

ROYAL BOROUGH DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PANEL

WEDNESDAY, 21ST APRIL, 2021

At 6.15 pm

by

VIRTUAL MEETING - ONLINE ACCESS, ON [RBWM YOUTUBE](#)

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
6.	<p><u>20/02976/FULL - THAMES HOSPICECARE - PINE LODGE - HATCH LANE - WINDSOR - SL4 3RW</u></p> <p><i>PROPOSAL: Redevelopment of the former Thames Hospice to provide a retirement housing development of 41 dwellings comprising three x 2-storey terraced houses, two x 2-storey semi-detached houses, one x 2 storey apartment building, two 2.5-storey apartment blocks and one 3-storey apartment block with associated parking, landscaping and refuse store following demolition of the existing building.</i></p> <p>RECOMMENDATION: DEFER & DELEGATE</p> <p>APPLICANT:</p> <p>MEMBER CALL IN: N/A</p> <p>EXPIRY DATE: 8 February 2021</p>	3 - 6

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD PANEL UPDATE

Application No.:	20/02976/FULL
Location:	Thames Hospicecare Pine Lodge Hatch Lane Windsor SL4 3RW
Proposal:	Redevelopment of the former Thames Hospice to provide a retirement housing development of 41 dwellings comprising three x 2-storey terraced houses, two x 2-storey semi-detached houses, one x 2 storey apartment building, two 2.5-storey apartment blocks and one 3-storey apartment block with associated parking, landscaping and refuse store following demolition of the existing building.
Applicant:	.
Agent:	Mr Christopher Colloff
Parish/Ward:	Windsor Unparished/Clewer East
If you have a question about this report, please contact: Zarreen Hadadi on 01628 796042 or at Zarreen.Hadadi@rbwm.gov.uk	

1. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Comments from Interested Parties

1.2

Additional comments received, summarised as:

Comment	Officer response	Change to recommendation?
Further comment has been received from the neighbour at 13 Longbourn setting out why they consider that scheme has not overcome the previous concerns raised by the Inspector.	The main officer report sets out why officers consider that this scheme has overcome the previous reasons for refusal and issues raised by the Inspector	None
One further letter of objection has been received raising issues regarding construction traffic, air quality, traffic congestion and whether any part of the hospice is protected for heritage reasons.	These issues have all been addressed in the officers' main report.	None

Comments from Consultees

1.3

Comment	Officer response	Change to recommendation?
Conservation Officer – raises the same comments as under the previous application 19/03351/FULL but also raises an objection to the scheme overall.	No such objection was received to the previous application and for the reasons as	None

	detailed in the officer report the scheme is considered acceptable	
Tree Officer – objection - further comments were requested on the updates provided by the applicant but no comments have been received.	The tree offices comments have not fully taken into account the conclusions of the Inspector decision letter. Taking into account the Inspectors conclusions the scheme is considered acceptable for the reason set out in the officer report.	None

This page is intentionally left blank