the breakdown of genders is for the children in the Windsor area who will require school places in the future and how many request the boys v girls school at present it is hard to say if one should be favoured over the other for expansion.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>Without knowing what the breakdown of genders is for the children in the Windsor area who will require school places in the future and how many request the boys v girls school at present it is hard to say if one should be favoured over the other for expansion.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>don't know which courses are offered to that age group at the moment so not in a position to comment.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018? <i>I think they should all be expanded by 30 places per year.</i>	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>I would welcome a Grammar school which was based in and served the Windsor community.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	No
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	No
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>I think collaboration between schools and colleges would be very beneficial, drawing on experience and expertise where available and as required.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Oldfield Primary School

ID: 2552

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Don't Know
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Financial management</i> <i>Engineering based</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Don't Know
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Support it in principle</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Windsor Girls' School ID: 2553
 Parent of a pupil The Windsor Boys' School

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Basic First Aid. Swimming</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School

ID: 2554

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Don't Know
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is:

Parent of a pupil

No School

ID: 2555

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Yoga and Meditation</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>It would be great to change into a two-tier system, it would be better for the children in terms of adjustment.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil Hilltop First School ID: 2556

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Would need access to existing curriculum menu to comment. However, 14-19 curriculum pathways to better suit needs/interests of students to keep them engaged [?] I support.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Yes
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>Umbrella trusts are very different from MATS - The former allowing autonomy and equal, collaborative, shared partnerships. For parents [?]</i>	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Very positive and very necessary.; This enables a wider range of relevant curriculum pathways 14-19 which better support student need and provide [?] appropriate challenge.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Looking forward to Windsor Boys returning to good/outstanding [?]. This success and status is vital for the community. High quality mixed co-ed 18-18 secondary school in Windsor to be a priority consideration.</i>	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Other No School EBC ID: 2563

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017?	Not Indicated
<p>Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally?</p> <p><i>East Berkshire College plans to further develop and expand Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) courses at level 2,3 and higher levels and creative & design courses at levels 2,3 and higher levels.</i></p> <p><i>The College has won funding from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership (TVBLEP) to develop STEM facilities at Windsor College, providing specialist facilities for local residents to access either through full time enrolment at the College or through collaboration with local schools. The facilities will enhance choice and quality of sixth form provision and provide seamless pathways into higher level provision, including higher level apprenticeships and foundation degrees in engineering, science, computing.</i></p> <p><i>The College also continues to develop partnerships (e.g. with The Firestation Arts Centre and London College of Fashion) to provide progression to higher level creative arts courses for local residents.</i></p> <p><i>Both initiatives create affordable, local, relevant higher education for Windsor and Maidenhead both in the arts and STEM.</i></p>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	Not Indicated
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools?	Not Indicated

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor?	Not Indicated
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor? <i>The College would be interested in exploring how FE can work collaboratively with schools through a Trust.</i>	Not Indicated
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>East Berkshire College continues to work in partnership with local schools to extend choice and range of courses for 14-19 year olds through the Technical Alliance Academy. The College believes that collaboration is the only solution to austerity, reducing public spend and is the only way to maximise the impact of taxpayers' money to enhance education and opportunity for local young people. Specialist facilities and expertise can be shared amongst institutions for the benefit of all.</i>	
Final Comments	

Individual responses to the secondary sector expansions consultation

Response to the proposals for: Windsor

This respondent is: Parent of a pupil No School Lambrook ID: 2564

Question <i>(any comments made by the respondent are given in italics)</i>	Answer
Proposal 1a - Should we expand The Windsor Boys' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The site currently used Windsor Girls' School doesn't have sufficient capacity to take 60 new girls - expansion could only be very limited at the site.</i>	No
Proposal 1b - Should we expand Windsor Girls' School by an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The site currently used Windsor Girls' School doesn't have sufficient capacity to take 60 new girls - expansion could only be very limited at the site.</i>	No
Proposal 1c - Should we expand both upper schools to take, between them, an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 9 in September 2017? <i>The site currently used Windsor Girls' School doesn't have sufficient capacity to take 60 new girls - expansion could only be very limited at the site.</i>	Yes
Proposal 2 - What new courses, both academic and vocational, do you think should be offered for 16 to 19 year olds locally? <i>Work experience in diverse settings should be made available from 16 years old in order to equip children to make informed career and educational choices.</i>	
Proposal 3 - Should we expand Dedworth Middle School to take an extra 60 children per year group, starting with Year 5 in September 2018?	No
Proposal 3b/c - Should the borough investigate other options for providing more secondary places, including new schools and satellite grammar schools? <i>New sites should be considered in areas where outside sports grounds, parking, modern facilities and environmentally sustainable buildings can be created from scratch.</i>	Yes
Proposal 4 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for all-through schools in Windsor? <i>All-through schools provide continuity for teachers, parents and children and allow long-term relationships and support.</i>	Yes
Proposal 5 - Do you, in principle, support proposals for multi-academy or umbrella trusts in Windsor?	Yes
Proposal 6 - Please comment on more collaboration between schools and with the further education colleges <i>Collaboration between schools and further education should be strengthened as well as collaboration between schools and future employers.</i>	
Final Comments <i>Consideration should be given to supporting children with disability/difficulty, to create diverse and supportive educational environments.</i>	