

**ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD  
PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**Appeal Decision Report**

**11 June 2019 - 8 July 2019**

MAIDENHEAD

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60139/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02411/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/18/  
3216517

**Appellant:** Mr Fotios Tsompanidis 12 Raven Drive Maidenhead SL6 8FA

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Part garage conversion.

**Location:** **12 Raven Drive Maidenhead SL6 8FA**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 19 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The Inspector concluded that the proposed development would be detrimental to the safety of other highway users and, as such, it would conflict with the parking requirements of LP Policies DG1 and P4.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60004/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02186/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/  
3219904

**Appellant:** Wycrest Limited **c/o Agent:** Mr Paul Dickinson Paul Dickinson And Associates Highway House Lower Froyle Hants GU34 4NB

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Three x 2 bedroom town houses with undercroft parking.

**Location:** **Land At Rear of Queensgate House 14 To 18 Cookham Road Maidenhead**

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed      **Decision Date:** 26 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The main issues for the appeal were the effect on the character and appearance of the area, highway safety, refuse storage facilities and whether approval would be prejudicial to the emerging Borough Local Plan. The Inspector considered that there was no clear rhythm to the positioning of buildings seen within the vicinity of the appeal site, and therefore did not consider the proposed residential building to be isolated or disjointed in this context. On this basis, the Inspector did not consider the proposed development would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area. The Inspector considered that any shortfall in parking on the site was small and the location of the site to public car parks and the town centre was good, and that overall the proposal would not harm highway safety or be detrimental to the efficient operation of the highway network within the vicinity of the appeal site. The location (distance from the highway) of the waste and recycling storage area was considered acceptable. In regard to the prejudicial issue, paragraph 49 of the NPPF advises that arguments that an application is premature are unlikely to justify refusal of planning permission. The proposal is minor and it had not been demonstrated how allowing the proposal would prejudice the outcome of the plan-making process.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60005/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02187/OUT      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3219902

**Appellant:** Wycrest Limited **c/o Agent:** Mr Paul Dickinson Paul Dickinson And Associates Highway House Lower Froyle Hants GU34 4NB

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Outline application for the erection of a four storey block of 6 x 1 bedroom flats and undercroft parking with access to be considered and all other matters reserved.

**Location:** **Land At Rear of Queensgate House 14 To 18 Cookham Road Maidenhead**

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed      **Decision Date:** 26 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The main issues for consideration of the appeal were the effect of the proposal on the character and appearance of the area, the effect on highway safety and the efficient operation of the highway network in the vicinity of the appeal site, whether there was adequate provision for cycle and refuse storage, and whether the proposal would prejudice redevelopment proposals for the wider area. The Planning Inspector considered that there was no clear rhythm to the positioning of buildings within the vicinity of the appeal site and therefore the new building would not appear isolated or disjointed in this context. Accordingly, the Inspector did not consider the proposal would harm the character or appearance of the area. The shortfall in parking on site would be minor and the site is close to Maidenhead Town Centre. As such, the Inspector found there to be no issues with highway safety and the proposal would not severely affect the efficient operation of the highway network. The Inspector considered the cycle and refuse storage locations acceptable and there was no evidence to demonstrate the development would prejudice redevelopment proposals for the wider area. The appeal for outline permission was allowed.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60016/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02818/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3220424

**Appellant:** Mr L Quinn **c/o Agent:** Mr Paul Dickinson Paul Dickinson And Associates Highway House Lower Froyle Hants GU34 4NB

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Erection of a new dwellinghouse and detached garage

**Location:** **Kimbers Farm Oakley Green Road Oakley Green Windsor SL4 4QF**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 11 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The proposed development would be inappropriate development in the Green Belt and would therefore cause harm to the Green Belt. The proposal would also cause harm to the openness of the Green Belt. The harm identified is attributed substantial weight. The substantial weight identified is not clearly outweighed by the other identified considerations sufficient to demonstrate very special circumstances. The proposal is therefore contrary to paragraphs 144 and 145 of the NPPF, Policy GB2(A) of the Local Plan and Policies SP1 and SP5 of the BLPSV, which each seek to protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development as defined in the Framework.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60035/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/01779/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3224360

**Appellant:** Mr Y Kalomar **c/o Agent:** Mr Mumtaz Alam MZM Associates 29 Gordon Road Maidenhead SL6 6BR

**Decision Type:** Committee      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Extension to the second floor and construction of a three-storey extension to create 3 x 2 and 3 x 1 bedroom flats.

**Location:** **L'Leno 14 Market Street Maidenhead SL6 8AB**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 2 July 2019

**Main Issue:** The Proposal would appear as an incongruous and insensitive addition that would fail to relate to the existing building and nearby built form, and would dominate its surroundings. The imposition of planning conditions covering materials and detailing would be insufficient to overcome this harm. The roof extension would affect views into and out of the CA, and would bring tall built form closer to the foreground in views than the existing situation. The development's discordant appearance and dominating height would harm the character and appearance of the surrounding area and erode its architectural and historic importance. The development would also harm the character and appearance of the CA and therefore neither preserve nor enhance its character or appearance. The harm identified would be localised and would therefore constitute less than substantial harm to the significance of the CA as a whole. In such circumstances, the less than substantial harm should be weighed against the public benefits. Any such benefits would be modest and would not outweigh the harm. The development's significant height, width and mass would result in an unacceptable reduction in the level of light reaching adjacent residential units, thereby harming the amenities of the occupants of the units. Refuse provision would not be sufficient, with particular regard to refuse collection.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60040/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/03015/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/19/3225099

**Appellant:** Mr & Mrs P Yates **c/o Agent:** Mr Peter Nicholson Developments In Design 24 Rectory Road Wokingham RG40 1DH

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Partial raising of main roof including front and side dormers

**Location:** **126 Braywick Road Maidenhead SL6 1DJ**

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed      **Decision Date:** 25 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The Inspector found that the resultant roof shape and the degree of variation would not be significantly detrimental to the overall balance and appearance of the dwelling. Similarly, to the rear, albeit where there is not this symmetry, the addition of the partially raised mansard style roof would not be considered detrimental to the host or surrounding area due to limited views into the site. The Inspector concluded that the proposed development would not harm the character and appearance of the dwelling or the surrounding area.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60043/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/03630/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/19/  
3224950

**Appellant:** Mr J Sembi **c/o Agent:** Mr Simon Hamilton Hamilton Design And Surveying 18 Barkestone  
Close Emerson Valley Milton Keynes MK4 2AT

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Part single part two storey side/rear extension.

**Location:** **Homelands 5 Waltham Road Maidenhead SL6 3NH**

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed      **Decision Date:** 27 June 2019

**Main Issue:** The Inspector denotes the main issue to be the effect of the development on the character and appearance of the surrounding area. Having regard to neighbouring residential properties, many of which have been extended, it is noted there are a variety of scales, designs and roof forms in the area. Whilst relatively large, the extension would be set back behind the front wall and lower than the main ridge height, with the main mass projecting to the rear. Hence, from the public realm, the Inspector suggests it would appear subservient and as obvious distinguishable addition to the original property. Its scale would be in keeping with other nearby extended properties. Although the Council's design guidance advises against flat roofs, the Inspector considers there would only be limited views of this section and its bulk would not be noticeable. The variety of roof forms in the area, including crown roofs, is also referenced. The concerns raised by a neighbour regarding overlooking and using the flat roof as a balcony are acknowledged but disregarded. It is concluded that the proposal complies with the relevant planning policies concerning design, which themselves are broadly consistent with the NPPF.

---

## Planning Appeals Received

12 June 2019 - 8 July 2019

### MAIDENHEAD

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at <https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> please use the PIns reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

**Enforcement appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

**Other appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

**Ward:**

**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60052/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 17/02538/FULL      **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/3211327

**Date Received:** 13 June 2019      **Comments Due:** 18 July 2019

**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Hearing

**Description:** Construction of 101 apartments comprising of x8 three bed, x60 two bed and x33 one bed with lower ground floor parking and alterations to the existing site entrance

**Location:** **Site of Clivemont House Clivemont Road Maidenhead**

**Appellant:** Mr Edward Goodwin **c/o Agent:** Mr D Bond Woolf Bond Planning The Mitfords Basingstoke Road Three Mile Cross Reading RG7 1AT

**Ward:**

**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60053/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02849/FULL      **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3223196

**Date Received:** 26 June 2019      **Comments Due:** 31 July 2019

**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation

**Description:** Alterations and extensions to the existing garage to form a new detached three bedroom dwelling with alterations to access, landscaping and associated parking.

**Location:** **Land At Mead House Pinkneys Drive Maidenhead**

**Appellant:** Mr Vince Millen 84 Malvern Way Croxley Green Rickmansworth WD3 3QD

**Ward:**

**Parish:** Shottesbrooke Parish

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60054/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 19/00356/FULL      **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3229741

**Date Received:** 26 June 2019      **Comments Due:** 31 July 2019

**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation

**Description:** Retention of existing cabin for ancillary residential use for a period of three years.

**Location:** **Pondwood Farm Pondwood Lane White Waltham Maidenhead SL6 3SS**

**Appellant:** Mr Mick Holdaway **c/o Agent:** Mr John Hunt Pike Smith & Kemp Rural & Commercial Ltd The Old Dairy Hyde Farm Marlow Road Maidenhead SL6 6PQ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Bray Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60056/NONDET    **Planning Ref.:** 17/03857/OUT    **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/  
3225689

**Date Received:** 4 July 2019    **Comments Due:** 8 August 2019  
**Type:** Non-determination    **Appeal Type:** Public Inquiry

**Description:** Outline application for 150 dwellings off with new access off Holyport Road with emergency access only onto Ascot Road. Provision of a 667sq.m. Doctors Surgery with 25 parking spaces. Change of use of agricultural land to community park, open space, two grass football pitches, allotments and the change of use of an existing farm building to a community building. Ancillary landscaping and parking. All matters reserved except for access.

**Location:** **Lodge Farm And Water Tower Ascot Road Holyport Maidenhead SL6 2HX**  
**Appellant:** Mr Craig Killoran **c/o Agent:** Mrs Elizabeth Alexander Bell Cornwell LLP Unit 2 Meridian Office Park Osborn Way HOOK RG27 9HY

# Appeal Decision Report

9 July 2019 - 12 August 2019

www.rbwm.gov.uk



Royal Borough  
of Windsor &  
Maidenhead

## MAIDENHEAD

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60038/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/01687/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3222082

**Appellant:** L Trevellyan **c/o Agent:** Mr Tim Farley Copesticks 39 Tudor Hill Sutton Coldfield West Midlands B73 6BE

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Demolition of the existing site structures and removal of existing underground tanks and redevelopment of the site to include a 4 pump petrol filling station with associated retail store and associated facilities, extended parking provision and electric vehicle charging points.

**Location:** **BP Queens Head Filling Station Windsor Road Water Oakley Windsor SL4 5UJ**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 23 July 2019

**Main Issue:** The proposal amounts to inappropriate development in the Green Belt as the car park, cycle storage, EV charging points, air and water machine, and lighting would have a greater physical and visual impact on openness than the existing development. Balanced against this, the economic benefits of improved shopping facilities and introduction of EV charging points attract some weight, but would not clearly outweigh the identified harm to the Green Belt which is given substantial weight against the development. Inspector disagreed that the proposal would improve the visual appearance of the site, and that parking convenience for customers should be given additional weight. Very Special Circumstances has not been demonstrated to justify the development in the Green Belt. Fall-back position of the recent grant of permission by the Council for the petrol filling station at the site is afforded little weight.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60039/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/03002/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/3219292

**Appellant:** Mr Roger Wilson **c/o Agent:** Mr Roger Wilson The Dial House Fortingall Aberfeldy Perthshire PH15 2LL

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Erection of a 30m Radio Mast, antennae and shelter cabin

**Location:** **Land At South Hornbuckle Farm And 400 Metres East To The East of Lordlands Farm Hawthorn Hill Bracknell**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 17 July 2019

**Main Issue:** The proposal amounts to inappropriate development in the Green Belt and would cause harm to openness due to its 30m height and horizontal antennae measuring 21.6m x 9.8m. Camouflage and screening would not overcome harm to character of the area. In the absence of sufficient information the proposal fails to demonstrate that the development would not cause harm to trees and protected species (bats). The Inspector did not consider it appropriate to use a condition to safeguard trees and protected species as there would be uncertainty over necessity, effectiveness and suitability of such conditions. Economic benefit and lack of alternative sites did not amount to Very Special Circumstances that would outweigh harm to the Green Belt and any other harm.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60046/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/03594/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/19/3226482

**Appellant:** Ms Anoushka Healy **c/o Agent:** Mr Richard Simpson RJS Planning 132 Brunswick Road London W5 1AW

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Single storey front/side extension to the garage, x4 rooflight to the garage, single storey side infill extension to connect the garage to the dwelling and alterations to fenestration.

**Location:** **Shepherds Cottage Jubilee Road Littlewick Green Maidenhead SL6 3QU**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 29 July 2019

**Main Issue:** The Inspector concluded that the new extension would be inappropriate development in the Green Belt. Further harm would be caused as a result of loss of openness. Harm would also be caused because the proposal would not sustain and enhance the significance of the appeal property and the locality as heritage assets. The Inspector gave limited weight to the material considerations cited in support of the proposal and concluded that, taken together, they do not outweigh the harm the proposed development would cause to the Green Belt and the other harm that the Inspector has identified. Consequently, the very special circumstances necessary to justify the proposal do not exist. The proposal conflicts with paragraph 145 of the Framework, Policies GB1 and GB2(A) of the LP and emerging Policies SP1 and SP5 of the LPSV which collectively seek to protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development and safeguarding the open and rural character of the Borough's countryside.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60048/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02370/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3228199

**Appellant:** Mr William Newman **c/o Agent:** Mr Alan Bloor Reading Agricultural Consultants Ltd Beechwood Court Long Toll Reading RG8 0RR

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Siting of a temporary agricultural worker's dwelling (static caravan) and associated parking

**Location:** **Warren Wood Farm Warren Row Road Knowl Hill Reading RG10 9YJ**

**Appeal Decision:** Withdrawn      **Decision Date:** 25 July 2019

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60052/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 17/02538/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/3211327

**Appellant:** Mr Edward Goodwin **c/o Agent:** Mr D Bond Woolf Bond Planning The Mitfords Basingstoke Road Three Mile Cross Reading RG7 1AT

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Construction of 101 apartments comprising of x8 three bed, x60 two bed and x33 one bed with lower ground floor parking and alterations to the existing site entrance

**Location:** **Site of Clivemont House Clivemont Road Maidenhead**

**Appeal Decision:** Withdrawn      **Decision Date:** 25 July 2019

---





## Planning Appeals Received

9 July 2019 - 12 August 2019

### MAIDENHEAD

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at <https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> please use the PIns reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

**Enforcement appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

**Other appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60059/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02163/FULL      **PIns Ref.:** APP/TO355/W/19/3231286  
**Date Received:** 9 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 13 August 2019  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Construction of x6 dwellings with associated access, parking and amenity space.  
**Location:** **31 - 33 Belmont Road Maidenhead**  
**Appellant:** Mr Leon Tusz **c/o Agent:** Mr Jake Collinge JCPC Ltd 5 Buttermarket Thame Oxfordshire OX9 3EW

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Bray Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60061/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 19/00362/FULL      **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3231492  
**Date Received:** 16 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 20 August 2019  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Change of use from agricultural to (D1) education with associated parking and boundary treatment.  
**Location:** **Land South of Holyport Allotments Gays Lane Maidenhead**  
**Appellant:** Mrs Victoria Egarr **c/o Agent:** Mr Mark Borthwick Borthwick DBM 6 Rushmere Cottages Colemans Moor Road Woodley Reading RG5 4BZ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60062/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 19/00276/PIP      **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3230780  
**Date Received:** 16 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 20 August 2019  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Construction of 2 houses.  
**Location:** **Land Rear of 20 Ray Street Maidenhead**  
**Appellant:** Mr T Dhunay **c/o Agent:** Mr David Holmes Progress Planning Burkes Court Burkes Road Beaconsfield HP9 1NZ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60066/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 19/00275/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/19/3230522  
**Date Received:** 24 July 2019      **Comments Due:** Not Applicable  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Householder Appeal  
**Description:** Single storey rear extension and alterations to fenestration.  
**Location:** **20 Fullbrook Close Maidenhead SL6 8UE**  
**Appellant:** Mr & Mrs Hall **c/o Agent:** Mr Richard Simpson RJS Planning 132 Brunswick Road London W5 1AW

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Waltham St Lawrence Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60067/ENF      **Enforcement Ref.:** 17/50209/ENF      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/F/18/3219317  
**Date Received:** 24 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 4 September 2019  
**Type:** Enforcement Appeal      **Appeal Type:** Hearing  
**Description:** Appeal against Listed Building Enforcement Notice: Without Listed Building Consent: 1. Removal of a chimney stack and fireplace from the dining room. 2. The creation of new openings and fitting of windows in the west elevation in what is known as bedroom 3. 3. The reconfiguration of the projecting roof on the ground floor covering the dining room.  
**Location:** **Blaizes Twyford Road Waltham St Lawrence Reading RG10 0HE**  
**Appellant:** Mr Leroy Nicholas Bangs **c/o Agent:** Mr Tom Brooks Icen Projects Ltd Da Vinci House 44 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8FH

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Waltham St Lawrence Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60068/ENF      **Enforcement Ref.:** 17/50209/ENF      **Plns Ref.:** APP/TO355/F/18/3219318  
**Date Received:** 24 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 4 September 2019  
**Type:** Enforcement Appeal      **Appeal Type:** Hearing  
**Description:** Appeal against Listed Building Enforcement Notice: Without Listed Building Consent: 1. The removal of internal walls. 2. Plastering of Walls, ceilings and fireplaces. 3. Removal of the external wall on the first floor on the north elevation of the bathroom.  
**Location:** **Blaizes Twyford Road Waltham St Lawrence Reading RG10 0HE**  
**Appellant:** Mr Leroy Nicholas Bangs **c/o Agent:** Mr Tom Brooks Icen Projects Ltd Da Vinci House 44 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8FH

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Waltham St Lawrence Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60069/ENF      **Enforcement Ref.:** 17/50209/ENF      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/F/18/3219319  
**Date Received:** 24 July 2019      **Comments Due:** 4 September 2019  
**Type:** Enforcement Appeal      **Appeal Type:** Hearing  
**Description:** Appeal against Listed Building Enforcement Notice: Without listed building consent new windows and removal of a window.  
**Location:** **Blaizes Twyford Road Waltham St Lawrence Reading RG10 0HE**  
**Appellant:** Mr Leroy Nicholas Bangs **c/o Agent:** Mr Tom Brooks Icen Projects Ltd Da Vinci House 44 Saffron Hill London EC1N 8FH

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Maidenhead Unparished  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60070/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/02588/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/3225817  
**Date Received:** 5 August 2019      **Comments Due:** 9 September 2019  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Construction of x7 four-bedroom dwellings including associated landscaping, amenity space and parking following demolition of the existing building.  
**Location:** **The Crooked Billet Westborough Road Maidenhead SL6 4AS**  
**Appellant:** Clearview Residential Limited **c/o Agent:** Mrs Sarah Ballantyne-Way HGH Consulting 45 Wellbeck Street London W1G 8DZ

**Ward:**  
**Parish:** Bisham Parish  
**Appeal Ref.:** 19/60071/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 18/03459/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/19/  
3233483  
**Date Received:** 6 August 2019      **Comments Due:** 10 September 2019  
**Type:** Refusal      **Appeal Type:** Written Representation  
**Description:** Single storey front extension with replacement second floor front gable. First and second  
floor rear extensions and raising of part of the main ridge.  
**Location:** **White Lodge Bisham Road Bisham Marlow SL7 1RP**  
**Appellant:** Mr Roderick Ting **c/o Agent:** Mrs Anjali Gupta AG Architecture Studio 8 Suffolk House 54 -  
55 The Green Wooburn Green High Wycombe Bucks HP10 0EU