

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD  
PLANNING COMMITTEE

Planning Appeals Received

14 July 2018 - 10 August 2018



WINDSOR RURAL

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at <https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> please use the PIn reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

**Enforcement appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

**Other appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Horton Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60090/NONDET **Planning Ref.:** 17/03315/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/3197022  
**Date Received:** 30 July 2018 **Comments Due:** 3 September 2018  
**Type:** Non-determination **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Replacement of front boundary wall with a sliding gate and wall with railings  
**Location:** **Westerley The Green Horton Road Horton Slough SL3 9NU**  
**Appellant:** Dr M Walia **c/o Agent:** Mrs Fiona Jones Cameron Jones Planning 3 Elizabeth Gardens Ascot SL5 9BJ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Horton Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60091/NONDET **Planning Ref.:** 17/03316/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/3197023  
**Date Received:** 30 July 2018 **Comments Due:** 3 September 2018  
**Type:** Non-determination **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Erection of an obscure glazed screen around the rear flat roof  
**Location:** **Westerley The Green Horton Road Horton Slough SL3 9NU**  
**Appellant:** Dr M Walia **c/o Agent:** Mrs Fiona Jones Cameron Jones Planning 3 Elizabeth Gardens Ascot SL5 9BJ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Sunninghill And Ascot Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60093/REF **Planning Ref.:** 18/00790/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/18/3206645  
**Date Received:** 9 August 2018 **Comments Due:** Not Applicable  
**Type:** Refusal **Appeal Type:** Householder  
**Description:** Two storey front, and rear extensions, with a new raised roof to provide accommodation within the roof space, and roof over the existing single storey garage to provide first floor accommodation with the insertion of three flat boxed dormers to the rear. Erection of a detached garage to the front. New front boundary treatment consisting of automatically opening gates, new brick piers and metal railings.  
**Location:** **19 Llanvair Drive Ascot SL5 9HS**  
**Appellant:** Mr And Mrs N And M Chohan And Bains **c/o Agent:** Miss Michaela Mercer Mercer Planning Consultants Ltd 22 Tanglewood Close Pyrford Woking Surrey GU22 8LG

# Appeal Decision Report

14 July 2018 - 10 August 2018

WINDSOR RURAL

www.rbwm.gov.uk



Royal Borough  
of Windsor &  
Maidenhead

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60049/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 17/02339/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355W/17/  
3190788

**Appellant:** Mr Ben Flegg **c/o Agent:** Nigel Hartley - Chestnut Planning 33 Trinity Church Road London SW13 8ET

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** End terrace house, parking and new access

**Location:** **Land At 16 Orchard Road Old Windsor Windsor**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 24 July 2018

**Main Issue:** The appearance of the new dwelling proposed to adjoin the existing dwelling would be the same as a previously approved extension to the existing dwelling. Therefore the scale and design of the new dwelling is not unacceptable. However the appeal scheme overall is for a different development that would result in a higher density development and a plot size smaller than those within the remainder of the road. As a result there would be limited amenity space for both resultant dwellings in contrast to the spacious setting of other dwellings in the road. In addition, a significant amount of the amenity space would be under the canopy of a mature sycamore tree, affecting how useable the space would be. The front of the dwellings would be covered by buildings, parking or other hardstanding with little space remaining for landscaping. As a result the appeal scheme would appear cramped and out of keeping with the character and appearance of the area. The proposed dwelling would be constructed adjacent to protected trees, the canopies for which would extend entirely over the parking area for the new dwelling. This would lead to significant pressure to prune the trees which would harm the character and appearance of the area, given that the trees are key features of this.

---