# ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD PLANNING COMMITTEE

### MAIDENHEAD DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL

# **Planning Appeals Received**

9 January 2016 - 5 February 2016



#### **MAIDENHEAD**

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Further information on planning appeals can be found at <a href="www.planningportal.gov.uk/pcs">www.planningportal.gov.uk/pcs</a>. Should you wish to make comments in connection with an appeal, please use the PIns reference number and write to the relevant address, shown below.

Enforcement appeals: The Planning Inspectorate, Room 3/23 Hawk Wing, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square,

Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN or email teame1@pins.gsi.gov.uk

Other appeals: The Planning Inspectorate Room 3/10A Kite Wing Temple Quay House 2 The Square Bristol BS1

6PN or email teamp13@pins.gsi.gov.uk

Parish/Ward:

Appeal Ref.: 16/00007/REF Planning Ref.: 15/03926/FULL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/16/

3143234

Date Received:2 February 2016Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** Construction of a two storey rear extension and single storey side extension and front porch

Location: Etchea Fishery Road Maidenhead SL6 1UP

Appellant: Mr Alan Suleyman c/o Agent: Mr Alistair Lloyd Abracad Architects The Atrium Broad Lane

Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9BX

Parish/Ward:

**Appeal Ref.:** 16/00008/REF **Planning Ref.:** 15/01248/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/16/

3142379

**Date Received:** 2 February 2016 **Comments Due:** 8 March 2016

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation

**Description:** Construction of detached two storey dwelling

Location: Land To The Rear of 5 To 8 Sunnymede Cottages Ray Mill Road East Maidenhead

Appellant: Mr Martin Ebbetts c/o Agent: Mr Allen Watson Buttery And Watson Berry House 78 Altwood

Road Maidenhead SL6 4PZ

Parish/Ward:

Appeal Ref.: 16/00009/REF Planning Ref.: 15/03745/FULL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/16/

3143401

Date Received:3 February 2016Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** First floor rear extension, single storey front porch, 1 new window on first floor side elevation

and 1 No. rear roof light.

Location: 121 Clare Road Maidenhead SL6 4DN

Appellant: Mr Mohammed Sulaman c/o Agent: Mr R Johnson 59 Lancaster Road Maidenhead SL6

5EY

Parish/Ward:

Appeal Ref.: 16/00010/REF Planning Ref.: 15/03741/FULL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/16/

3143405

Date Received:3 February 2016Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** Single storey front extension and first floor side and rear extension and 1 No. rear roof light.

Location: 123 Clare Road Maidenhead SL6 4DN

Appellant: Mr Shams Sulaman c/o Agent: Mr R Johnson 59 Lancaster Road Maidenhead SL6 5EY

Parish/Ward:

Appeal Ref.: 16/00011/REF Planning Ref.: 15/03550/PDXL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/X/1

5/3143755

**Date Received:** 3 February 2016 **Comments Due:** 16 March 2016

**Type:** Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation

Description: Single storey rear extension no greater than 6m depth, 4m high and eaves height of 2.5m

Location: 62 Portlock Road Maidenhead SL6 6DZ

Appellant: Mr And Mrs O Gooch c/o Agent: Mr P Mackrory 17 Bissley Drive Maidenhead SL6 3UX

# **Appeal Decision Report**

## 9 January 2016 - 5 February 2016

#### **MAIDENHEAD**

The Royal Borough

Windsor & Maidenhead

**Appeal Ref.:** 15/00087/REF **Planning Ref.:** 15/01667/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/15/

3133609

Appellant: Mrs Jackie Roberts c/o Agent: Mr Peter Smith PJSA Chartered Surveyors Ltd The Old

Place Lock Path Dorney Windsor SL4 6QQ

**Decision Type:** Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Conversion of the existing annexe to an independent semi-detached dwelling. Single storey

rear extension and extension to entrance porch and pitched roof over existing flat roof.

Location: Four Gables 62 Lower Cookham Road Maidenhead SL6 8JZ

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 3 February 2016

Main Issue: Failed Exception Test. The provision of one additional house does not amount to the level of

wider sustainability benefit that would outweigh the flood risk. In addition, the FRA does not demonstrate that the development would provide safe access and egress for people during a flood. References to other planning permissions for housing development have only limited

parallels with this appeal proposal.