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## FOREWORD

Welcome to the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead's Education Data Pack for the Academic Year 2014-15. We hope you find this a useful document which provides details of the performance and attainment in our Borough.

This is the second edition of the 2014-15 Education Data Pack and uses validated data.

The vast majority of RBWM children and young people achieve well. We are ambitious for all of them and strive, with our partners, to make sure they all achieve the best they can so that they are able to play their full part as future citizens.

We are committed to continuous improvement and will ensure that our practice reflects this. The analysis of the data within this pack indicates that together we need to:

- Continue to support schools so all provide a good or outstanding education.
- Ensure the attainment for pupils eligible for FSM grows at a rapid pace through the effective use of the Pupil Premium plans by Governing bodies.
- Accelerate the rate of improvement at Key Stage 2, and reverse the decline in A Level attainment.

The views of all our education providers* including head teachers, governors, teachers, support staff, children and young people are important to us and influence the overall development of RBWM services. This Education Data Pack has been refined following feedback from last year.

We will continue to consult with Education Leaders to further develop the Education Data Pack, to ensure it a useful tool that supports our ongoing cycle of evaluation and continual improvement.

Please let us know if you have any suggestions you feel would enhance our next Education Data Pack.


Alison Alexander
Managing Director \& Strategic Director Adult, Children and Health Services


Councillor Phill Bicknell
Lead Member for Children's Services
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## GLOSSARY

## KEY STAGES OF THE CURRICULUM

1. The curriculum is split into stages according to the age of the pupils, see Table A.

Table A - Key Stage and Age Summary

| Stage | Age range | School year | Nationally <br> expected <br> level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Foundation Stage | $3-5$ | Nursery and Reception |  |
| Key Stage 1 | $5-7$ | $1-2$ | 2 |
| Key Stage 2 | $7-11$ | $3-6$ | 4 |
| Key Stage 3 | $11-14$ | $7-9$ | 5 |
| Key Stage 4 | $14-16$ | $10-11$ | GCSE |
| Key Stage 5 | Post 16 | $12+$ |  |

2. Pupil assessment is:

- At Foundation stage pupils is assessed against a profile which has a strong emphasis on the three prime areas of communication and language; physical; and personal, social and emotional development. Practitioners make a best-fit assessment of whether children are emerging, expected or exceeding against each of the 17 early learning goals. The percentage of children achieving at least the expected level in the prime areas of learning and in the specific areas of literacy and mathematics are defined as having reached a 'Good Level of Development' (GLD).
- At the end of Year 1 pupils take a phonics screening test.
- Pupils are assessed by teachers in the core subjects of Reading, Writing and Mathematics at the end of Key Stage 1.
- At the end of Key Stage 2, tests take place in Reading and Mathematics. In addition teacher assessments are carried out in Reading, Writing, Mathematics and Science.
- At the end of Key Stage 3 there are no statutory assessment requirements.
- At Key Stage 4 and 5, pupils undertake external examinations, most commonly GCSEs and $A$ levels.


## STATISTICAL NEIGHBOURS

The tables and charts in the report compare schools in the Royal Borough with those nationally and those in statistically similar authorities, known as our 'Statistical Neighbours'. The Royal Borough's current statistical neighbours are: Surrey, Buckinghamshire, Bracknell Forest, Hertfordshire, Wokingham, West Berkshire, Oxfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Hampshire and Trafford. They were updated in October with the introduction of Trafford and the loss of Cheshire East.

## RUSSELL GROUP UNIVERSITIES

The Russell Group represents 24 leading UK universities which are 'committed to maintaining the very best research, an outstanding teaching and learning experience and unrivalled links with business and the public sector':
University of Birmingham, University of Bristol, University of Cambridge, Cardiff University, Durham University,University of Edinburgh, University of Exeter, University of Glasgow, Imperial College London, King's College London, University of Leeds,University of Liverpool, London School of Economics \& Political Science, University of Manchester, Newcastle University, University of Nottingham,University of Oxford,Queen Mary University of London, Queen's University Belfast, University of Sheffield, University of Southampton, University College London, University of Warwick, University of York.

## ACRONYMS
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Cookham Nursery School
Maidenhead Nursery School
Manor Green School
Furze Platt Senior School
Newlands Girls' School
Altwood Church of England School
Cox Green School
Churchmead Church of England School
Dedworth Middle School
Windsor Girls' School
St Peter's Church of England Middle School
Charters School
Desborough College
Cookham Dean CE Primary School
Burchetts Green CE Infant School
White Waltham C of E Academy
Cheapside CE Primary School
Clewer Green CE School
The Royal School (Crown Aided)
St Michael's C of E Primary School
St Francis Catholic Primary School
Datchet St Mary's C of E Primary Academy
Homer First School
Dedworth Green First School
Alexander First School
Hilltop First School
Kings Court First School
St Mary's Catholic Primary School
St Luke's Church of England Primary School
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School
Braywood C of E First School
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School

Bisham School
Cookham Rise Primary School
Furze Platt Junior School
Furze Platt Infant School
Riverside Primary School \& Nursery
Courthouse Junior School
All Saints Church of England Junior School
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School
Forest Bridge School
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School
Knowl Hill CE Primary School
Wessex Primary School
Lowbrook Academy
Woodlands Park Primary \& Nursery School
Eton Wick C of E First School
Holyport C of E (Aided) Primary School \& Foundation Unit
Eton Porny C of E First School
The Queen Anne Royal Free CE First School
Wraysbury Primary School
South Ascot Village Primary School
Alwyn Infant School
The Lawns Nursery
The Windsor Boys' School
St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School
Trinity St Stephens Church of England First School
Oakfield First School
St Edward's Catholic First School
Trevelyan Middle School
Holy Trinity CE Primary School
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School
Braywick Court School
Holyport College
Oldfield Primary School
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## SUMMARY OF KEY DATA

## 1. School Ofsted Inspections

1.1 The overall position for schools in the Borough at the end of the academic year $2014 / 5$ shows $75 \%$ of all RBWM schools had an Ofsted judgement of good or better at August 2015 which is below the national figure of $84 \%$.
1.2 There is a significant difference between the Primary and Secondary phases in the percentage of good and outstanding schools: $78 \%$ for primary, versus $54 \%$ for secondary.
2. Attainment and progress
2.1 Standards in RBWM for 2014-15 were above national at Early Years and for all Key Stages with the exception of some measures at Key Stage 5:
2.2 At Early Years Foundation Stage 74\% children in RBWM attained "a good level of development". This was an increase on 2014 and is equal $8^{\text {th }}$ LA in England. (Section 3.1)
$2.380 \%$ Year 1 children reached the required standard in the phonic screening test. This was an increase on 2014 and is well above national. (Section 3.2)
2.4 Children at the end of Key Stage 1, age 7, achieve well. The percentage achieving level $2+$ in Reading (92\%), Writing (89\%) and Mathematics (94\%) are all above national but do show a decrease on the very high levels achieved in 2014. (Section 3.3)
2.5 Children at the end of Key Stage 2, aged 11, achieve well with $82 \%$ achieving level $4+$ in all of Reading, Writing and Mathematics compared to the national average of $80 \%$. (Section 3.6)
2.6 Pupils in RBWM have made good progress in Reading by the end of Key Stage 2 with $92 \%$ making expected progress compared to $91 \%$ nationally. They make less progress than the national in Mathematics ( $88 \%$ vs. 94\%) and Writing (92 vs. 94\%). (Section 3.7)
2.7 At Key Stage 4 (age 16) the percentage of pupils attaining 5 A*-C including $^{*}$ English and Mathematics GCSE was $65 \%$, above the national average of $57 \%$ for state schools. The LA was $18^{\text {th }}$ on this measure. Individual school results ranged from 44\%-78\%. (Section 4)
2.8 At Key Stage 5 (age 18) the average point score per A - level student was 724, below the national figure of 764. The proportion of RBWM A level students achieving grades $A A B$ or better, including two or more facilitating subjects was $10 \%$, below last year's figure of $13 \%$ and below the $12 \%$ national figure for state-funded schools/colleges. (Section 5) The ALPS A Level value-added information takes into account students GCSE grades and the progress made. It shows one RBWM sixth form is in the top $25 \%$, and the remaining six are in line with the middle 50\% of schools nationally. (Section 5)

## 3. Performance of pupil groups

3.1 The analysis of key pupil groups show that they all outperform national for all but 3 groups at Key Stage 2:- FSM, Disadvantaged (which includes FSM and children in care) and Black minority ethnic.
3.2 The BME group is very small and there is no historical comparative data available for this group as it was too small to be published. If the group remains large enough to be reported upon in future years, careful analyses of their results would seem warranted.
3.3 FSM pupils underperform compared to non FSM pupils in RBWM, statistical neighbours and nationally every year from 2012 to 2015. Provisional results show a reduced FSM gap for Early Years compared to 2014 but the gap has widened at Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2. At Key Stage 4 the FSM gap is less than the FSM gap last year. (Table 6d).
3.4 With seven or fewer children in care for each of the 5 Key Stages, any published data for RBWM will be suppressed and comparisons with national figures, when available will be very difficult to assess statistically. Individual child performance will be monitored by the Virtual School. (Table 6g)
4. Pupil absence

RBWM absences for primary for 2013/14 were $3.7 \%$ and for secondary $4.8 \%$. Corresponding national figures for $2013 / 14$ were $4.0 \%$ for primary and $5.2 \%$ for secondary. (Section 7)
5. Pupil exclusions

The number of permanent exclusions has fallen in 2014/15 to 10 pupils (0.05\%) from 20 pupils $(0.09 \%)$ in $2013 / 14$. National comparisons are not yet available for 2014/15. (Section 8)
6. Pupil destinations and not in education employment or training
6.1 The analysis of pupil destinations shows:
6.2 At the end of Key Stage 4, $94 \%$ of RBWM students went on to, or remained in education or employment above the national level of $92 \%$ (Section 9.1).
6.3 At the end of Key Stage 5, 55\% of RBWM school pupils progressed to UK Higher Education Institutions, 26\% of pupils progressed to 'top third' Higher Education Institutions with 15\% progressing to Russell Group Universities including Oxford and Cambridge. (Table 9c)
6.4 The average number of young people who were not in education employment or training (NEET) during the year to December 2015 was 136; this represents $4.2 \%$ of the cohort compared to $5.2 \%$ in the preceding year. However, the percentage unknown has risen from $15.7 \%$ in the 12 months to December 2014 to $28.8 \%$ in the 12 months to December 2015 (Section 10).

## SECTION 1 - SCHOOL OFSTED INSPECTIONS

## ALL SCHOOLS

1.1 Since 2009 Ofsted have applied a risk-based approach to inspection in which good and outstanding schools are inspected less frequently. In the academic year 2014/15, 14 Royal Borough schools were inspected by Ofsted; these consisted of one nursery, eight primary age schools, and five secondary age schools.
1.2 The proportion of RBWM schools given an Ofsted judgement of good or better has fallen in the 2014/15 academic year from $77 \%$ to $75 \%$, while nationally it has increased to 84\%.

Table 1a - School Ofsted Ratings 2014/5


## PRIMARY AGE SCHOOLS

1.3 Overall $78 \%$ of primaries were rated good or outstanding at the end of academic year 2014/15.
1.4 Eight RBWM primary age schools were inspected in the academic year 2014/15, of which, six remained the same and two were downgraded. One of the downgraded schools was rated inadequate.

## SECONDARY AGE SCHOOLS (including middle schools for Ofsted purposes)

$1.554 \%$ of all RBWM secondary schools were rated good or outstanding at the end of the academic year 2014/15. RBWM is well below the national figure at the end of the 2013/4 academic year of 70\%.
1.6 Five RBWM secondary age schools were inspected in the academic year 2014/15. Two schools improved their rating, two remained the same and one school was downgraded to requires improvement.

## OTHER SCHOOLS

1.7 One nursery was inspected in 2014/15. It remained outstanding.

## OFSTED CHARTS

1.8 The Ofsted current ratings - RBWM schools (Data Pack Figure 1a) shows the schools and their ratings as at 31.08.15.
1.9 The Ofsted status table (Data Pack Figure 1b) shows percentage of schools by category and type for the academic year 2014/15.
1.10 Data Pack Figure 1c is the same as Figure 1b but gives the latest information as at 05.02.16. In the academic year 2015/2016, one secondary school and three primary schools have been inspected to date. They have all improved their ratings.

Data Pack Figure 1a - OFSTED CURRENT RATINGS - RBWM SCHOOLS - published reports as at 310815

## Academy

## In conversion process as taken from DfE listing <br> Free School

## 67 schools including PRU

As at 31 August 2015:
Outstanding - 16 (25\%)
Good - 31 (49\%)
Satisfactory/Requires Improvement - 13 (21\%)
Inadequate - 3 (5\%)

| Nursery Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement <br> of pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour <br> and safety <br> of pupils | Leadership <br> and <br> management |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cookham Nursery | Outstanding | 2013 | Oct |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Maidenhead Nursery | Outstanding | 2014 | Jan |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| The Lawns Nursery | Outstanding | 2014 | Oct | $\rightarrow$ | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |


| Infant Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date |  | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement of <br> pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour <br> and safety <br> of pupils | Leadership <br> and <br> management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alwyn Infants | Good | 2013 | Sept |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Boyne Hill CE Infant and Nursery | Outstanding | 2013 | June |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Burchetts Green Infants CE | Outstanding | 2009 | June |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Furze Platt Infants | Good | 2014 | Sept | $\rightarrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |


| Junior Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date |  | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement <br> of pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour and <br> safety of pupils | Leadership <br> and <br> management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Saints CE Junior | Good | 2013 | Mar |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Courthouse Junior | Good | 2012 | Oct |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Furze Platt Junior | Good | 2013 | Jun |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |


| Primary Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date |  | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement <br> of pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour and <br> safety of pupils | Leadership <br> and <br> management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisham CE Primary | Inadequate | 2014 | Sept | $\downarrow \downarrow$ | Inadequate | Inadequate | Inadequate | Inadequate |
| Braywick Court Primary | Not Inspected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheapside CE Primary | Outstanding | 2007 | Mar |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Cookham Dean CE Primary | Good | 2012 | May |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Cookham Rise Primary | Good | 2013 | Jan |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Datchet St Mary's Primary | Requires Imp | 2013 | Jun |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Cookham | Requires Imp | 2013 | Oct |  | Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Good | Satisfactory |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary <br> Sunningdale | Good | 2014 | Mar |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Holyport CE Primary | Requires Imp | 2014 | Jan |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Good | Requires Imp |
| Knowl Hill CE Primary | Good | 2011 | Jan |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Larchfield Primary and Nursery | Good | 2015 | June | $\rightarrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Lowbrook Primary | Outstanding | 2008 | Jan |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Oldfield Primary | Outstanding | 2014 | Oct | $\rightarrow$ | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Riverside Primary | Requires Imp | 2013 | Dec |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp |
| South Ascot Village School | Requires Imp | 2013 | Oct |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Good | Requires Imp |
| St Edmund Campion Catholic <br> Primary | Outstanding | 2009 | Sept |  | Outstanding | Good | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| St Francis Catholic Primary | Outstanding | 2013 | Jan |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| St Luke's CE Primary | Good | 2013 | Dec |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |


| St Mary's Catholic Primary | Good | 2012 | Jul |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| St Michael's CE Primary | Good | 2014 | Feb |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Good | Requires Imp |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary | Good | 2012 | Jul |  | Good | Good | Outstanding | Good |
| Wessex Primary School | Requires Imp | 2014 | Jun |  | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Good | Requires Imp |
| White Waltham CE | Outstanding | 2007 | July |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Woodlands Park Primary | Good | 2012 | Nov |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Wraysbury Primary | Good | 2013 | Jan |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| First Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement of <br> pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour <br> and safety of <br> pupils | Leadership <br> and <br> management |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alexander First | Good | 2013 | June |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Braywood CE First | Outstanding | 2011 | Feb |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Clewer Green CE Aided First | Good | 2015 | Jul | $\rightarrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Dedworth Green First | Good | 2014 | Feb |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Eton Porny CE First | Inadequate | 2014 | Jan |  | Inadequate | Inadequate | Requires Imp | Inadequate |
| Eton Wick CE First | Good | 2010 | Dec |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Hilltop First | Outstanding | 2010 | May |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Homer First | Good | 2013 | May |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| King's Court First | Good | 2015 | Mar | $\rightarrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Oakfield First | Good | 2014 | Oct | $\rightarrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| St Edward's Catholic First | Outstanding | 2009 | Feb |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| The Queen Anne Royal Free CE <br> Controlled First | Good | 2010 | Nov |  | Good | Good | Outstanding | Good |
| The Royal (Crown Aided) | Requires Imp | 2014 | Dec | $\downarrow$ | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp | Requires Imp |
| Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First | Good | 2013 | Mar |  | Good | Good | Good | Good |


| Middle (deemed secondary) Schools | Overall effectiveness | Inspection Date |  | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement of pupils | Quality of teaching | Behaviour and safety of pupils | Leadership and management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dedworth Middle | Good | 2013 | Feb |  | Good | Good | Outstanding | Good |
| St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle | Good | 2013 | June |  | Good | Good | Outstanding | Good |
| St Peter's CE Middle | Inadequate | 2013 | Nov |  | Inadequate | Inadequate | Requires imp | Inadequate |
| Trevelyan Middle | Requires imp | 2015 | Jan | $\rightarrow$ | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp |
| Secondary Schools | Overall effectiveness | Inspection Date |  |  | Achievement of pupils | Quality of teaching | Behaviour and safety of pupils | Leadership and management |
| Altwood Church of England | Requires imp | 2015 | April | $\rightarrow$ | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp |
| Charters | Outstanding | 2009 | Nov |  | Outstanding | Good | Outstanding | Good |
| Churchmead CE (VA) School | Requires imp | 2013 | Dec |  | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp |
| Cox Green | Good | 2015 | April | $\uparrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Desborough College | Good | 2014 | Sept | $\uparrow$ | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Furze Platt | Requires Imp | 2010 | Mar | $\downarrow$ | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp | Requires imp |
| Holyport College | Not Inspected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newlands Girls | Good | 2012 | Oct |  | Good | Good | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Upper Schools | Overall effectiveness | Inspection Date |  |  | Achievement of pupils | Quality of teaching | Behaviour and safety of pupils | Leadership and management |
| The Windsor Boys' | Requires imp | 2013 | May |  | Requires imp | Requires imp | Good | Requires imp |
| Windsor Girls' | Outstanding | 2013 | May |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding |


| Special Schools | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement of <br> pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour <br> and safety <br> of pupils | Leadership and <br> management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Forest Bridge | Not Inspected |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manor Green | Good | 2013 | Mar |  | Good | Good | Good |


| Pupil Referral Units | Overall <br> effectiveness | Inspection <br> Date | Up <br> Down <br> Same | Achievement of <br> pupils | Quality of <br> teaching | Behaviour <br> and safety <br> of pupils | Leadership and <br> management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RISE | Not Inspected |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Data Pack Figure 1b

Ofsted Status - RBWM Schools (Academic Year 2014/2015)

| KEY STATI | STICS (ofsted format) | Outstanding |  |  | Good |  |  | RBWM |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { National } \\ \hline 2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Inadequate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Type of educational establishment | RBWM |  | National | RBWM |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { National } \\ \hline 38 \% \end{array}$ |  |  | RBWM | National |
| 3 | Nursery Schools | 3 | 100\% | 59\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% | 1\% |
| 38 | Primary Schools | 8 | 21\% | 17\% | 21 | 55\% | 68\% | 7 | 18\% |  | 14\% | 2 | 5\% | 1\% |
| 3 | Middle | 0 | 0\% | 13\% | 2 | 67\% | 56\% | 1 | 33\% | 26\% | 0 | 0\% | 5\% |
| 2 | Secondary Schools | 0 | 0\% |  | 1 | 50\% |  | 1 | 50\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| 1 | Special Schools | 0 | 0\% | 36\% | 1 | 100\% | 55\% | 0 | 0\% | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 3\% |
| 1 | Pupil Referral Units | 0 | 0\% | 18\% | 1 | 100\% | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 4\% |
| Count | Academies | Outstanding |  |  | Good |  |  | equires Improvement |  |  | nadequate |  |  |
| 7 | Primary Phase(Converters) | 3 | 43\% | 29\% | 3 | 43\% | 61\% | 1 | 14\% | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1\% |
| 6 | Secondary Phase(Converters) | 2 | 33\% | 32\% | 1 | 17\% | 53\% | 3 | 50\% | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 3\% |
| 1 | Middle (Sponsor-led) | 0 | 0\% | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 32\% | 1 | 100\% | 12\% |
| 1 | Secondary Phase (Sponsor-led) | 0 | 0\% |  | 1 | 100\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
|  |  | Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Count |  | RBWM |  | National |  |  |  | RBWM |  |  | RBWM | Inadequate | National |
| 63 | All Schools as at 31 July 2015 | 16 | 25\% |  | 31 | 49\% |  | 13 | 21\% |  | 3 | 5\% |  |
| 64 | All Schools as at 31/8/2014 | 16 | 25\% | 20\% | 33 | 52\% | 64\% | 13 | 20\% | 14\% | 2 | 3\% | 2\% |
|  | Change (this academic yr) |  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| National as at 31/8/2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools |  |  |  | Date | All | Primary | Secondary |  | Schools Outstanding/Good Schools R. Improvt/Inadeq |  |  | 47 | 75\% |
| \% of RBWM Schools Outstanding/Good |  |  |  | 31.08.2015 | 75\% | 78\% | 54\% |  |  |  |  | 16 | 25\% |
| \% of Schools in Stats neighbours graded Outstanding/Good |  |  |  | 31.08.2015 | 83\% | 83\% | 77\% |  | Inspections this Academic Year 2014/2015 (published) |  |  |  |  |
| \% of schools in South East graded as Outstanding/Good |  |  |  | 31.08.2015 | 83\% | 82\% | 79\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of Schools in England Outstanding/Good |  |  |  | 31.08.2015 | 84\% | 85\% | 74\% |  | Autumn Term |  |  |  | 7 |
| Pupils |  |  |  |  | All | Primary | Secondary |  |  | Spring Term |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summer Term |  |  | 4 |  |  |


| Pupils | Date | All | Primary | Secondary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of pupils attending RBWM Schools Outstanding/Good | 31.08 .2015 | $68 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| \% of Pupils in Stats neighbours graded Outstanding/Good | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| \% of Pupils in South East graded as Outstanding/Good | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| England \% of pupils attending Outstanding/Good Schools | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ |


| Improved: Desborough, Cox Green | 2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Same: Furze Platt Infants, Oldfield, The Lawns, Oakfield, Trevelyan, Kings Court, Altwood, Larchfield, Clewer Green | 9 |
| Downgraded: Bisham, The Royal, Furze Platt Senior | 3 |
| Total Schools | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Stats Neighbour LAs are Bracknell Forest, Bucks, Cambridgeshire, Hants, Herts, Oxon, Surrey, Trafford, West Berks and Wokingham South East comprises of 19 LAs Grey cells give national data

## Key Headlines

$68 \%$ of RBWM pupils attend Good/Outstanding Schools
There have been 14 inspections this academic year, nine schools stayed the same, two improved and three were downgraded
RBWM has fewer schools Good/Outstanding when compared to the latest Ofsted national picture ( $84 \%$ on 31.08 .15 )
There are 3 inadequate schools

Current Ofsted Status - RBWM Schools (05/02/2016)

| KEY STAT | ISTICS (ofsted format) | Outstanding |  |  | Good |  |  | RBWM |  | National | Inadequate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Type of educational establishment | RBWM |  | National | RBWM |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { National } \\ \hline 38 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | RBWM | National |
| 3 | Nursery Schools | 3 | 100\% | 59\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 1\% |
| 37 | Primary Schools | 8 | 22\% | 17\% | 22 | 59\% | 68\% | 6 | 16\% | 14\% | 1 | 3\% | 1\% |
| 3 | Middle | 0 | 0\% | 13\% | 2 | 67\% | 56\% | 1 | 33\% | 26\% | 0 | 0\% | 5\% |
| 1 | Secondary Schools | 0 | 0\% |  | 1 | 100\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
| 1 | Special Schools | 0 | 0\% | 36\% | 1 | 100\% | 55\% | 0 | 0\% | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 3\% |
| Count | Academies | Outstanding |  |  | ood |  |  | equires improvement |  |  | adequate |  |  |
| 8 | Primary Phase(Converters) | 4 | 50\% | 29\% | 3 | 38\% | 61\% | 1 | 13\% | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1\% |
| 7 | Secondary Phase(Converters) | 2 | 29\% | 32\% | 2 | 29\% | 53\% | 3 | 43\% | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 3\% |
| 1 | Middle (Sponsor-led) | 0 | 0\% | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 32\% | 1 | 100\% | 12\% |
| 1 | Secondary Phase (Sponsor-led) | 0 | 0\% |  | 1 | 100\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0\% |  |
|  |  | Outstanding |  |  | Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Count |  | RBWM |  | National | RBWM |  | National | RBWM |  | National | RBWM ${ }^{\text {n }}$ \| ${ }^{\text {nadequat }}$ |  | National |
| 62 | All Schools as at 05 Feb 2015 | 17 | 27\% |  | 32 | 52\% |  | 11 | 18\% |  | 2 | 3\% |  |
| 62 | All Schools as at 31 July 2015 | 16 | 26\% | 20\% | 30 | 48\% | 64\% | 13 | 21\% | 14\% | 3 | 5\% | 2\% |
|  | Change (this academic yr) |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| National as at 31/8/2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools |  |  |  | Date | All | Primary | Secondary |  |  |  |  | 49 | 79\% |
| \% of RBWM Schools Outstanding/Good |  |  |  | 05.02.2016 | 79\% | 82\% | 62\% |  |  |  |  | 13 | 21\% |
|  |  |  |  | 31.08 .2015 | 83\% | 83\% | 77\% |  | Schools R. Improvt/Inadeq |  |  |  |  |


| \% of RWM Schools Outstanding/Good | 16 | $79 \%$ | 82\% | 62\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Schools in Stats neighbours graded Outstanding/Good | 31.08.2015 | 83\% | 83\% | 77\% |
| \% of schools in South East graded as Outstanding/Good | 31.08 .2015 | 83\% | 82\% | 79\% |
| \% of Schools in England Outstanding/Good | 31.08.2015 | 84\% | 85\% | 74\% |

.hools England Outstanding/Good

| Pupils | Date | All | Primary | Secondary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of pupils attending RBWM Schools Outstanding/Good | 05.02 .2016 | $73 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| \% of Pupis is Stats neighbours graded Outstanding/Good | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| \% of Pupis in South East graded as outstanding/God | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| England \% of pupils attending Outstanding/Good Schools | 31.08 .2015 | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ |


ciC and Free School Meal pupils $\%$ of pupils eligible for FSM in RBWM Schools
$\%$ of RBWM FSM pupils at Outstanding/Good RBWM Schools


Fats Neighbour LAs are Bracknell Forest, Bucks, Cambridgeshire, Hants, Herts, Oxon, Surrey, Trafford, West Berks and Wokingham
Grey cells give national data
We have 66 schools including 3 Free schools and a PRU which have not yet been inspected (these are not included in the figures)
ey Headlines
73\% of RBWM pupils attend Good/Outstanding Schools
There have been 4 inspections this academic year, and all schools improved Ofsted rating
RBWM has fewer schools Good/Outstanding when compared to the latest Ofsted national picture ( $84 \%$ on 31.08 .15 ) here are 2 inadequate schools.

South East comprises of 19 LAs

## SECTION 2-OVERALL EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

## SUMMARY

2.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is a high achieving local authority for Educational attainment.
2.2 Chart 2a shows that pupils outperformed national at all national assessment stages.

Chart 2a - Attainment RBWM v National \% achieving at each Key Stage 2014 and 2015


Source DfE Statistical first release academic 2014-15 Please note that Key Stage 5 Level 3 qualifications include A levels and vocational qualifications.
2.3 Data Pack Figure 2a summarises Educational Attainment by Key Stage and School. It also includes the Ofsted rating as at 31.08.2015.
tage and School

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |






|  |  |  |  | KS5 [ages 16 - 18) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\substack{2015 \\ \text { NOR }}^{\text {cos }}$ | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 No. of A level | 2013 A level students 3+ |  |



Abil




Well

## SECTION 3 - PRIMARY ATTAINMENT AND PROGRESS

This section summarises the attainment of Borough pupils in primary education for each national curriculum assessment stage.

## EARLY YEARS

3.1 The Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (EYFSP) requires practitioners to make a best fit assessment of whether children are emerging, expected or exceeding against each of the new 17 early learning goals (ELGs). Children have been deemed to have reached a good level of development (GLD) in the new profile if they achieve at least the expected level in the ELGs in the prime areas of learning (personal, social and emotional development; physical development; and communication and language) and in the specific areas of mathematics and literacy.

- Indicators from EY Foundation Stage (EYFS) Profile show the proportion of pupils attaining the DFE's definition of 'a good level of development' in RBWM was 74\%.
- The attainment of pupils in the EYFS this year outperformed pupils nationally by eight percentage points and statistical neighbours by four percentage points.
- This is equal $8^{\text {th }}$ LA in England.
- Pupils may be aged anything between nearly 5 and nearly 6 when assessed at the end of Reception Year. The differing age of pupils can have a marked effect on their level of development.


## PHONICS

3.2 In 2012, the Government introduced a new statutory phonics screening check for all children in Year 1. The purpose of the check is 'to confirm whether each child has learnt phonic decoding to an age-appropriate standard'.

- In RBWM $80 \%$ of pupils reached the required standard in phonic decoding, one percentage point above our statistical neighbours and three percentage points above national.


## KEY STAGE 1 (KS1)

3.3 KS1 pupils are those aged 5-7 in Years 1 and 2. All KS1 results are based on teacher assessment only. The national expected standard for the end of KS1 is Level 2.

- There is an above average performance at KS1 in the core subjects of Reading, Writing and Maths, with RBWM remaining above national results. However, our statistical neighbours have now matched our performances in both Writing and Maths and exceeded ours in Reading by one percentage point.
- It should be noted that boys' performances decreased this year by between two and three percentage points in each of the core subjects compared with 2014 whereas girls' dropped in maths by just over one percentage point but remained at 2014 levels in reading and writing.
- Examination of contextual factors (e.g. SEN, EAL) reveal no significant differences and no comparative prior attainment data is available to help explain these decreases.


### 3.3.1 Key Stage 1 Reading

## Chart 3a - Percentage of Pupils attaining Level 2 or above in Key Stage 1 READING



- The proportion of pupils achieving level 2+ has fallen this year to $92 \%$, but that result still places us joint $16^{\text {th }}$ best authority in England
- RBWM is one percentage point below statistical neighbours and one percentage point above national


### 3.4 Key Stage 1 Writing

Chart 3b-Percentage of pupils attaining Level 2 or above in Key Stage 1 WRITING


- The proportion of pupils achieving level $2+$ in Writing is $89 \%$, equal $28^{\text {th }}$ best authority in England.
- The gap over national is one percentage points and RBWM equals statistical neighbours.
- RBWM boys' performance decreased from $86.4 \%$ to $84.6 \%$.


## Key Stage 1 Mathematics

## Chart 3c Percentage of pupils attaining Level 2 or above in Key Stage 1 MATHS



- The proportion of pupils achieving level 2+ in Maths has decreased by two percentage points to $94 \%$.
- The Royal Borough is equal $18^{\text {th }}$ LA on this measure.
- RBWM has a gap of one percentage point above national.
- RBWM boys' performance decreased from $94.6 \%$ to $91.4 \%$ whereas girls' decreased from $97.9 \%$ to $96.4 \%$


## KEY STAGE 2 (KS2)

3.5 KS2 pupils are ages 7-11 in Years 3-6. The national expected standard for KS2 is level 4.
3.6 At KS2, above average performance is being maintained in the core subjects of English and Maths. The percentage of Royal Borough pupils gaining Reading, Writing and Maths at Level 4 or higher in KS2 tests is $82 \%$ compared with the national score of $80 \%$. The Royal Borough was equal $44^{\text {th }} \mathrm{LA}$ in England. The percentage of pupils achieving Reading, Writing and Maths at level 5 was 29\%, placing the Royal Borough equal $15^{\text {th }}$ LA nationally.

## Key Stage 2 Reading Writing and Mathematics

## Chart 3d - Percentage of pupils attaining Level 4 or above in Key Stage 2 Reading Writing and Maths



- The proportion of pupils achieving Reading Writing and Maths at Level 4 remained flat at $82 \%$.
- RBWM is equal to statistical neighbours and above national.


## KEY STAGE 1-2 PROGRESS

3.7 The national expectation of progress between $\mathrm{KS} 1-2$ progress is 2 levels (e.g. from level 2 to level 4).

Table 3a - KS1 to KS2 Progress

| \% pupils making expected <br> progress 2015 | Reading | Writing | Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RBWM | 92 | 92 | 88 |
| Stats Neighbours | 92 | 94 | 89 |
| National | 91 | 94 | 90 |

Source DfE SFR 2015

- $\quad$ The numbers of pupils making 2 levels progress between KS1 and KS2 (i.e. expected progress) are just above national for Reading.
- RBWM has a slightly lower proportion of pupils making 2 levels progress in Reading and Writing than national.

The Data Pack Figure 3a shows primary attainment by school and national curriculum stage.
Data Pack Figure 3b shows primary progress Year 2 (Y2) to Year 6 (Y6). Expected progress is 2 levels.

| School Name | $\underset{\substack{\text { Inspestion as at } \\ \text { O1.0.14 }}}{\text { OFTE }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFSTED } \\ \text { Inspection as at } \\ 31.08 .15 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexander First | Good | Good |
| All Saints CE Junior | Good | Good |
| Alwyn Intants | Good | Good |
| Bisham CE Primary | Good | Inadequate |
| Boyne Hill CEE Intant and Nursery | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Braywick Court Free School |  |  |
| Braywood CE First | Oustanding | Outstanding |
| Burchets Green CE Infants | Oustanding | Outstanding |
| Cheapside CE Primary | Oustanding | Outstanding |
| Clewer Green CE Aided First | Good | Good |
| Cookham Dean CE Primary | Good | Good |
| cookham Rise Primary | Good | Good |
| Courthouse Junior | Good | Good |
| Datchet St Mary's Primary | Requires imp. | Requires Imp. |
| Dedworth Green First | Good | Good |
| Dedworth Middle | Good | Good |
| Eton Porry CE First |  |  |
| Eton Wick CEE First | Good | Good |
| Furze Platt Intants | Good | Good |
| Furze Platt Junior | Good | Good |
| Hilltop First | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Cookham | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Sunningdale | Good | Good |
| Holyport CE Primary | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| Homer First | Good | Good |
| King's Court Fist | Good | Good |
| Knowl Lill CE Primary | Good | Good |
| Larchieidd Primary and Nursery | Good | Good |
| Lowbrook Primary | Oustanding | Outstanding |
| Oakield First | Good | Good |
| Oldifield Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| Riverside Primary | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| South Ascot Village School | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary | Oustanding | Oustanding |
| St Edward's Catholic First | Oustanding | Outstanding |
| St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Midale | Good | Good |
| St Francis Catholic Primary | Outstanding | Outstanding |
| St Luke's CE Primary | Good | Good |
| St Mary's Catholic Primary | Good | Good |
| St Michae's CE Primary | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| St Peter's CE Midale |  |  |
| The Queen Anne Royal Free CE First | Good | Good |
| The Royal (Crown Aided) | Good | Requires Imp. |
| Trevelyan Midale | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First | Good | Good |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary | Good | Good |
| Wessex Primary School | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| Wessex (exc resource unit) | Requires Imp. | Requires Imp. |
| White Waltham CE | Outstanding | Oustanding |
| Woodlands Park Primary | Good | Good |
| Wraysbury Primary | Good | Good |
| National |  |  |


| EYFS (ages 4-5)$\%$ at Good Level of Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \text { NOR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { GLD } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{2014}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { cLD } \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | 72 | 60 | 65\% |
| 101 | 58 | 68 | 77\% |
| 9 | 76 | 76 | 67\% |
| 59 | 18 | 66 | 78\% |
| ${ }^{28}$ |  |  | 86\% |
| 29 | 73 | 85 | 93\% |
| 18 | 63 | 72 | 78\% |
| 16 | 50 | 75 | 88\% |
| 60 | 56 | 53 | 77\% |
| 26 | 96 | 96 | 77\% |
| ${ }^{30}$ | 43 | 62 | 73\% |
| na | 43 | 63 | 76\% |
| 37 | 67 | 35 | 84\% |
| 28 | 79 | 39 | 75\% |
| 25 | 48 | 71 | 80\% |
| ${ }^{88}$ | 45 | 72 | 73\% |
| 45 | 67 | 55 | 71\% |
| 30 | 77 | 81 | 80\% |
| 29 | 81 | 57 | 69\% |
| 49 | 70 | 75 | 80\% |
| 43 | 36 | 57 | 65\% |
| 45 | 75 | 93 | 87\% |
|  | 58 | 80 | 83\% |
| 27 | 47 | 63 | 70\% |
| 60 | 83 | 90 | 95\% |
| 60 | 52 | 57 | 70\% |
| 61 | 55 | 68 | 70\% |
| 33 | 40 | 47 | 52\% |
| ${ }^{24}$ | 55 | ${ }_{81}$ | 71\% |
| 62 | 77 | 78 | 74\% |
| 60 | 53 | 80 | 88\% |
| 30 | 57 | 62 | 73\% |
| 41 | 49 | 55 | 61\% |
| 44 | 51 | 51 | 73\% |
| 30 | 63 | 87 | 77\% |
| 29 | 63 | 57 | 69\% |
| 20 | 55 | 80 | 75\% |
| 30 | 38 | 60 | 73\% |
| 15 | ${ }^{88}$ | 75 | 80\% |
| 59 | ${ }^{38}$ | 71 | 76\% |
| 30 | 67 | 80 | 90\% |
| 24 | 46 | 44 | 46\% |
| 60 | 50 | 52 | 60\% |
| 1693 | 55 | 66 | 74 |


| PHONICS Y1 (ages 5-6) <br> \% Working At Required Standard |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 2013 WA | 2014 WA | 2015 Wa |
| 27 | 60 | 68 | 48 |
| 101 | 73 | 89 | ${ }^{65}$ |
| 8 | 71 | 67 | ${ }^{88}$ |
| 61 | 51 | 45 | 46 |
| 30 | 90 | 97 | 90 |
| 23 | ${ }^{84}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | ${ }^{87}$ |
| 17 | 75 | 47 | 82 |
| 60 | 5 | 50 | ${ }^{68}$ |
| ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{65}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | 89 |
| 30 | 67 | 77 | ${ }^{87}$ |
| 30 | 60 | 60 | ${ }^{87}$ |
| 30 | ${ }^{63}$ | 77 | 80 |
| 23 | 90 | 48 | 70 |
| 30 | ${ }^{59}$ | 62 | 73 |
| 90 | ${ }^{80}$ | 74 | ${ }^{80}$ |
| 45 | ${ }^{80}$ | 74 | ${ }^{80}$ |
| 32 | ${ }_{9}$ | 97 | 97 |
| 29 | 43 | ${ }^{87}$ | 76 |
| 59 | 77 | 58 | ${ }^{88}$ |
| 42 | 70 | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{83}$ |
| 45 | 73 | ${ }^{88}$ | ${ }^{93}$ |
| 16 | 82 | ${ }^{85}$ | ${ }^{88}$ |
| 30 | ${ }_{50}$ | 62 | ${ }^{97}$ |
| 60 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| 59 | 67 | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }_{85}$ |
| 60 | ${ }^{73}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | ${ }^{87}$ |
| 32 | ${ }_{5}$ | 61 | 75 |
| 30 | ${ }^{60}$ | 75 | 67 |
| 63 | ${ }^{93}$ | 98 | ${ }_{98}$ |
| 60 | ${ }^{87}$ | 77 | 71 |
| 30 | 77 | 72 | 87 |
| 41 | 59 | ${ }^{66}$ | 61 |
| 45 | 65 | 62 | 64 |
| 29 | ${ }^{73}$ | 100 | 100 |
| 30 | ${ }^{80}$ | 79 | ${ }_{8}$ |
| ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{43}$ | 100 | 76 |
| 29 | ${ }^{53}$ | 62 | 90 |
| 19 | ${ }^{78}$ | 79 | ${ }_{84}$ |
| 62 | ${ }^{45}$ | 82 | 89 |
| 30 | 90 | 97 | 97 |
| 27 | 67 | ${ }^{81}$ | 78 |
| 59 | 59 | 70 | 81 |
| 1671 | 69 | 75 | 80 |
|  | 69 | 74 | 77 |



| Y4\% ${ }^{\text {L3B }}+$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nor } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { RWM } \\ \text { R3B+ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { RWM } \\ & \text { NuB+ } \\ & \hline 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & \text { Ren } \\ & \text { R3B+ } \\ & \hline 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | 91 | 46 | 31 |
| 29 | 56 | 70 | 52 |
| 15 | 60 | ${ }^{87}$ | 73 |
| 19 | ${ }^{83}$ | 92 | 95 |
| 14 | 47 | ${ }^{81}$ | 64 |
| 40 | 36 | 44 | 65 |
| 26 | 65 | 85 | 77 |
| 26 | 50 | 67 | 58 |
| 101 | 61 | 73 | 69 |
| 31 | 56 | 67 | 48 |
| ${ }^{28}$ | 60 | 73 | 86 |
| 21 | 75 | 70 | 29 |
| 20 | 74 | 50 | 75 |
| 75 | 69 | ${ }^{61}$ | 83 |
| 38 | 60 | 83 | 68 |
| 30 | 68 | 63 | 73 |
| 30 | 60 | ${ }^{68}$ | 73 |
| 49 | 52 | 77 | 63 |
| 38 | 61 | 78 | 82 |
| 41 | 94 | 90 | 83 |
|  | 54 | ${ }^{88}$ | 100 |
| 23 | 35 | 35 | 74 |
| 30 | 93 | 97 | 97 |
| 39 | 61 | 65 | 69 |
| 30 | 74 | 72 | 83 |
| 29 | 35 | 56 | 52 |
| 22 | 59 | 77 | 68 |
| 45 | 48 | 70 | 71 |
| 45 | 76 | ${ }^{89}$ | 93 |
| 29 | 83 | 84 | 83 |
| 26 | 26 | 58 | 38 |
| 40 | 72 | 70 | 70 |
| 30 | 66 | 65 | 87 |
| 23 | 83 | 79 | 61 |
| 18 | 72 | 70 | 72 |
| 2 | ${ }_{90}$ | 86 | 81 |
| 20 | 39 | 67 | 90 |
| 60 | 61 | 60 | 67 |
| 30 | ${ }^{73}$ | 90 | 87 |
| 12 | ${ }^{38}$ | 83 | 83 |
| 42 | 48 | 54 | ${ }^{31}$ |
| 1333 | 63 | 71 | 70 |
|  | n/a | n/a | n/a |


| KS2 \% Reading, Writing \& Maths L4+ (ages 7-11) |  |  |  | KS2 \% Reading, Writing \& Maths L5+ (ages 7-11) |  |  |  | v8\% \% $58+$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\substack{2015 \\ \text { NOBR }}}^{205}$ | 2013 RWM | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \hline \text { Nor } \end{aligned}$ | 2013 | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { RiNW5 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \hline \text { RWM55 } \end{aligned}$ | 2015 | 2013 RWM | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & \text { RWM } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {20, }}^{2015} \begin{aligned} & \text { RWM }\end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{63}$ | 82 | 87 | 94 | ${ }^{63}$ | 25 | 21 | ${ }^{21}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 88 | 78 | ${ }^{86}$ | 14 | 18 | 22 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 81 | 69 | 71 | 17 | 13 | 25 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{25}$ | 86 | 92 | 92 | 25 | 18 | 44 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{29}$ | 78 | 71 | ${ }^{86}$ | 29 | 25 | ${ }^{21}$ | , |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 77 | 88 | ${ }^{78}$ | 100 | 35 | 26 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 65 | 75 | ${ }^{82}$ | 28 | 15 | 50 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 | ${ }^{76}$ | ${ }_{65}$ | 120 | 31 | 18 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 63 | 60 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 86 | 92 | 91 | 75 | 25 | 43 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{27}$ | 85 | 100 | ${ }^{6}$ | 27 | 15 | 40 | ${ }^{48}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 97 | 77 | ${ }^{81}$ | 31 | 45 | 37 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{48}$ | 66 | 79 | ${ }^{81}$ | 48 | 13 | 24 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 67 | 89 | 100 | 6 | 17 | 33 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 94 | 54 | 67 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{29}$ | 100 | 98 | 97 | 29 | 76 | 72 | 52 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 29 | 59 | ${ }^{58}$ | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 46 | 72 | ${ }_{67}$ | 27 | 15 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 86 | 77 | 90 | 30 | 25 | 30 | ${ }^{23}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 86 | 93 | 98 | 44 | 35 | 36 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{120}$ | 68 | 82 | ${ }^{88}$ | 120 | 18 | ${ }^{29}$ | 33 | 95 | 59 | 79 | ${ }^{63}$ |
| ${ }^{30}$ | 100 | 93 | ${ }^{97}$ | 30 | 57 | 40 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{24}$ | 89 | 85 | 90 | 24 | 22 | ${ }^{27}$ | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 88 | 93 | ${ }^{93}$ | 40 | 29 | 26 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 70 | 87 | ${ }^{86}$ | 29 | 33 | 36 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{61}$ | 71 | 69 | ${ }^{82}$ | 61 | 22 | 18 | 25 | n/a | 50 | 42 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 76 | 81 | 79 | 120 | 28 | 30 | 15 | 117 | 52 | 65 | 84 |
| ${ }^{17}$ | 100 | 71 | 71 | 17 | 57 | 29 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 68 | 78 | ${ }^{86}$ | 64 | 22 | 33 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 69 | 82 | ${ }^{85}$ | 60 | 22 | 35 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 30 | 62 | 29 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 69 | 83 | ${ }^{93}$ | 15 | 23 | 8 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 86 | 74 | 63 | 51 | 32 | 24 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| 1378 | 79 | 82 | 82 | 1378 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 369 | 57 | 64 | n/a |
|  | 75 | 79 | 80 |  | 21 | 23 | 24 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Key for All Phases

In Lin with National -i.e. within 5 Dercentage Points of NATIONAL
Well Below National - i.e. 10 or more percentage points LowER than NATIONAL

## Data Pack Figure 3b

Primary Progress by School

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 Achievers |  |  | 2015 Achievers |  |  | 2014 Achievers |  |  |  | 2015 Achievers |  |  | 2014 Achievers |  |  |  | 2015 Achievers |  |  | Nos Under Achievers = SEN/EAL/both |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFSTED } \\ & \text { Inspection as at } \\ & 31.08 .15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ranking vs } \\ \text { similar } \\ \text { schools } 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \text { NOR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no K1 } \\ & \text { data } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { Under } \end{aligned}$ | \% 2+ Levels (Expected) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ | \% Under | \% 2+ Levels (Expected) | \% Over |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Under } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { \% 2+ Levels } \\ \text { (Expected) } \end{array}$ | \% Over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { Under } \end{aligned}$ | \% 2+ Levels (Expected) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { \% } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Under } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ 2+ Levels (Expected) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { Under } \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ 2+ Levels (Expected) | \% Over |  |
| All Saints CE Junior** | Good | 23/125 | 63 | 4 |  | 2\% | 98\% | 53\% | 2\% | 98\% | 34\% |  | 8\% | 92\% | 18\% | 3\% | 97\% | $8 \%$ |  | 4\% | 96\% | 44\% | 2\% | 98\% | 14\% | 2 out of 6 |
| Bisham CE Primary | nadequate | 92/125 | 14 | 0 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 31\% | 0\% | 100\% | 29\% |  | 6\% | 94\% | 13\% | 7\% | 93\% | 36\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 18\% | 21\% | 79\% | 29\% | 1 out of 3 |
| Cheapside CE Primary | Outstanding | 120/125 | 17 | 0 |  | 7\% | 93\% | 29\% | 0\% | 100\% | 35\% |  | 7\% | 93\% | 36\% | 24\% | 76\% | 35\% |  | 21\% | 79\% | 43\% | 12\% | 88\% | 24\% | 4 out of 6 |
| Cookham Dean CE Primary | Good | 54/125 | 25 | 0 |  | 4\% | 96\% | 24\% | 4\% | 96\% | 56\% |  | 4\% | 96\% | 24\% | 16\% | 84\% | 4\% |  | 4\% | 96\% | 56\% | 4\% | 96\% | 36\% | 0 out of 4 |
| Cookham Rise Primary | Good | 42/125 | 29 | 0 | A | 4\% | 96\% | 50\% | 0\% | 100\% | 38\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 18\% | 10\% | 90\% | 17\% | A | 11\% | 89\% | 46\% | 10\% | 90\% | 28\% | 2 out of 5 |
| Courthouse Junior | Good | 108/125 | 100 | 6 | D | 7\% | 93\% | 31\% | 10\% | 90\% | 26\% | T | 4\% | 96\% | 35\% | 9\% | 91\% | 31\% | T | 7\% | 93\% | 35\% | 15\% | 85\% | 33\% | 15 out of 29 |
| Datchet St Mary's CE Primary | Requires Imp. | 49/125 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 4\% | 96\% | 8\% | 4\% | 96\% | 32\% | 1 | 12\% | 88\% | 17\% | 7\% | 93\% | 32\% | H | 8\% | 92\% | 38\% | 11\% | 89\% | 64\% | 3 out of 4 |
| Dedworth Middle** | Good | 124/125 | 120 | 1 | N | 14\% | 86\% | 20\% | 18\% | 82\% | 20\% | N | 20\% | 80\% | 18\% | 22\% | 78\% | 17\% | s | 26\% | 74 | $18{ }^{\circ}$ | 27\% | 73 | 15\% | 17 out of 51 |
| Furze Platt Junior | Good | 34/125 | 75 | 3 | G | 1\% | 99\% | 38\% | 4\% | 96\% | 25\% | G | 1\% | 99\% | 43\% | 3\% | 97\% | 26\% |  | 6\% | 94\% | 41\% | 7\% | 93\% | 44\% | 6 out of 10 |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Cookham | Requires Imp. | 16/125 | 27 | 3 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 75\% | 4\% | 96\% | 58\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 54\% | 4\% | 96\% | 71\% | P | 0\% | 100\% | 67\% | 4\% | 96\% | 79\% | 3 out of 3 |
| Holy Trinity CE Primary Sunningdale | Good | $61 / 125$ | 31 | 3 | P | 4\% | 96\% | 25\% | 11\% | 89\% | 25\% | P | 11\% | 89\% | 29\% | 0\% | 100\% | 25\% |  | 14\% | 86\% | 25\% | 11\% | 89\% | 54\% | 6 out of 7 |
| Holyport CE Primary | Requires Imp. | 106/125 | 48 | 1 |  | 7\% | 93\% | 33\% | 4\% | 96\% | 45\% |  | 10\% | 90\% | 15\% | 6\% | 94\% | 32\% |  | 22\% | 78\% | 28\% | 6\% | 94\% | 28\% | 6 out of 8 |
| Knowl Hill CE Primary | Good | 1/125 | 6 | 1 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 44\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 56\% | 0\% | 100\% | 50\% | 9 | 22 | 78\% | $11^{\circ}$ | 0\% | 100\% | 20\% | 1 out of 1 |
| Larchfield Primary and Nursery | Good | 117/125 | 21 | 3 |  | 17\% | 83\% | 21\% | 22\% | 78\% | 11\% | 9 | 43\% | 57\% | 13\% | 0\% | 100\% | 22\% |  | 30\% | 70\% | 22\% | 22\% | 78\% | 33\% | 6 out of 12 |
| Lowbrook Primary | Outstanding | 35/125 | 29 | 1 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 42\% | 4\% | 96\% | 11\% | e | 0\% | 100\% | 86\% | 0\% | 100\% | 75\% |  | 2\% | 98\% | 82\% | 4\% | 96\% | 79\% | 1 out of 2 |
| Oldfield Primary | Outstanding | 1/125 | 29 | 0 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 50\% | 3\% | 97\% | 48\% | s | 3\% | 97\% | 50\% | 0\% | 100\% | 55\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 60\% | 0\% | 100\% | 52\% | 0 out of 1 |
| Riverside (formerly Ellington) Primary | Requires Imp. | 104/125 | 27 | 1 | s | 7\% | 93\% | 31\% | 4\% | 96\% | 28\% | $s$ | 10\% | 90\% | 14\% | 0\% | 100\% | 12\% |  | 7\% | 93\% | 38\% | 19\% | 81\% | 31\% | 8 out of 8 |
| S Ascot Village Primary** | Requires Imp. | 80/125 | 30 | 1 |  | 12\% | 88\% | 31\% | 7\% | 93\% | 46\% |  | 12\% | 88\% | 38\% | 11\% | 89\% | 14\% | K | 23\% | 77\% | 46\% | 14\% | 86\% | 24\% | 1 out of 7 |
| St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary | Outstanding | 6/125 | 44 | 1 | K | 9\% | 91\% | 36\% | 0\% | 100\% | 65\% | K | 0\% | 100\% | 38\% | 0\% | 100\% | 67\% | s | 0\% | 100\% | 56\% | 0\% | 100\% | 70\% | 1 out of 1 |
| St Edward's Royal Free Middle** | Good | 93/125 | 120 | 4 | s | 2\% | 98\% | 17\% | 3\% | 97\% | 24\% | s | 13\% | 87\% | 22\% | 8\% | 92\% | 15\% |  | 13\% | 87\% | 30\% | 12\% | 88\% | 32\% | 7 out of 27 |
| St Francis Catholic Primary | Outstanding | 56/125 | 30 | 1 |  | 4\% | 96\% | 26\% | 3\% | 97\% | 48\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 43\% | 0\% | 100\% | 48\% |  | 4\% | 96\% | 30\% | 0\% | 100 | 41\% | 1 out of 2 |
| St Luke's CE Primary | Good | 20/125 | 24 | 3 |  | 4\% | 96\% | 25\% | 14\% | 86\% | 29\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 71\% | 0\% | 100\% | 62\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 64\% | 5\% | 95\% | 33\% | 4 out of 6 |
| St Mary's Catholic Primary | Good | 15/125 | 40 | 1 |  | 2\% | 98\% | 48\% | 0\% | 100\% | 56\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 14\% | 0\% | 100\% | 59\% |  | 7\% | 93\% | 45\% | 3\% | 97\% | 51\% | 2 out of 2 |
| St Michael's CE Primary* | Requires Imp. | 103/125 | 29 | 1 |  | 19\% | 81\% | 19\% | 7\% | 93\% | 36\% |  | 13\% | 87\% | 19\% | 11\% | 89\% | 25\% | K | 0\% | 100\% | 39\% | 7\% | 93\% | 18\% | 4 out of 7 |
| St Peter's CE Middle | ladequate | 107/125 | 61 | 3 | K | 23\% | 77\% | 17\% | 10\% | 90\% | 12\% | K | 18\% | 82\% | 23\% | 3\% | 97\% | 33\% | s | 10\% | 90\% | 24\% | 21\% | 79\% | 22\% | 10 out of 19 |
| Trevelyan Middle** | Requires Imp. | 104/125 | 119 | 3 | s | 12\% | 88\% | 33\% | 12\% | 88\% | 25\% | s | 3\% | 97\% | 46\% | 10\% | 90\% | 28\% | 2 | 12\% | 88\% | 33\% | 12\% | 88\% | 27\% | 16 out of 39 |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary* | Good | 119/125 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 13\% | 87\% | 20\% | 6\% | 94\% | 44\% | 2 | 0\% | 100\% | 20\% | 6\% | 94\% | 44\% |  | 20\% | 80\% | 33\% | 6\% | 94\% | 19\% | 2 out of 4 |
| Wessex Primary | Requires Imp. | 23/125 | 64 | 1 |  | 2\% | 98\% | 56\% | 0\% | 100\% | 46\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 51\% | 0\% | 100\% | 52\% |  | 2\% | 98\% | 54\% | 2\% | 98\% | 44\% | 1 out of 2 |
| White Waltham CE* | Outstanding | 16/125 | 30 | 0 |  | 22\% | 78\% | 15\% | 0\% | 100\% | 33\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 52\% | 0\% | 100\% | 70\% |  | 0\% | 100\% | 30\% | 0\% | 100\% | 60\% | none |
| Woodlands Park Primary* | Good | 47/125 | 15 | 3 |  | 18\% | 82\% | 18\% | 0\% | 100\% | 42\% |  | 18\% | 82\% | 27\% | 8\% | 92\% | 0\% |  | 9\% | 91\% | 27\% | 8\% | 92\% | 8\% | 4 out of 5 |
| Wraysbury Primary | Go | 116/125 | 51 | 3 |  | 8\% | 92\% | 44\% | 4\% | 96\% | 38\% |  | 16\% | 84\% | 27\% | 13\% | 88\% | 40\% |  | 12\% | 88\% | 36\% | 27 | $73 \%$ | 42\% | 15 out of 19 |
| RBWM |  |  | 1347 | 74 |  | 8\% | 92\% | 32\% | 8\% | 92\% | 32\% |  | 9\% | 91\% | 33\% | 8\% | 92\% | 32\% |  | 11\% | 89\% | 38\% | 12\% | 88\% | 35\% | 164 out of 315 |
| National |  |  |  |  |  | 9\% | 91\% | 35\% | 9\% | 91\% | 33\% |  | 7\% | 93\% | 33\% | 6\% | 94\% | 36\% |  | 11\% | 89\% | 35\% | 10\% | 90\% | 34\% |  |

**Schools Asterisked - fewer than half of their under achievers were either SEN, EAL or both

## SECTION 4 - SECONDARY ATTAINMENT

KEY STAGE 4 (KS4) - GCSEs and equivalent
4.1 KS4 pupils are ages $14-16$ in Years 10 and 11. At the end of this Key Stage pupils sit GCSE and vocational examinations. There were significant changes in the measurements for GCSEs in 2014. The results for 2014 and 2015 take into account the Wolf Review and the Early Entry Policy and are therefore not a like for like comparison with 2013 or earlier years. The changes include:-

- restricting the qualifications counted
- preventing any qualification from counting as larger than one GCSE,
- capping the number of non-GCSEs included in performance measures at two per pupil
- An early entry policy to only count a pupil's first attempt at a qualification.
- The move to Linear GCSEs, rather than modules which could be taken more than once.


## 5+ A*-C GRADES (including English and Maths GCSE)

4.2. This is the Government's current preferred measure for Key Stage 4. This is the last year for which this will be the preferred measure.

## Chart 4a Percentage of Pupils attaining 5+ A*-C Grades (including English and Maths GCSE)



- Overall 54\% of pupils in England achieved 5+ A*-C including English and Maths which is flat year on year. State funded schools increased from $56 \%$ to $57 \%$.
- The percentage of Royal Borough pupils attaining 5+ A* - C grades (incl. English and Maths GCSE) remains well above the national figure at 65\% and is above statistical neighbour average of $64 \%$.
- The Royal Borough is $18^{\text {th }}$ LA on this measure.


## KEY STAGE 2-4 PROGRESS

4.3. The national expected standard for KS2-4 progress is 3 levels of progress e.g. from Level 4 to Level 7 (where Level 7 is deemed equivalent to a GCSE grade C).

## Table 4a English Progress

|  | Percentage of pupils making 3 levels of progress <br> in English |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
|  | 68 | 73 | 76 | 75 |
|  | 68 | 70 | 72 | 71 |
| RBWM | 70 | 78 | 77 | 77 |

Source DfE SFR
Table 4b Maths Progress

|  | Percentage of pupils making 3 levels of progress <br> in Maths |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
|  | 72 | 75 | 71 | 73 |
|  | 69 | 71 | 66 | 67 |
| RBWM | 78 | 79 | 72 | 74 |

[^1]- As well as high attainment at GCSE, a higher proportion of RBWM pupils than nationally are making 3 Levels progress in both English and Maths
- $\quad 77 \%$ of RBWM pupils made expected progress in English giving RBWM a rank of $21^{\text {st }}$ best LA in England.
- $\quad 74 \%$ of RBWM pupils made expected progress in Maths giving RBWM a rank of $20^{\text {th }}$ best LA in England.


## ENGLISH BACCALAUREATE

4.4. The English Baccalaureate (EBacc) requires pupils to attain $A^{*}-C$ in English, maths, two sciences, a humanity (specifically history or geography) and a language

Table 4c English Baccalaureate

|  | Percentage of entered pupils achieving A*-C GCSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English | Maths | 2+Sciences | Humanities | Languages | English <br> Bacc |
|  | 69 | 69 | 69 | 67 | 70 | 24 |
| RBWM | 76 | 74 | 71 | 69 | 79 | 30 |

Source DfE SFR

- $\quad$ The proportion of pupils entering the English Baccalaureate (EBacc) continues to increase both locally and nationally and as a result the proportion of pupils attaining the Ebacc increased despite the GCSE changes. $44 \%$ of RBWM pupils were entered for all elements of the Ebacc in 2015, above the national, figure of $39 \%$.
- The England state-maintained pass rate for the Ebacc was 24\%, and RBWM $30 \%$. RBWM was ranked $31^{\text {st }}$ best LA on this measure.


## SECONDARY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE TABLES

4.5. Data Pack Figure 4a shows secondary attainment by school.
4.6. Secondary school performance tables will be re-designed with four key measures with effect from 2016. The current 5+ A*-C including English and maths GCSE measure (5EM) will no longer be a key measure.
4.7. The most important new measure is Progress 8 , as this will define the new floor standard. Progress 8 is expressed as a proportion of a grade above or below expectations. It will be based on students' progress measured across eight subjects: English and Maths (both double-weighted), three other English Baccalaurate subjects and three further approved subjects which can include vocational qualifications. It is designed to encourage schools to offer a broad range of subjects at KS4. A score of 0 is in line with national progress while the floor target is -0.5 (equivalent to half a grade below expectations for every subject).
4.8. Other new key measures include the percentage achieving English and maths GCSE, percentage of pupils achieving Ebacc and average grade for school e.g. C+. These are shown by school in Figure 4a.
4.9. KS4 attainment by school together with the KS2 attainment for that cohort of pupils is shown in Figure 4b. The graph shows RBWM attainment at KS2 and

KS4 against national for years 2010 to 2020, with 5EM results for 2016 to 2020 being estimates based on the KS2 attainment of the respective cohorts. The RBWM 2019 cohort, current year 8 pupils, has KS2 RW\&M L4+ results below national for the first time in 5 years. This can be explained by the movement of pupils between LAs when they start secondary schools. Schools whose KS2 results on entry are well down on the previous year are Churchmead and Altwood, where over half the year 8 pupils are not RBWM residents.

Data Pack Figure 4a
Key Stage 4 School Performance Table Summary 2015

|  |  |  |  |  | Key Stage 4 Attainment |  |  |  |  | Key Stage 2-4 Progess |  |  |  |  | Pupil Premium |  | Absence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | Ofsted Rating as at 31.08.15 | Ranking vs similar schools 2015 | Cohort Number | Intake (KS2 <br> English \& Maths Level 4+) | $5+A^{*}-C$ GCSEs incl. Eng + Maths | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eng. + Maths } \\ \text { GSCES } \end{gathered}$ | English Bacc | Best 8 All Quals | Best 8 Average grade | \% making expected progress in English | $\%$ making expected progress in maths | \% making more than expected progress in English | \% making more than expected progress in Maths | Progress 8 Measure | $\begin{array}{r} 5+A^{*}-\mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{GCS} \\ +\mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | sincl. Eng hs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ \text { absence } \\ 2013 / 143 \\ \text { term } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | Points | per pupil | \% | \% | \% | \% | Score | FSM/CiC \% | Other pupils \% | \% |
| Altwood | Requires imp. | 51/55 | 144 | 73 | 44 | 44 | 13 | 298 | C- | 56 | 40 | 25 | 14 | -0.27 | 42 | 45 | 5.3 |
| Charters | Outstanding | 9/55 | 244 | 78 | 78 | 82 | 38 | 344 | C+ | 84 | 89 | 38 | 50 | 0.24 | 52 | 81 | 4.5 |
| Churchmead | Requires imp. (Good Jan 2016) | 8/55 | 77 | 64 | 55 | 56 | 13 | 312 | C | 85 | 54 | 32 | 21 | 0.15 | 31 | 67 | 5.8 |
| Cox Green | Good | 22/55 | 155 | 78 | 67 | 69 | 25 | 332 | C+ | 76 | 71 | 21 | 37 | -0.14 | 36 | 73 | 4.8 |
| Desborough | Good | 21/55 | 91 | 70 | 62 | 66 | 19 | 305 | C- | 74 | 77 | 35 | 38 | -0.33 | 65 | 61 | 4.7 |
| Furze Platt | Requires imp. | 21/55 | 222 | 77 | 64 | 68 | 23 | 341 | C+ | 84 | 78 | 47 | 38 | 0.28 | 42 | 67 | 4.4 |
| Newlands | Good | 7/55 | 177 | 74 | 72 | 73 | 55 | 347 | B- | 80 | 80 | 42 | 39 | 0.42 | 64 | 74 | 3.8 |
| Windsor Boys' School | Requires imp. | 5/55 | 221 | 72 | 66 | 68 | 32 | 327 | C | 78 | 81 | 33 | 44 | 0.23 | 40 | 69 | 5.0 |
| Windsor Girls' School | Outstanding | 6/55 | 185 | 71 | 65 | 67 | 34 | 330 | C+ | 79 | 78 | 36 | 40 | 0.39 | 61 | 65 | 5.3 |
| RBWM |  |  | 1534 | 74 | 64.6 | 66 | 29.8 | 326 | C | 77 | 74 | 35 | 38 |  | 46 | 68 | 4.6 |
| National 2015 (state funded) |  |  |  | 73 | 57.3 | 58.3 | 24.4 | 313.0 | C | 71.1 | 66.9 | 30.7 | 30.6 |  | 36.7 | 64.7 | 5.2 |

Data Pack Figure 4b
Key Stage 4 Attainment with Keystage 2 Outcomes

|  | KS4 Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbers of pupils achieving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School | incl. ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{2015}{ }^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ g \& Maths | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 2015 \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev } 4+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { incl. Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Eng } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 2014 \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ 5+A^{-c} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { incl. } \mathrm{Eng} \text { \& Math } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2013 \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ \text { incl. Eng } \\ \text { Ing } \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2 \cdot \mathrm{C}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Eng Math } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Altwood |  | 63 | 95 | 68 | 86 | 89 | 108 |  |  | 95 |
| Chaters |  | 190 | 177 | 195 | 184 | 201 | 190 | 18 |  | 190 |
| Churchmead |  | 42 | 51 | 42 | 45 | 76 | 76 | 4 |  | 44 |
| Cox Green |  | 104 | 126 | 93 | 104 | 105 | 112 |  |  | 82 |
| Desborough |  | 56 | 55 | 67 | 101 | 77 | 93 | 7 |  | 111 |
| Furze Plat |  | 138 | 172 | 117 | 140 | 141 | 152 |  |  | 140 |
| Newlands |  | 127 | 134 | 136 | 147 | 139 | 149 |  |  | 146 |
| The Windsor Boys' School |  | 146 | 149 | 123 | 143 | 140 | 144 |  |  | 137 |
| Windsor Giris' School |  | 120 | 129 | 112 | 121 | 129 | 120 |  |  | 121 |
| Dedworth Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Edwards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Peters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treelyan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM |  | 986 | 1088 | 953 | 1071 | 1097 | 1144 | 985 |  | 1066 |
|  |  |  |  | Perc | entage of pupis | achieving |  |  |  |  |
| School |  | 2015. g Maths | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { En 201 } \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev } 4+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { inct } \\ \text { inct. } \text { Eng } \& \text { Maths } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2014 \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\text { \& }{ }_{\text {Q Maths }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Eng Math } \\ \text { Lev } 4+ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Altwood |  | 44\% | 73\% | 50\% | 74\% | $61 \%$ | 76\% |  |  | 70\% |
| Chaters |  | 78\% | 78\% | 81\% | 77\% | 82\% | 79\% |  |  | 79\% |
| Churchmead |  | 55\% | 64\% | 43\% | 41\% | 52\% | 52\% |  |  | 69\% |
| Cox Green |  | 67\% | 78\% | 68\% | 72\% | 74\% | 78\% |  |  | 70\% |
| Desborough |  | 62\% | 70\% | 51\% | 73\% | 62\% | 74\% |  |  | 76\% |
| Furze Platt |  | 64\% | 77\% | 62\% | 74\% | 74\% | 80\% |  |  | 78\% |
| Newands |  | 22\% | 74\% | 75\% | 80\% | 76\% | 80\% |  |  | 83\% |
| The Windsor Boys' School |  | 66\% | 72\% | 56\% | 64\% | 62\% | 62\% |  |  | 72\% |
| Windsor Girs's School |  | 65\% | 71\% | 61\% | 65\% | $71 \%$ | 66\% |  |  | 75\% |
| Dedworth Midde |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Edwards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St Peters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tevelyan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBww |  | 65\% | 74\% | 62\% | 70\% | 68\% | 72\% |  |  | 76\% |
| National |  | 57\% | 73\% | 57\% | 72\% | 60\% | 73\% |  |  | 71\% |
| Future Rewm Cohorts |  | KS4-201 |  |  | KS4-2017 |  |  | S4-2018 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Count }}$ |  |  | Count |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Count }}^{\text {cig }}$ |  |  |
| School | Nов | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Erly } \alpha \\ \text { Maths Lev } \\ 4+ \end{array}$ | R\&W\&M Lev | NOR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ & \text { Lev 4+ } \end{aligned}$ | R\&W\&M Lev 4 | NOR | Maths Lev 4 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Rewwam } \\ \text { Lev 4+ }}}{\text { der }}$ |  |
| Altwood | 139 | 108 | 96 | 147 | ${ }_{1} 123$ | ${ }_{117}$ | 118 | 101 | 100 |  |
| Chaters | 229 | 188 | 172 | 230 | 198 | 196 | 246 | 200 | 200 |  |
| Churchmead | 92 | 67 | 56 | 107 | 80 | 77 | 84 | 60 | 56 |  |
| Cox Green | 162 | 122 | 109 | 174 | 152 | 146 | 165 | 137 | 137 |  |
| Desborough | 64 | 42 | 36 | 70 | 62 | 59 | 77 | 62 | 61 |  |
| Furze Platt | 184 | 144 | ${ }^{131}$ | 188 | 160 | 142 | 193 | 170 | 165 |  |
| Holypor College |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newlands | 175 | 150 | 144 | ${ }^{174}$ | 156 | ${ }^{153}$ | 186 | 154 | 152 |  |
| The Windsor Boys' School | 227 <br> 185 | $\begin{array}{r}149 \\ 147 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 132 <br> 132 <br> 1 | 192 <br> 174 <br> 1 | 144 122 | 131 117 |  |  |  |  |
| Widsor Girls' Schol | 185 | 147 | 132 |  |  | 117 | 106 | 78 | 78 |  |
| St Edwards |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 | 82 | 79 |  |
| St Peters |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | ${ }^{42}$ | 39 |  |
| Treelyan |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 | 91 | 91 |  |
| RBWM | 1457 | 1117 | 1008 | 1456 | 1197 | 1138 | 1442 | 1177 | 1158 |  |
| School |  |  |  |  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FFTD | Maths Lev $4+$ | R\&W\&M <br> Lev 4+ | FFT D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng \& Maths } \\ & \text { Lev 4+ } \end{aligned}$ | Rewam <br> Lev 4+ | FFT D | Maths Lev <br> 4+ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Reww } \\ \text { Lev } 4+}}{\text { co }}$ |  |
| Altwood | 67\% | 78\% | 69\% | 72\% | 84\% | 80\% | 72\% | 86\% | 85\% |  |
| Chaters | 77\% | 82\% | 75\% | 81\% | 86\% | 85\% | 83\% | 81\% | 81\% |  |
| Churchmead | 58\% | 73\% | 61\% | 61\% | 75\% | 72\% | 60\% | 71\% | 67\% |  |
| Cox Green | 69\% | 75\% | 67\% | 80\% | 87\% | 84\% | 75\% | 83\% | 83\% |  |
| Desborough | 54\% | 66\% | 56\% | 70\% | 89\% | 84\% | 71\% | 81\% | 79\% |  |
| Furze Platt | 70\% | 78\% | 71\% | 75\% | 85\% | 76\% | 75\% | 88\% | 85\% |  |
| Holyport College |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nemands | 80\% | 86\% | 82\% | 83\% | 90\% | 88\% | 83\% | 83\% | ${ }^{82 \%}$ |  |
| The Windsor Boys' School | 60\% | 66\% | 58\% | 66\% | 75\% | 68\% |  |  |  |  |
| Windsor Girls' School | 67\% | 79\% | 71\% | 64\% | 70\% | 67\% |  |  |  |  |
| Dedworth Midale |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63\% | 74\% | 74\% |  |
| St Edwards |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76\% | 85\% | 82\% |  |
| St Peters |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72\% | 74\% | 68\% |  |
| Tevelyan |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72\% | 80\% | 80\% |  |
| RBWm | 68\% | 77\% | 69\% | ${ }^{72 \%}$ | 82\% | 78\% | 74\% | 82\% | 80\% |  |
| national |  | 74\% |  |  |  | 75\% |  |  | 76\% |  |



If all RBWM pupis with EML4+ at KS2 a achieved 5EM then GCSE results would be at level of yellow triangles in graph
FFTD Fisher Family Tust esimate based on prior attainment and school context or top 25 percentile school
At some RBWM schools there are a large number of puils without $K S 2$ results, most notably Churchmead

* \% RBWM pupis achieving 5 EM is RBWM total incl. special school, al other totalas are based on listed schools only

KS4 results from 2014 are first entry and include woff review recommendations
KS2 writing momed to teacher assessmentin in 2011 and hence conversion rates from 2016 are not directily comparable to previous years

| KS4-2019 |  | KS4-2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count |  | Count |  |
| NOR | $\underset{\substack{\text { Rewwam } \\ \text { Lev } 4+}}{\text { co }}$ | NOR | Rawsm |
| 109 | 76 | 107 | 79 |
| ${ }^{243}$ | 196 | 250 | 197 |
| 55 | 25 | 51 | 29 |
| 167 | 144 | 159 | 137 |
| 97 | 80 | 137 | 104 |
| 197 | 157 | 195 | 157 |
| 42 | 25 | 45 | 28 |
| 186 | 151 | 186 | 158 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 109 | 84 | 116 | 77 |
| 97 | 71 | 120 | 103 |
| 55 | 34 | 59 | 46 |
| 94 | 77 | 114 | 103 |
| 1451 | 1120 | 1558 | 1202 |
|  |  |  |  |
| FFTD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rewwam } \\ \text { Lev 4+ } \end{gathered}$ | FFt d | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Rewwam } \\ \text { Lev 4 }+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 65\% | 70\% |  | 74\% |
| 82\% | 84\% |  | 88\% |
| 54\% | 43\% |  | 60\% |
| 88\% | 87\% |  | 89\% |
| 77\% | 87\% |  | 84\% |
| 81\% | 81\% |  | 82\% |
| 77\% | 81\% |  | 90\% |
| 88\% | 88\% |  | 92\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| 63\% | 76\% |  | $84 \%$ |
| 82\% | 72\% |  | 87\% |
| 67\% | 62\% |  | 78\% |
| 78\% | 82\% |  | 78\% |
| 76\% | 77\% |  | 81\% |
|  | 79\% |  | 80\% |

## SECTION 5 - PROVISIONAL POST 16 ATTAINMENT

## A LEVEL RESULTS

5.1. For A level results, an A* counts as 300 points, A as 270 points, $B$ as 240 points etc. AS qualifications count as half the number of points. A significantly higher proportion of RBWM students continue their education in school sixth forms to take A levels than is the case nationally. Comparisons with national outcomes at $A$ level should be viewed in that context.

Table 5a - Key measures: A level cohort

| School/College | Number of A level Students |  | Average point score |  |  | \% of A level students achieving |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Full Time equiv. | per Alevel entry | per A level given as a grade | per A level <br> student <br> (full- time equiv.) | at least 3 A levels at A*-E | at least 2 A levels at A*-E | at least 1A <br> level at $\mathrm{A}^{*-}$ <br> E | grades $A A B+$ (in at least 2+fac subjects) |
| England - state funded |  |  | 212 | C | 764 | 77\% | 91\% | 100\% | 12\% |
| RBWM |  |  | 208 | C | 724 | 74\% | 90\% | 100\% | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altwood | 56 | 48 | 193 | D+ | 660 | 71\% | 79\% | 100\% | 2\% |
| Charters | 191 | 171 | 218 | C+ | 731 | 66\% | 89\% | 99\% | 13\% |
| Cox Green | 57 | 51 | 200 | C- | 700 | 67\% | 95\% | 100\% | 12\% |
| Desborough | 46 | 42 | 188 | D+ | 578 | 54\% | 78\% | 98\% | 0\% |
| Furze Platt | 116 | 109 | 202 | C- | 792 | 85\% | 93\% | 100\% | 9\% |
| Newlands' Girls | 100 | 98 | 222 | C+ | 753 | 92\% | 98\% | 100\% | 15\% |
| The Windsor Boys' | 97 | 88 | 205 | C | 708 | 73\% | 91\% | 100\% | 9\% |
| Windsor Girls | 76 | 70 | 208 | C | 724 | 79\% | 95\% | 100\% | 7\% |

Source : DfE Performance Tables
5.2. The average point score per A level entry expressed as a grade for the Borough was C. The associated point score of 208 (210 in 2014) is slightly below the national figure of 212.

- $\quad$ The average point score per A level student was 724 points (735 in 2014) below the national figure of 764 (773 in 2014).
- The proportion of RBWM A level students achieving grades AAB or better, including two or more facilitating subjects was $10 \%$, below last year's figure of $13 \%$. The 2015 figure is below the $12 \%$ national figure for state-funded schools/colleges.
- The fall in percentage of students achieving AAB+ in facilitating subjects cannot be explained by student subject choice or by lower GCSE starting points.


## FACILITATING SUBJECTS TAKEN AT A LEVEL

5.3. Table 5 b gives the breakdown of facilitating subjects taken by school and overall proportion of facilitating subjects. General Studies has been excluded from the figures as it is typically taken as an additional A level alongside 3 (or more) other subjects.

Table 5b-Facilitating subjects by school

| Number taking each A level Subject | Altwood | Charters | Cox Green | Desborough | Furze Platt | Newlands | The Windsor Boys' | Windsor Girls' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facilitating Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology | 3 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 25 | 24 | 13 | 15 |
| Chemistry | 4 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 29 | 18 | 11 | 8 |
| English Literature | 15 | 28 | 20 | 8 | 13 | 22 | 21 | 26 |
| French | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Geography | 8 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 32 | 29 | 34 | 14 |
| German | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| History | 26 | 23 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 22 | 16 |
| Mathematics | 7 | 42 | 25 | 15 | 26 | 20 | 24 | 10 |
| Maths (Further) | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| Physics | 6 | 23 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 2 |
| Spanish | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Languages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Facilitating Subjects | 70 | 195 | 89 | 55 | 153 | 155 | 150 | 98 |
| Total Other A level Subjects | 62 | 196 | 60 | 50 | 138 | 139 | 106 | 114 |
| Total A level Subjects Taken | 132 | 391 | 149 | 105 | 291 | 294 | 256 | 212 |
| \% Fac. Subjects | 53\% | 50\% | 60\% | 52\% | 53\% | 53\% | 59\% | 46\% |

Source DfE Schools Data Checking September 2015

- The average percentage of facilitating subjects taken as a percentage of all A levels taken for the Royal Borough was $53 \%$ in 2015. This figure is consistent with recent years ( $52 \%$ of A levels being in facilitating subjects in 2014 and 54\% in 2013).


## VOCATIONAL RESULTS

5.4. For vocational results (Btec diploma), a Distinction * (Dist*) counts as 270 points, Distinction (Dist) as 225 points, Merit as 195 points and a Pass (P) as 165 points. Table 5 c gives the results for RBWM schools and for BCA.

Table 5c - Key measures: Vocational cohort

| School/College | Number of Vocational Students |  | Average point score |  |  | \% of Vocational students achieving |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Full Time equiv. | per <br> Vocational entry | per <br> Vocational entry given as a grade | per <br> Vocational student (full- time equiv.) | at least 3 <br> Vocational <br> quals at <br> Dist* - P | at least 2 <br> Vocational <br> quals at <br> Dist*-P | at least 1 Vocational quals at Dist*-P |
| England - state funded |  |  | 219 | Dist- | 576.5 | 48\% | 66\% | 100\% |
| RBWM |  |  | 212 | Dist- | 579.8 | 53\% | 68\% | 100\% |
| Altwood | 25 | 18 | 240 | Dist+ | 647.2 | 20\% | 56\% | 100\% |
| Charters | 76 | 49 | 228 | Dist | 675.4 | 29\% | 54\% | 100\% |
| Cox Green | 18 | 6 | 248 | Dist*- | 882.6 | 0\% | 11\% | 100\% |
| Desborough | 25 | 19 | 211 | Dist- | 506.6 | 16\% | 68\% | 100\% |
| Furze Platt | 16 | 7 | 229 | Dist | 839.1 | 0\% | 50\% | 100\% |
| Newlands' Girls | 9 | 7 | 223 | Dist | 677.8 | 56\% | 78\% | 100\% |
| The Windsor Boys' | 46 | 33 | 227 | Dist | 655.5 | 37\% | 63\% | 100\% |
| Windsor Girls | 24 | 17 | 205 | Merit+ | 654.8 | 42\% | 67\% | 100\% |
| BCA | 301 | 298 | 205 | Merit+ | 538.5 | 74\% | 77\% | 100\% |

Source : DfE Performance Tables

- The average point score per vocational qualification expressed as a grade for the Borough was Dist- equal to the national state funded average.
- The average point score per vocational student was 212 points (212 in 2014) just below the national figure of 219 (217 in 2014).
- Students in RBWM schools often study one or more Btec Diplomas alongside A level qualifications. Where, for example, students have only taken 1 vocational qualification alongside A levels, it will not be possible to attain 2 or 3 vocational qualifications, so caution is advised in comparing schools on these measures.
- The lower the full-time equivalent number of vocational students in relation to the total number of vocational students, the lower the proportion of vocational qualifications taken (compared to A levels) and the lower \% of students achieving 2 or more/3 or more qualifications might be expected.


## VALUE ADDED - A LEVEL AND VOCATIONAL

5.5 Table 5d gives the Value Added (VA) scores for A levels and Vocational subjects from the DfE Performance Tables.

- The scores represent the average number of grades above or below the national average level of progress for students with similar prior attainment (at Key Stage 4).
- Scores should be viewed in the context of the KS4 results at the school (unless there have been significant moves of pupils in and out of the school). If KS4 results are excellent, a KS5 VA figure in-line with expectations would still be very good. By contrast, if KS4 results are relatively poor, it may be easier to add value at KS5.


## Table 5d

|  | Value Added |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | A levels | Vocational |
| Altwood | -0.23 | +0.35 |
| Charters | 0.24 | +0.12 |
| Cox Green | -0.19 | +0.02 |
| Desborough | 0.00 | -0.06 |
| Furze Platt | +0.16 | +0.25 |
| Newlands | -0.01 | -0.21 |
| The Windsor Boys' | +0.11 | 0.07 |
| Windsor Girls' | -0.21 | -0.55 |
|  |  |  |
| BCA | -0.09 | -0.05 |

- The DfE also provide confidence intervals around the VA measures, giving the range of values within which the true VA is likely to fall. Results are shown in green on the table where the range of values between the upper and lower confidence intervals are all positive, and red where they are all negative. White indicates that progress is not significantly different to national averages. For vocational subjects, results for schools will often not be significant because few vocational qualifications are taken.
5.6 Schools also use ALPS analysis for value-added information for A level results. ALPS data only includes students that have taken at least 2 A levels. ALPS uses a system of grading that runs from 1 (Outstanding) to 9 (Poor). Schools achieving a value-added score of 3 or lower are in the top $25 \%$ of schools nationally for value-added. One of the Borough's sixth forms falls into this category. Schools achieving a value-added score of between 4 and 6 are inline with the middle $50 \%$ of schools nationally. Seven of RBWM's sixth forms fall into this category. Schools achieving a value-added score of 7 or above are in the bottom $25 \%$ of schools nationally for value-added. None of RBWM's sixth forms falls into this category.


## SECTION 6 - PEFORMANCE OF PUPIL GROUPS

## KEY

### 6.1 The following key is used in this section:



KEY STAGE 2
6.2 Table 6a Key Stage 2 : Reading+Writing+Maths Level 4+

| Group | Pupils <br> 2015 | \% attaining Level 4+ <br> Reading+Writing+Maths |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | National <br> 2015 | $+/-$ <br> National |
| All |  | 79 | 82 | 82 | 80 | 2 |
| Girls | 671 | 81 | 85 | 84 | 83 | 1 |
| Boys | 700 | 77 | 80 | 81 | 78 | 3 |
| FSM | 103 | 68 | 68 | 58 | 66 | -8 |
| Non-FSM | 1268 | 80 | 83 | 84 | 83 | 1 |
| Disadvantaged | 256 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 70 | -6 |
| Non-Disadv | 1115 | 82 | 86 | 87 | 85 | 2 |
| SEN | 231 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 84 | 69 | 15 |
| SEN - with EHC | 50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 26 | 30 | 4 |
| Non-SEN | 1082 | 90 | 92 | 97 | 96 | 1 |
| Not 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Lang Eng | 224 | 77 | 87 | 82 | 79 | 3 |
| First Lang Eng | 1140 | 79 | 81 | 83 | 81 | 2 |
| Asian | 174 | 79 | 87 | 84 | 82 | 2 |
| Black | 23 | 60 | X | 57 | 79 | -22 |
| Mixed | 107 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 81 | 2 |
| White | 1036 | 79 | 82 | 83 | 80 | 3 |

Source : DfE SFR

- $\quad$ The proportion of pupils achieving Level $4+$ in the headline measure of Reading+Writing+ Maths at Key Stage 2 is above national for all but 3 groups:FSM, Disadvantaged and Black minority ethnic. The BME group is very small at 23 pupils but is 22 percentage points lower than their national counterparts. There is no historical comparative data available for this group as it was too small to be published. Of the 2015 cohort, 5 out of 23 were FSM pupils (which is over twice our borough average) and this group were spread across 12 schools. However, only 15 out of $23(65 \%)$ gained L4 or higher in maths whereas $96 \%$ of them gained reading L 4 or better. If the group remains large enough to be reported upon in future years, careful analyses of their results would seem warranted.
- The gap between RBWM girls and boys has decreased slightly from 5 to 3 percentage points this year, compared with a 5 percentage point gap at National.
- RBWM FSM performance has decreased by 10 percentage points to $58 \%$ in 2015 which places this group lower than their national counterparts by 8 percentage points. The FSM/non-FSM gap has also increased to 26 percentage points, compared to the National gap of 17 percentage points. However, it should be noted that, of the 2015 FSM cohort, $43 \%$ were identified as SEN compared with only $33 \%$ of the previous year's FSM group. The new measure for disadvantaged pupils (those attracting the pupil premium) follows a similar pattern with our gap being 23 percentage points, which is greater than the national Disadvantaged gap of 15 percentage points. Similar to other lower performing groups, the FSM cohort scored lowest in its maths attainment (68\%) and this undoubtedly contributed to their lower combined result for 2015.
- Pupils with English as their first language outperformed those for whom English was not their first language in RBWM by a single percentage point, whereas the national gap was 2 percentage points.
- The RBWM result for Asian pupils decreased by 3 percentage points to $84 \%$ while white and mixed pupils showed a slight increase from $82 \%$ to $83 \%$.


## KEY STAGE 4

### 6.3 Table 6b Key Stage 4

| Group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pupils } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | \% attaining 5+ $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ including GCSE English and Maths |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | National 2015 | $+/-$ <br> National |
| All | 1534 | 64 | 68 | 62 | 65 | 57 | 8 |
| Girls | 728 | 70 | 72 | 69 | 66 | 62 | 4 |
| Boys | 806 | 58 | 65 | 56 | 63 | 53 | 10 |
| FSM | 96 | 35 | 48 | 34 | 39 | 33 | 6 |
| Non-FSM | 1438 | 65 | 70 | 64 | 66 | 61 | 5 |
| Disadvantaged | 227 | 41 | 49 | 34 | 46 | 37 | 9 |
| Non-Disadvantaged | 1307 | 67 | 72 | 68 | 68 | 65 | 3 |
| SEN | 226 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 38 | 24 | 14 |
| SEN-with EHC | 69 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 23 | 9 | 14 |
| Non-SEN | 1239 | 75 | 79 | 71 | 72 | 65 | 7 |
| Not First Lang Eng | 223 | 57 | 61 | 54 | 60 | 57 | 3 |
| First Lang Eng | 1309 | 65 | 70 | 64 | 65 | 58 | 7 |
| Asian | 192 | 58 | 68 | 54 | 62 | 62 | 0 |
| Black | x | 46 | 52 | 63 | x | 53 | n/a |
| Mixed | 104 | 66 | 62 | 67 | 62 | 58 | 4 |
| White | 1137 | 65 | 69 | 63 | 66 | 57 | 9 |

- The proportion of pupils achieving $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ grades including English and maths was well above national for most pupil groups.
- The gap between the performance of boys and girls in RBWM has narrowed again. Girls outperformed boys by 12 percentage points in 2012 but this gap has now narrowed to just 3 percentage points. The national gap this year was 9 percentage points. RBWM boys out-performed national boys by 10 percentage points; girls out-performed national by 4 percentage points.
- SEN categories have changed this year, so there is no equivalent data for previous years. Pupils in both new SEN categories outperformed national by 14 percentage points.
- The gap between disadvantaged and no-disadvantaged pupils reduced from 34 percentage points to 22 percentage points and is lower than national ( 28 percentage points) this year.
- No pupil groups fell below National although Asian pupils performed in line with national Asian pupils. The RBWM cohort of black pupils was too small for DfE to report this year


## ACHIEVEMENT BY ETHNICITY

6.4 Information on performance by ethnic main groups for all Key Stages is given in Data Pack Table 6c.

## FREE SCHOOL MEALS (FSM)

6.5 All data comes from the DfE SFRs. FSM data relates to pupils eligible for FSM at the end of the relevant Key Stage, This data does not include FSM6 (pupils entitled to Free School Meals at some point in the last 6 years). Using FSMonly data enables like-for-like gap comparisons to be made over time. The numbers of FSM pupils in RBWM are relatively small and figures for that group can fluctuate significantly from year to year as a result of other factors.
6.6 The FSM data in Table 6d shows that:

- At Key Stages 1 and 2 the RBWM non-FSM/FSM gap has widened since 2014. However, the gap has reduced at Early Years and Key Stage 4.
- FSM pupils underperform compared to non-FSM pupils in RBWM, Statistical Neighbours and Nationally in each year from 2012 to 2015.


## DISADV ANTAGED PUPILS (includes FSM6 and Children in Care)

## PUPIL PREMIUM PUPILS

6.7 The Pupil Premium is additional funding given to schools so that they can support their disadvantaged pupils and close the attainment gap between them and their peers.
6.8 Disadvantaged pupils comprise looked-after children, those eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) and those who had previously been eligible for Free School Meals any time in the preceding 6 years ('Ever 6 FSM' or FSM6).
6.9 School level data is shown, in Tables 6e (Key Stage 2) and $6 f$ (Key Stage 4), where this is published in the DfE performance tables, i.e. where the number of pupils in receipt of the Pupil Premium is six or more.

Table 6e Key Stage 2: Proportion achieving Reading, Writing \& Maths Level 4+

| School | Disadvantaged Pupils |  | Other Pupils |  | GAP <br> between others and disadvantaged | GAP between school and National for disadvantaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% achieving | Number | \% achieving |  |  |
| All Saints | 19 | 79 | 63 | 100 | 21 | 9 |
| Cookham Rise | 6 | 83 | 29 | 87 | 4 | 13 |
| Courthouse | 17 | 41 | 97 | 86 | -45 | -29 |
| Datchet | 7 | 71 | 28 | 86 | -15 | 1 |
| Dedworth Middle | 32 | 53 | 120 | 69 | -16 | -17 |
| Furze Platt Junior | 7 | 57 | 75 | 94 | -37 | -13 |
| Holyport | 6 | 50 | 48 | 86 | -36 | -20 |
| Larchfield | 8 | 50 | 21 | 77 | -27 | -20 |
| Riverside | 8 | 38 | 27 | 79 | -41 | -32 |
| St Edward's Middle | 20 | 80 | 120 | 90 | -10 | 10 |
| St Luke's | 8 | 88 | 21 | 92 | -4 | 18 |
| St Marys | 6 | 83 | 40 | 94 | -11 | 13 |
| St Michael's | 10 | 80 | 29 | 89 | -9 | 10 |
| St Peter's Middle | 12 | 75 | 60 | 83 | -8 | 5 |
| South Ascot Village | 7 | 71 | 30 | 96 | -25 | 1 |
| Trevelyan Middle | 26 | 65 | 118 | 83 | -18 | -5 |
| Wessex | 13 | 77 | 64 | 88 | -11 | 7 |
| Wraysbury | 11 | 27 | 51 | 73 | -46 | -43 |
| RBWM | 256 | 64 | 1371 | 87 | -23 | -6 |
| NATIONAL |  | 70 |  | 85 | -15 |  |

Source : DfE Performance tables

- At Key Stage 2, the gap between RBWM disadvantaged pupils and other pupils is 23 percentage points, wider than the National gap of 15 percentage points. This is mainly due to the 10 percentage point decrease for 2015 in the FSM cohort, who are a large part of this group (see Section 6.2 above)
- RBWM disadvantaged pupils under-performed against their national counterparts by 6 percentage points. This compares to all RBWM pupils who out-performed national by 2 percentage points. As has been indicated already in section 6.2 ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ bullet point), the relative increase in those FSM pupils being identified as having Special Educational Needs may have played a significant part in this outcome.

Table 6e Key Stage 4: Proportion achieving 5+ A*-C GCSES (or equivalent) including English and Maths GCSEs.

| School name | Disadvantaged pupils |  | Other pupils |  | Gap between others and disadvantaged pupils | Difference from National |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% achieving | Number | \% achieving |  | Disadvant -aged pupils | Other pupils |
| England - state | 151193 | 36.7 | 402026 | 64.7 | -28.0 | n/a | n/a |
| RBWM | 227 | 45.8 | 1307 | 67.9 | -22.1 | 9.1 | 3.2 |
| Altwood | 24 | 42 | 120 | 45 | -3.0 | 5.0 | -19.7 |
| Charters | 27 | 52 | 217 | 81 | -29.0 | 15.2 | 16.4 |
| Churchmead | 26 | 31 | 51 | 67 | -36.0 | -5.9 | 2.0 |
| Cox Green | 25 | 36 | 130 | 73 | -37.0 | -0.7 | 8.4 |
| Desborough | 17 | 65 | 74 | 61 | 4.0 | 28.0 | -3.9 |
| Furze Platt Senior | 33 | 42 | 189 | 67 | -25.0 | 5.7 | 2.5 |
| Newlands | 22 | 64 | 155 | 74 | -10.0 | 26.9 | 8.8 |
| The Windsor Boys' | 25 | 40 | 196 | 69 | -29.0 | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| Windsor Girls' | 23 | 61 | 162 | 65 | -5.0 | 24.2 | 0.7 |

Source : DfE Performance Tables

- Both RBWM disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils have outperformed their national equivalents.
- The gap between RBWM disadvantaged pupils and other pupils is 22 percentage points, narrower than the national gap of 28 percentage points.
- At several RBWM schools, the result for disadvantaged pupils is better than the national result for all pupils (57.3\%).


## CHILDREN IN CARE (CiC) ACHIEVEMENT

6.10 While data for Children in care is published by DfE at Local Authority level (excluding Key Stage 5 results), in the case of RBWM, the data is suppressed because of the small numbers of pupils. The RBWM CiC results have therefore been obtained directly from Children's Services.
6.11 The data in columns 1 and 3 of Table 6 g relates to children who have been in the care of the Royal Borough for 12 months or more and were in RBWM schools at the time of the relevant Key Stage testing. The data relates to pupils in main stream schools, with the figures in brackets including those at the Special school. Italics indicate that previous years cannot be directly compared due to change in top-line measure for that key stage or significant change in methodology.
Data Pack Table 6c

| Key Stage \& measures | RBWM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | Mixed |  | Asian All |  | Indian |  | Pakistani |  | Chinese |  | Black |  | AII |  |
|  | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result | Cohort | Result |
| Early Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving good level of development 2013 | 1217 | 59 | 138 | 54 | 222 | 44 | 73 | 59 | 125 | 34 | 7 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 16 | 44 | 1653 | 56 |
| \%achieving good level of development 2014 | 1204 | 68 | 108 | 61 | 198 | 65 | 85 | 72 | 98 | 59 | 5 | X | 12 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 1655 | 66 |
| \% achieving good level of development 2015 | 1198 | 76 | 114 | 77 | 220 | 72 | 92 | 86 | 102 | 61 | 5 | x | 12 | 67 | 1693 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Stage 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% achieving L2+ Reading 2013 | 1125 | 93 | 141 | 96 | 186 | 94 | 74 | 99 | 88 | 89 | X | X | 11 | 91 | 1515 | 93 |
| \% achieving L2+ Reading 2014 | 1157 | 94 | 128 | 91 | 227 | 93 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 91 | 5 | X | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 93 |
| \%achieving L2+ Reading 2015 | 1173 | 95 | 133 | 95 | 233 | 95 | 82 | 98 | 132 | 93 | 7 | X | 19 | 95 | 1628 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving L2+ Writing 2013 | 1125 | 90 | 141 | 93 | 186 | 91 | 74 | 95 | 88 | 86 | X | X | 11 | 91 | 1515 | 90 |
| \%achieving L2+ Writing 2014 | 1157 | 91 | 128 | 86 | 227 | 91 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 88 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 90 |
| \%achieving L2+ Writing 2015 | 1173 | 91 | 133 | 94 | 233 | 89 | 82 | 96 | 132 | 83 | 7 | X | 19 | 89 | 1628 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving L2+ Maths 2013 | 1125 | 96 | 141 | 93 | 186 | 92 | 74 | 96 | 88 | 88 | X | X | 11 | 91 | 1515 | 95 |
| \%achieving L2+ Maths 2014 | 1157 | 97 | 128 | 95 | 227 | 94 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 94 | 5 | 100 | 17 | 100 | 1566 | 96 |
| \%achieving L2+ Maths 2015 | 1173 | 95 | 133 | 95 | 233 | 95 | 82 | 99 | 132 | 93 | 7 | X | 19 | 95 | 1628 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving R+W+ML4+2013 | 958 | 78 | 84 | 79 | 186 | 78 | 60 | 90 | 113 | 73 | 6 | X | 14 | 57 | 1278 | 78 |
| \%achieving R+W+ML4+2014 | 994 | 82 | 107 | 82 | 181 | 87 | 61 | 95 | 114 | 84 | 5 | X | 3 | X | 1343 | 82 |
| \%achieving R+W+ML4+ 2015 | 1036 | 83 | 107 | 83 | 174 | 84 | 64 | 94 | 92 | 79 | $\mathbf{X}$ | X | 23 | 57 | 1371 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Stage 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving 5+ $A^{*}$-C (inc E+M) 2013 | 1271 | 69 | 82 | 62 | 200 | 68 | 79 | 77 | 111 | 62 | $x$ | $x$ | 31 | 52 | 1615 | 68 |
| \%achieving 5+ $\mathrm{A}^{*}$-C (inc $\left.\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{M}\right) 2014$ | 1192 | 63 | 94 | 67 | 174 | 54 | 50 | 74 | 103 | 48 | 6 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 35 | 63 | 1527 | 62 |
| \%achieving 5+ ${ }^{\star}$ - C (inc $\left.\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{M}\right) 2015$ | 1177 | 66 | 104 | 62 | 192 | 62 | 57 | 74 | 111 | 56 | 4 | X | X | X | 1534 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Stage 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%achieving 3+ A*-E 2013 | 612 | 88 | 38 | 74 | 75 | 92 | 26 | 89 | 42 | 93 | 0 | - | 10 | X | 986 | 86 |
| \%achieving 3+ A*-E 2014 | 581 | 88 | 48 | 88 | 84 | 88 | 33 | 79 | 43 | 95 | x | X | 7 | X | 1001 | 85 |
| \%achieving 3+ A*-E 2015 | 658 | 88 | 39 | 90 | 116 | 82 | 44 | 91 | 65 | 79 | X | $\mathbf{X}$ | 12 | 75 | 1146 | 85 |

Table 6d Free School Meals - attainment gap over time

|  | EYFS: Percentage Achieving 78 points \& 6+ points in PSED \& CL |  |  | EYFS: Good Level of Dev't |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cohort size RBWM | 1653 | 105 | 1548 | 1732 | 131 | 1601 | 1721 | 121 | 1609 | 1693 | 110 | 1583 |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 72 | 54 | 73 | 56 | 35 | 57 | 66 | 40 | 68 | 75 | 56 | 75 | 19 | 22 | 28 | 19 |
| National | 64 | 48 | 67 | 49 | 33 | 52 | 60 | 45 | 64 | 51 | 69 | 66 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 68 | 46 | 70 | 53 | 31 | 55 | 64 | 41 | 66 | 50 | 72 | 70 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 22 |


| KS1: Percentage Achieving Level 2+ in Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Cohort size RBWM | 1414 | 132 | 1282 | 1515 | 124 | 191 | 1566 | 117 | 1449 | 1628 | 108 | 1520 |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 89 | 73 | 91 | 93 | 89 | 94 | 93 | 85 | 94 | 92 | 69 | 94 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 25 |
| National | 87 | 76 | 90 | 89 | 79 | 91 | 90 | 80 | 92 | 90 | 82 | 92 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 90 | 75 | 92 | 91 | 76 | 93 | 91 | 78 | 93 | 93 | 80 | 94 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 14 |



| KS1: Percentage Achieving Level 2+ in Maths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cohort size RBWM | 1414 | 132 | 1282 | 1515 | 124 | 191 | 1566 | 117 | 1449 | 1628 | 108 | 1520 |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 93 | 85 | 94 | 95 | 90 | 95 | 96 | 91 | 97 | 94 | 77 | 95 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 18 |
| National | 91 | 82 | 93 | 91 | 84 | 93 | 92 | 85 | 94 | 93 | 86 | 94 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 93 | 82 | 94 | 93 | 83 | 95 | 94 | 83 | 95 | 94 | 84 | 95 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |



|  | KS4 : Percentage of Pupils Achieving 5+ A*-C including English and Maths (pre Wolf Report and Early Entry Policy) |  |  |  |  |  | KS4 : Percentage of Pupils Achieving 5+A*- C including English and Maths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|  | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | All | FSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non } \\ & \text { FSM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gap non FSM- } \\ \text { FSM } \end{array}$ |
| Cohort size RBWM | 1552 | 103 | 1449 | 1615 | 124 | 1491 | 1527 | 102 | 1425 | 1535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBWM | 64 | 35 | 66 | 68 | 48 | 70 | 62 | 34 | 64 | 65 | 39 | 66 | 31 | 22 | 30 | 28 |
| National | 59 | 37 | 63 | 61 | 38 | 65 | 57 | 34 | 61 | 57 | 33 | 61 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| Statistical Neighbour Average | 62 | 28 | 64 | 64 | 31 | 66 | 63 | 30 | 66 | 64 | 31 | 66 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 36 |

All data is FSM Eligibility (not FSM6) in line with DfE published SFRs
All Data is from SFRs

## KEY

Non-FSM pupils outperform FSM pupils by 10 percentage points or fewer Non-FSM pupils outperform FSM pupils by more than 10 percentage points

Table 6 g - Key stage Performance by Children in Care

| Number of CiC pupils | KEY Stage \& measures | RBWM |  | National |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figures in brackets include Special School |  | CiC (inc special) | All | CiC | All |
|  | Early Years |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \% achieving good level of development 2013 | 100 | 55 | n/a | 52 |
| 4 | \% achieving good level of development 2014 | 25 | 66 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 60 |
| 2 | \% achieving good level of development 2015 | 50 | 73 |  | 66 |
|  | Key Stage 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2013 | 100(67) | 93 | 69 | 89 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2014 | 100 | 93 | 71 | 90 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Reading TA 2015 | - | 92 |  | 91 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2013 | 100(67) | 90 | 61 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2014 | 100 | 90 | 61 | 86 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Writing TA 2015 | - | 89 |  | 88 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2013 | 100(67) | 95 | 71 | 91 |
| 4 | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2014 | 100 | 96 | 72 | 92 |
| 0 | \% achieving L2+ Maths TA 2015 | - | 94 |  | 93 |
|  | Key Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2013 | 100(67) | 90 | 63 | 86 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2014 | 100(80) | 92 | 68 | 88 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Reading Test 2015 | 75 | 92 |  | 89 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2013 | 50(33) | 84 | 55 | 78 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2014 | 100(80) | 88 | 59 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Writing TA 2015 | 75 | 89 |  | 87 |
| 2 (3) | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2013 | 50(33) | 88 | 59 | 85 |
| 4 (5) | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2014 | 100(80) | 89 | 61 | 85 |
| 4 | \% achieving L4+ Maths Test 2015 | 50 | 87 |  | 87 |
|  | Key Stage 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | \% achieving 5EM 2013 (pre changes) | 50 | 68 | 15 | 61 |
| 4 | \% achieving 5EM 2014 | 25 | 62 | 12 | 57 |
| 6 (7) | \% achieving 5EM 2015 | 33(29) | 64 |  | 56 |
|  | Key Stage 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2013 | 67 | 86 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 80 |
| 0 | \% achieving 3+ ${ }^{*}$-E 2014 | - | 85 | n/a | 79 |
| 0 | \% achieving 3+ A*-E 2015 | - | 85 |  | 77 |

Source DfE SFRs/Performance Tables. RBWM CiC from Virtual school. n/a data is not published

## SECTION 7-ABSENCE DATA

## BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

7.1 Absence data relates to Autumn and Spring terms of the respective year. Data for the Borough, Statistical Neighbours and National level data is taken from DfE SFR and is summarised in Table 7a.
Absence data at school level is from RAISEonline.

Table 7a - Overall and persistent absence

|  | Overall Absence (\%) |  | \% Persistent absentees |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ |
| England Primary | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Statistical Neighbours <br> Primary | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| RBWM Primary | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| England Secondary | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Statistical Neighbours <br> Secondary | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| RBWM Secondary | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

Source DfE SFR

## OVERALL ABSENCE

7.2 Overall absence is measured by the \% of half day sessions missed.

- RBWM attendance continues to be better than national. Both Primary and Secondary attendance is better than Statistical Neighbours.
- RBWM Primary school attendance level has remained static while national has declined slightly, resulting in a ranking improvement from equal $30^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $10^{\text {th }}$ LA this year.
- Secondary school attendance level both locally and nationally have declined compared to 2013/14. RBWM attendance ranking has declined very slightly from equal $19^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $22^{\text {nd }} L A$ this year.


## PERSISTENT ABSENCE

7.3 Persistent absence is defined as the \% of students missing $>15 \%$ of sessions.

- RBWM figures continue to be better than national. Both Primary and Secondary figures are noticeably better than statistical neighbours.
- Primary school persistent absence levels both locally and nationally have improved compared to 2013/14. RBWM absence figures have improved slightly more than national, resulting in a ranking improvement from equal $24^{\text {th }}$ to equal $6^{\text {th }}$.
- Secondary school persistent absence has improved at national level but remained flat in RBWM. RBWM's ranking remains high, but has decreased from $15^{\text {th }}$ LA last year to equal $18^{\text {th }}$ LA this year.

Table 7b - Absence in RBWM schools 2014/5 (Infant/Junior/Primary)

| School name | Overall absence (\%) | \% Persistent absentees (15\%+) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England Primary | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| RBWM (primary state-funded) | 3.7 | 1.9 |
| All Saints CofE Junior School | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| Alwyn Infant and Nursery School | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Bisham CofE Primary School | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Boyne Hill CofE Infant and Nursery School | 4.6 | 0.8 |
| Burchetts Green CofE Infants' School | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Cheapside CofE Primary School | 3.9 | 2.0 |
| Cookham Dean CofE Primary School | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Cookham Rise Primary School | 3.9 | 2.3 |
| Courthouse Junior School | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| Datchet St Mary's CofE Primary School | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| Furze Platt Infant School | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| Furze Platt Junior School | 3.3 | 0.9 |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School, Cookham | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| Holy Trinity CofE Primary School, Sunningdale | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| Holyport CofE (Aided) Primary School | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| Knowl Hill CofE Primary School | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Larchfield Primary and Nursery School | 4.5 | 2.3 |
| Lowbrook Academy | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Oldfield Primary School | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| Riverside Primary School and Nursery | 4.4 | 2.4 |
| St Edmund Campion | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| St Francis Catholic Primary School, South Ascot | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| St Luke's CofE Primary School | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| St Mary's Catholic Primary School, Maidenhead | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| St Michael's CofE Primary School, Sunninghill | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| South Ascot Village Primary School | 4.6 | 2.1 |
| Waltham St Lawrence Primary School | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Wessex Primary School | 3.7 | 1.6 |
| White Waltham CofE Academy | 3.2 | 2.2 |
| Woodlands Park Primary School | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| Wraysbury Primary School | 4.1 | 4.6 |

[^2]Table 7c - Absence in RBWM schools 2014/5 (First)

| School name | Overall <br> absence (\%) | \% Persistent <br> absentees <br> $\mathbf{( 1 5 \% + )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| England Primary | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| RBWM Primary | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ |
| Alexander First School | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| Braywood CofE First School | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| Clewer Green CofE First School | 3.8 | 1.9 |
| Dedworth Green First School | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| Eton Porny CofE First School | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| Eton Wick CofE First School | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| Hilltop First School | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Homer First School | 4.1 | 1.8 |
| King's Court First School | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| Oakfield First School | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| The Queen Anne Royal Free First School | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| The Royal First School | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| St Edward's Catholic First School | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Trinity St Stephen CofE Aided First School | 4.1 | 1.7 |
| Soure : RAISEonin |  |  |

[^3]Table 7d - Absence in RBWM schools 2014/15 (Middle/Secondary/Upper)

| School name | Overall <br> absence (\%) | \% Persistent <br> absentees <br> $(\mathbf{1 5 \% +})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| England Secondary | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ |
| RBWM Secondary | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Altwood Secondary School | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Charters Secondary School | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Churchmead Secondary School | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Cox Green Secondary School | 5.0 | 5.6 |
| Dedworth Middle School | 5.2 | 4.2 |
| Desborough College Secondary School | 4.8 | 3.6 |
| Furze Platt Secondary School | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| Holyport College Secondary School | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Newlands Secondary School | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| St Edward's Royal Free Ecumenical Middle School | 3.2 | 0.9 |
| St Peter's CofE Middle School | 5.7 | 1.3 |
| Trevelyan Middle School | 4.9 | 4.2 |
| The Windsor Boys Upper School | 5.5 | 6.3 |
| Windsor Girls Upper School | 5.7 | 5.1 |

[^4]
## SECTION 8 - EXCLUSIONS DATA

## BACKGROUND

8.1 National comparisons relate to 2013/14 academic year and come from the DfE SFR. National data for 2014/15 is expected to be published in July 2016.

## PERMANENT EXCLUSIONS

8.2 The table gives RBWM exclusions over the last four years.

Table 8a - Permanent Exclusions

|  | RBWM Permanent Exclusions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| Number of pupils | 13 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| $\%$ of Total pupils | $0.07 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ |

Source: Exclusions SFR

- The number of Permanent Exclusions in RBWM has fallen in 2014/15.
- The national exclusion rate in 2013/14 (the latest year for which data is available) was $0.06 \%$ (i.e. on average 6 students in every 10,000 were permanently excluded), just above RBWM in the same year.
- In 2014/15 there were no Permanent Exclusions in the Primary phase, down from 2 in 2013/14. The number of Permanent Exclusions in the Secondary phase was 10 this year, down from 15 in 2013/14.


## FIXED TERM EXCLUSIONS (FTES)

8.3 School level fixed term exclusion data is shown in Tables 8b and 8c for 2014/5. The number of FTEs as a \% of pupils on roll is given as a means of direct comparison between schools.

Table 8b - Exclusions by School 2014/15 (First/Infant/Junior/Primary)

|  |  | Fixed Term Exclusions |  | Permanent Exclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Pupils on roll | Number | As \% of pupils | Number |
| Alexander First | 121 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| All Saints Junior | 260 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Alwyn Infants | 303 | 7 | 2.3\% | 0 |
| Bisham Primary | 107 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Boyne Hill Infants | 238 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Braywick Court | 27 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Braywood First | 142 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Burchetts Green Infants | 66 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cheapside Primary | 115 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Clewer Green First | 266 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cookham Dean | 176 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Cookham Rise | 202 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Courthouse Junior | 402 | 4 | 1.0\% | 0 |
| Datchet St Mary's | 284 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Dedworth Green First | 181 | 21 | 11.6\% | 0 |
| Riverside Primary | 268 | 7 | 2.6\% | 0 |
| Eton Porny First | 127 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Eton Wick First | 163 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Furze Platt Infants | 270 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Furze Platt Junior | 333 | 1 | 0.3\% | 0 |
| Hilltop First | 230 | 2 | 0.9\% | 0 |
| Holy Trinity, Cookham | 214 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Holy Trinity,S'dale | 211 | 1 | 0.5\% | 0 |
| Holyport Primary | 399 | 9 | 2.3\% | 0 |
| Homer First | 207 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Kings Court First | 254 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Knowl Hill Primary | 80 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Larchfield Primary | 209 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Lowbrook Primary | 269 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Oakfield First | 281 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Oldfield Primary | 300 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Queen Anne First | 147 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| South Ascot Primary | 233 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Edmund Campion | 398 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Edwards First | 254 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Francis Primary | 210 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Luke's Primary | 244 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Mary's Primary | 210 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| St Michael's Primary | 207 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| The Royal | 99 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Trinity St Stephen | 144 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Waltham St Lawrence | 124 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Wessex Primary | 465 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| White Waltham | 209 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Woodlands Primary | 156 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Wraysbury Primary | 365 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |

Source RBWM Educational Welfare

Table 8c - Exclusions by School 2013/14 (Middle/Secondary/Upper)

|  |  | Fixed Term Exclusions |  | Permanent Exclusions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Pupils on roll | Number | as \% of pupils | Number |
| Dedworth Middle | 456 | 25 | 5.5\% | 0 |
| St Edwards Middle | 434 | 0 | 0.\% | 0 |
| St Peters Middle | 229 | 1 | 0.4\% | 0 |
| Trevelyan Middle | 439 | 11 | 2.5\% | 0 |
| Altwood | 784 | n/a | n/a | 3 |
| Charters | 1710 | 43 | 2.5\% | 1 |
| Churchmead | 413 | 48 | 11.6\% | 1 |
| Cox Green | 898 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 3 |
| Desborough | 612 | 52 | 8.5\% | 2 |
| Furze Platt | 1280 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Holyport College | 123 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Newlands | 1128 | 10 | 0.9\% | 0 |
| Windsor Boys | 893 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Windsor Girls | 747 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| Manor Green | 226 | n/a | n/a | 0 |
| RISE | 9 | 5 | 55\% | 0 |
| Total (all Phases) | 20867 | 248 | 1.2\% | 10 |

Source RBWM Educational Welfare

- Only 8 out of 45 primary phase (infant/First/Junior/Primary) schools recorded any FTEs in 2014/15, but all secondary schools who submitted data had some FTEs. This reflects the national pattern, with pupils aged 13 or 14 (years 9 and 10 ) accounting for over half of all exclusions nationally.
- A summary table of Fixed Term Exclusions for RBWM has not been included as a number of secondary schools have not submitted complete data for 2014/15.


## SECTION 9 - PUPIL DESTINATIONS

## KEY STAGE 4 AND KEY STAGE 5 PUPILS 2013/14

The pupil destinations for 2013/14 are taken from the Department of Education Statistical First Release. The first data for 2014/15 will be published in autumn 2016.

## DESTINATIONS IN THE YEAR AFTER KEY STAGE 4

### 9.1 Education and employment

A higher proportion of RBWM students (94\%) than national and South East (both $92 \%$ ) went on to, or remained in, education or employment, an increase on the $91 \%$ last year.

### 9.2 Types of institutions

The proportion of RBWM pupils in school $6^{\text {th }}$ forms (59\%) is greater than last year (55\%) and continues to be well above national and South East, both 39\%.

### 9.3 Disadvantaged Pupils

The proportion of disadvantaged students at KS4 in sustained education or employment in RBWM was $85 \%$, in line with national and just above South East (84\%). This is the first year that DfE have included data for disadvantaged pupils in this data release.

Table 9a - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 4

|  | No. of students | Overall Education or Employ't /Training Destinat'n | \% in FE College | \% in School $6^{\text {th }}$ form | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { in } 6^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { form } \\ & \text { College } \end{aligned}$ | Destinat'n not sustained | Destinat'n not sustained $l^{\prime}$ recorded NEET | Activity not captured in data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England | 561110 | 92\% | 34\% | 39\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| SE | 87810 | 92\% | 31\% | 39\% | 17\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| RBWM | 1600 | 94\% | 24\% | 59\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| England disadv | 148270 | 85\% | 41\% | 27\% | 10\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| SE disadv | 16590 | 84\% | 41\% | 25\% | 11\% | 10\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| RBWM disadv | 260 | 85\% | 35\% | 40\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| England nondisadv | 412850 | 94\% | 32\% | 43\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| SE non-disadv | 71220 | 94\% | 29\% | 43\% | 19\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| RBWM nondisadv | 1350 | 96\% | 22\% | 63\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |

Source DfE SFR

Table 9b - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 4 - School level data

|  | No. of students | Overall Education or Employ't /Training Destinat'n | \% in FE College | \% in School $6^{\text {th }}$ form | \% in $6^{\text {th }}$ form College | Destinat'n not sustained | Destinat'n not sustained recorded NEET | Activity not captured in data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altwood | 150 | 93\% | 25\% | 60\% | X | 3\% | X | 2\% |
| Charters | 240 | 98\% | 18\% | 65\% | 12\% | 2\% | 0\% | X |
| Churchmead | 150 | 91\% | 55\% | 26\% | 7\% | 6\% | 2\% | X |
| Cox Green | 140 | 90\% | 28\% | 53\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | X |
| Desborough | 130 | 94\% | 15\% | 68\% | 8\% | 3\% | X | X |
| Furze Platt | 190 | 94\% | 22\% | 63\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | X |
| Newlands | 190 | 93\% | 17\% | 63\% | 10\% | 4\% | X | X |
| The Windsor Boys | 230 | 94\% | 22\% | 64\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| Windsor Girls | 180 | 95\% | 23\% | 60\% | 9\% | 3\% | X | X |

Source DfE SFR

## DESTINATIONS IN THE YEAR AFTER TAKING A LEVEL/ LEVEL 3 QUALIFICATIONS

### 9.4 Education and employment

The proportion of students from RBWM (school sixth forms) recorded in sustained education and/or employment in the year after A levels is $76 \%$, just below South East ( $77 \%$ ) and national ( $79 \%$ ). These figures should be treated with care as RBWM has $18 \%$ activity not captured and national $13 \%$.

### 9.5 Selective institutions

- The proportion of students from RBWM schools going to 'Top Third' Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) has increased from 20\% in the previous year to $26 \%$. This now equals the England average for statefunded schools of $26 \%$.
- The proportion of students from RBWM schools going to Russell Group universities has increased from $12 \%$ to $15 \%$. The England average is now $17 \%$ up from $15 \%$ last year.
- RBWM has a far higher proportion of pupils in school sixth forms than nationally. National data shows that students at colleges are much less likely to go to selective institutions (see table 9c). The combined figure for schools and colleges shows RBWM has similar percentages to national going to selective institutions.


### 9.6 Free School Meals

The proportion of KS5 students in RBWM, who were claiming free school meals at Year 11, that were in sustained education or employment/training has increased from $53 \%$ to $68 \%$ but is still below the national figure of $78 \%$. The RBWM FSM cohort at Key Stage 5 is very small, so each student contributes around $5 \%$ to the figures.

Table 9c - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 5

|  |  | Overall Education or Employment /Training Destination | \% UK <br> Higher Education Institution | \%:Top Third of HEls | \% Russell Group (incl. Ox. and Cam.) | Activity not Captured in Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England schools | 172640 | 79\% | 58\% | 26\% | 17\% | 13\% |
| South East schools | 227710 | 77\% | 55\% | 30\% | 17\% | 16\% |
| RBWM schools | 750 | 76\% | 55\% | 26\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| England colleges | 186330 | 68\% | 39\% | 10\% | 6\% | 18\% |
| South East colleges | 32650 | 61\% | 33\% | 13\% | 7\% | 25\% |
| RBWM colleges | 240 | 50\% | 23\% | 4\% | X | 31\% |
| England schools \& colleges | 358970 | 73\% | 48\% | 17\% | 11\% | 15\% |
| South East schools \& colleges | 60370 | 68\% | 43\% | 21\% | 12\% | 21\% |
| RBWM schools \& colleges | 990 | 69\% | 47\% | 21\% | 12\% | 21\% |
| England schools FSM | 13360 | 78\% | 56\% | 15\% | 8\% | 12\% |
| South East schools FSM | 1090 | 75\% | 48\% | 16\% | 6\% | 13\% |
| RBWM schools FSM | 20 | 68\% | 37\% | X | x | x |
| England schools non-FSM | 159270 | 79\% | 59\% | 26\% | 17\% | 13\% |
| South East schools non-FSM | 26630 | 77\% | 56\% | 30\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| RBWM schools non-FSM | 730 | 76\% | 55\% | 26\% | 15\% | 17\% |

Source DfE SFR
Table 9d - Destinations in the year after Key Stage 5 - School level data

|  | Number <br> of <br> School Name | Overall <br> Education or <br> Employment <br> Training <br> Destination | \% UK <br> Higher <br> Education <br> Institution | \% Top <br> Third of <br> HEls | \% Russell <br> Group (incl. <br> Ox. and <br> Cam.) | Activity <br> not <br> Captured <br> in Data |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altwood | 50 | $78 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Charters | 200 | $78 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Cox Green | 50 | $82 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Desborough | 60 | $77 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Furze Platt | 110 | $72 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Newlands | 100 | $75 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| The Windsor <br> Boys | 120 | $73 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Windsor Girls | 50 | $70 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| BCA | 240 | $50 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $x$ | $31 \%$ |

Source DfE SFR

## BACKGROUND AND TECHNICAL NOTES

All data from DfE Statistical Release on Destination Measures, published January 2016.

The Key Stage 4 Measure is based on activity at academic age 16 (i.e. the year after the young person took their GCSEs)

The Key Stage 5 Measure is based on activity in the year after the young person took their A Level or other level 3 qualifications.

The data relates to young people completing KS4 or KS5 in 2012/13 and identifies their destinations in 2013/14. There is therefore a time-lag before DfE publish this data. To be included in the measure, young people have to show sustained participation in an education or employment destination in all of the first two terms of the year after they completed KS4 or took A level or other level 3 qualifications. The first two terms are defined as October to March.

The level of data not captured has reduced for KS4 (1\% for RBWM in the latest data) and this allows comparisons to national/South East. For KS5 the level of activity not captured is $21 \%$ for RBWM and $15 \%$ nationally which makes comparison much less robust.

Numbers relate to state-funded mainstream schools and colleges.
In all tables, DfE have applied the following:

- "x" means the data has been suppressed as the school or college has fewer than 6 students in the cohort, or small numbers, 1 's and 2 's in the reporting lines. Results are not shown because of the risk of an individual student being identified.
- All totals have been rounded to the nearest 10.
- Zeros are shown as zeros.
- All remaining breakdowns have been rounded to the nearest 5. This includes cohort numbers.
- $\quad$ Suppression of small numbers is reflected in the associated percentages.


## SECTION 10 - YOUNG PEOPLE NOT IN EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT OR TRAINING (NEET)

## OVERVIEW

10.1 Chart 10a shows the numbers of RBWM 16-18 year olds identified as NEET (not in Education, Employment and Training), EET (in Education, Employment and Training) and number for which the information is unknown.

Chart 10a - Numbers of 16-18 year olds NEET/EET/Unknown


Source : NCCIS data
10.2 NEET figures are seasonal and as such figures are typically compared to the same month in the preceding year, or annual figures are compared. Table 10a gives detailed monthly figures with comparisons to previous year. Green highlighting shows an improvement compared to the same month in the previous year, red shows a worsening.
10.3 The key findings were as follows:

- The average number of 16-18 year olds identified as NEET in RBWM was 136 over the 12 months to December 2015.
- The average \% NEET for the 12 months to December 2015 has dropped to $4.2 \%$ (compared to $4.5 \%$ for the preceding year). This is the percentage of young people known to be NEET.
- However, the \% unknown has risen from $15.7 \%$ in the 12 months to December 2014 to 28.8\% in the 12 months to December 2015.
- The proportion of young people for whom the status was unknown tends to be particularly high around September. This spike is partly caused by students leaving known educational locations and before they are confirmed in new locations or employment. This figure usually drops over
the following few months as their new educational and employment locations are identified. This has not (yet) happened in Autumn/Winter 2015.
- As a result, the proportion of young people known to be in education, employment or training has averaged $73.1 \%$ over the calendar year 2015 (compared to $78.6 \%$ in 2013 and $76.5 \%$ in 2014). The figure for the last 4 months of 2015 averages just 56.5\% (compared to $76.3 \%$ in 2013 and $72.3 \%$ in 2014), linked to the very high levels of young people for whom the status is unknown.

Table 10a - Detailed monthly figures and comparisons to previous year

|  | Cohort Size (current year) |  |  | \% NEET |  | \% Unknown |  | \% in Employment or Training |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEET (adj) | Un-known | EET (adj) | Previous year | Date shown | Previous year | Date shown | Previous year | Date shown |
| January 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.7 | n/a | 5.4 | n/a | 79.6 |
| February 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.5 | n/a | 4.7 | n/a | 80.4 |
| March 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.3 | n/a | 4.8 | n/a | 80.5 |
| Aprit 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.6 | n/a | 4.5 | n/3 | 80.4 |
| May 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.6 | n/a | 4.5 | n/a | 80.1 |
| June 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.7 | n/a | 4.8 | n/a | 79.4 |
| July 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.6 | n/a | 6 | n/a | 78.9 |
| August 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.9 | n/a | 9.9 | n/a | 78.5 |
| September 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.3 | n/a | 23,6 | n/a | 70 |
| October 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 3.8 | n/a | 14 | n/a | 78.7 |
| November 2013 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 3.9 | n/a | 14.6 | n/a | 78.2 |
| December 2013 | 134 | 503 | 3132 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 14.1 | 77.9 | 78.4 |
| lanuary 2014 | 142 | 508 | 3133 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 14.3 | 79.6 | 77.9 |
| February 2014 | 154 | 518 | 3119 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 14.5 | 80.4 | 77.4 |
| Narch 2014 | 164 | 499 | 3120 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 14.1 | 80.5 | 77.8 |
| April 2014 | 181 | 467 | 3302 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 12.6 | 80,4 | 79.4 |
| May 2014 | 182 | 478 | 3328 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 12.8 | 80.1 | 78.7 |
| June 2014 | 192 | 452 | 3356 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 12.0 | 79.4 | 78.8 |
| July 2014 | 180 | 388 | 3370 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 6,0 | 10.3 | 78.9 | 39.7 |
| August 2014 | 181 | 446 | 3306 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 78.5 | 77.8 |
| September 2014 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4.3 | 3.8 | 23.6 | 31.5 | 70.0 | 63.1 |
| October 2014 | 117 | 990 | 3115 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 24.4 | 78.7 | 69.9 |
| November 2014 | 120 | 685 | 3365 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 14.6 | 16.9 | 78.2 | 76.8 |
| December 2014 | 122 | 541 | 3510 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 78.4 | 80.5 |
| january 2015 | 119 | 341 | 3646 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 14.3 | 8.4 | 77.9 | 84.9 |
| February 2015 | 116 | 334 | 3644 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 8.2 | 77.4 | 85.1 |
| March 2015 | 122 | 402 | 3650 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 14.1 | 9.7 | 77.8 | 83.5 |
| Apni 2015 | 125 | 403 | 3662 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 79.4 | 85.18 |
| May 2015 | 125 | 375 | 3681 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 12.8 | 9.1 | 78.7 | 84.2 |
| June 2015 | 126 | 387 | 3682 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 12.0 | 9,3 | 78.8 | 83,9 |
| July 2015 | 158 | 409 | 3668 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 79.7 | 83.3 |
| August 2015 | 179 | 1343 | 2906 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 11.9 | 32.4 | 77.8 | 61.0 |
| September 2015 | 146 | 1561 | 2824 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 31.5 | 36,6 | 63.1 | 50.1 |
| October 2015 | 150 | 1631 | 2809 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 24.4 | 38,2 | 69.9 | 56.6 |
| November 2015 | 101 | 1690 | 2810 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 16.9 | 39.5 | 76.8 | 55.4 |
| December 2015 | 159 | 1666 | 2823 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 39.1 | 80.5 | 55.9 |

Source : NCCIS data
All NEET and EET figures use the NCCIS 'adjusted' figure in line with National reporting.


[^0]:    * Education Providers refers to: Early Years settings, Schools (all state funded schools including academies, free schools and maintained schools) and Post 16 providers.

[^1]:    Source DfE SFR

[^2]:    Source : RAISEonline

[^3]:    Source : RAISEonline

[^4]:    Source : RAISEonline

